

The Negro's Fight For Jobs In Industry

See Page 4

THE MILITANT

formerly the
Socialist Appeal

Official Weekly Organ of the Socialist Workers Party

VOL. V—No. 13

NEW YORK, N. Y. SATURDAY, MARCH 29, 1941

FIVE (5) CENTS

MEDIATION IS BLOW AGAINST WORKERS

Stalin's Fear Of Hitler Shown In Ankara Pledge

Kremlin's Policy Is Determined By Its Fear Of Involvement
In The War; Will Make New Deal With Hitler If Threatened

With the publication, in Moscow and Ankara, of a declaration pledging "full neutrality and understanding" on the part of Moscow towards Turkey in case of an attack by Hitler against Turkey, Stalin, for the second time in the period of one month, has openly indicated fear of and hostility to Hitler's activities in the Balkans.

Stalin's previous move came in the form of a note to Bulgaria in which the Kremlin chided the Bulgarian government for permitting German occupation, and predicted it would lead to extension of the war and not, as claimed by the German and Bulgarian governments, lead to peace in the Balkans.

GERMANY NOT ADDRESSED
Neither in the case of the note to Bulgaria nor in the declaration made to Turkey was there any direct indication that Germany was being warned. But the circumstances under which the note and now the declaration were issued led the whole world to conclude that Stalin was talking to Hitler. These are devious methods of diplomacy but they are also Stalin's methods of diplomacy.

A difference between the note to Bulgaria and the declaration to Turkey is in the fact that the former was sent after Hitler's armies had occupied Bulgaria while the latter was made before Hitler's armies are moving against Turkey.

That Stalin is fearful of a Hitler victory has been plain to every intelligent observer. Every move that Stalin has made since his pact with Hitler in August, 1939 can be interpreted only as indicating Stalin's anxiety to avoid a major conflict and his desire to obtain strategic defensive positions against Hitler.

It was not, however, until Hitler conquered France and began his march into the Balkans that the danger to the Soviet Union became an immediate one. Hitler's occupation of Rumania and then latter is involved in a war against him.

POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVES

Will Stalin send Turkey material aid in case of an attack by Germany? If he thinks that doing this will not involve him in a war with a victorious Hitler he will in all probability do so.

Will he abide by his promise not to move against Turkey if the latter is involved in a war against

ON THE WAR FRONTS

by GEORGE STERN

The opening of the "Battle of the Atlantic" raises to the forefront the next step in U. S. war participation. Passage of the Lend-Lease Law and the seven billion dollar appropriations to give it effect brought this country to the point where the next step could easily be active involvement of its armed forces in the conflict. That next step may lie just ahead.

The newspapers are already preparing the ground and so is the Gallup poll. There is obvious logic in the argument that if the country is going to spend seven billion dollars for war supplies it is not going to permit those supplies to be sent to the bottom by Hitler's sea raiders. This is simply the "logic" of the involvement of U. S. imperialism in the war.

What we are supposed to help "decide" now is whether U. S. warships should act as convoys a quarter, a third, or two-thirds of the way across the Atlantic. At the outer limit, British warships would take up the guard. The idea is already strongly advanced that U. S. convoys should extend as far as the Azores.

Actually this is like asking whether we should dip our hands

Germany? A safe prediction to make is that if Turkey is defeated by Hitler, Stalin will try to get as much booty as he can regardless of any pact.

One thing is certain. In Stalin's plans the fate of the workers of the world plays no role whatever. In his diplomacy he does not take the workers into his confidence or into consideration. The necessity of a life-and-death struggle against Hitler, the greatest enemy of the working masses, and the methods to be used in such a struggle, are of no interest to him except insofar as Hitler threatens the position of the Soviet bureaucracy which he represents.

As time goes on it will become clear to more and more of his followers that all of Stalin's so-called clever moves, like the pact with Hitler and his invasion of Poland and Finland, have only increased the danger to the Soviet Union—not only because he has permitted Hitler a free hand, but because by his devious and secret diplomacy he has estranged the only reliable ally of the Soviet Union—the workers of the whole world.

UAW-CIO SHOWS POWER AT FORD IN 3 STOPPAGES

Ford Backing Down For the Moment, But Fight Isn't Over

DETROIT, Mich.—The Ford workers themselves took charge of the Ford drive last week.

They got tired of waiting for the NLRB to call for an election at the Ford plants.

They got tired of waiting for Dewey, the government's "trouble shooter" to make good on his windy promises.

With unerring instinct, the Ford workers realized that they could depend on nobody but themselves and their union strength.

On March 13, a day destined to go down in labor history, the Ford workers revived the historic weapon of the CIO, the SIT-DOWN STRIKE.

3,000 workers downed tools on the huge assembly lines in the rolling mill. In less than an hour, 15 fired union men were reinstated.

On March 18, 6,000 workers struck in the Axle Building. At the invitation of the management, the Union Committee met with Ford's representatives, and secured, reinstatement of twelve discharged union men.

The next day, March 19, the B Building struck. The management agreed on the spot to all the union demands. A company bus was dispatched at once to the union office and brought back the fired union men to their jobs.

Old, senile Hank decided then that he had better give in to some extent or else his whole top-heavy structure would collapse like a house of cards. On March 21 Ford's representative

(Continued on Page 2)

AFL Leaders Aid Cops In Scabherding At Harvester

CIO Warns It Will Answer By All-City Protest Strike

CHICAGO, March 25—The CIO today declared it was preparing to call a city-wide CIO strike here, after 1,400 Chicago police, this morning for the second day, escorted some 2,000 scabs into the McCormick plant of the International Harvester Company. The scabs were mobilized by AFL officials acting in collusion with the company in collusion with the company to break the strike which had closed the plant on February 28.

Robert Travis, CIO representative, declared this morning at a mass meeting of strikers and sympathizers: "Preparations are being made to organize an all-city CIO strike in Chicago if necessary to support this strike."

Thousands of strikers and CIO sympathizers massed in the streets leading to the plant this morning in an effort to halt the "back-to-work" movement, but they were unable to penetrate the greatest strike breaking police mobilization in Chicago history.

The first move to open the plant started at 7 A. M. yesterday morning, when some 2,000 men and a few women, guarded by 1,400 armed cops, marched at a given signal through the massed strikers on the sidewalk into the plant.

OTHER PLANTS SHUT

Although the company and police, aided by AFL officials, thus far succeeded in herding some 2,000 scabs into the McCormick plant, which normally employs 6,100 workers, still shut down is the main Harvester plant here—the tractor works employing 6,500 men—as well as the two plants in Rock Falls, Illinois, and Richmond, Indiana.

After the strike at the McCormick plant had been called by the CIO on February 28, the AFL officials in connivance with Fowler McCormick, issued a charter to the company union set up which McCormick had been using to fight the CIO and which the NLRB had ordered disbanded.

Backed by daily publicity in the local capitalist press, the AFL officials held meetings to organize scabs, put pressure on workers in their homes, and provided the basis for some weak elements to crawl back to work under a "union" cover.

INJUNCTION HELPED SCABS

Preceding the opening of the McCormick plant yesterday, the scabs courts last Saturday slapped an injunction on the CIO "enjoining the CIO against the use of violence" and limiting the CIO pickets to no more than ten at each gate.

The situation is tense and critical. The CIO can give this strikebreakers a one answer—a militant demonstration of all-out CIO strength, so that scabs and cops will forever after fear to put forth their heads against striking workers in Chicago.

Bethlehem Pickets In Action



"Plant's closed! Get going and keep going!" these militant strikers at the Bethlehem Steel plant in Bethlehem, Pa., are telling scared scabs who attempted to drive into the strike-bound plant last Monday evening. Few scabs were able to sneak past these scrapping union men, even with a flock of city cops, county deputies and company police on hand.

Main Bethlehem Plant Shut Down By Strike

Union Protest Against Company Union Develops Into Full-Fledged Strike Battle

BETHLEHEM, Pa., March 25—Operations of the parent plant here of the Bethlehem Steel Corporation were at a virtual standstill this afternoon as thousands of militant strikers picketed the gates and halted all attempts of scabs to enter the plant.

For the first time in years, the black pall of smoke over the Lehigh River Valley is gone. Strike leaders of the Steel Workers Organizing Committee (CIO) declared that no more than 2,000 men were in the plant, which employs 21,000 men and is the largest steel plant in the Bethlehem system. Most of the scabs now in the plant had slept there overnight after the strike was called yesterday evening.

City police, who are considered this morning with explosive tear gas shells, when 200 pickets attempted to halt a scab car hurtled

ing full speed through the pickets and into the plant gates.

UNIONS DEMANDS

The strike has effectively terminated the company union election which the management was sponsoring on its property, and which was the immediate cause of the strike. The demands of the strikers have broadened since the strike began.

Howard Curtiss, district SWOC leader, has announced the following demands of the strikers:

1. That all strikers be returned to work without loss of pay.

2. That the company withdraw its permission to the company union to hold its elections on company property.

3. That the company disestablish the "Employees' Representation Plan" in accordance with the order of the NLRB.

4. That the company agree to negotiations leading up to an NLRB election to determine the sole bargaining agency for the plant's 18,000 production workers.

The strikers are in high spirits as word arrives from Johnstown, Pa., that the 15,000 workers in the Bethlehem Steel Mills there, where a previous 24-hour stoppage had been held recently, may join the strike if Eugene Grace attempts to go through with a threatened company union election similar to the one he had planned for the plant here.

Despite a double load of company police, city police and county deputies, the county sheriff has already wired an urgent plea for state troops to Governor James, who provided state police two weeks ago in an attempt to break the Vanadium strike in Bridgeville.

BETHLEHEM, Pa., March 23—This town is tensed for the zero hour tomorrow when the 18,000 workers of the huge Bethlehem Steel unit here are scheduled to go out on a protest strike, if corporation president Eugene Grace attempts to go through with his

(Continued on page 3)

Board's Aim Is To Stifle All Demands Of Workers

Roosevelt's War Mediation Board will not only seek to settle strikes but will primarily seek to stifle the demands of the workers, thus preventing strikes by the method of bamboozling the workers to drop their demands upon the corporations.

If this was not made clear by Roosevelt's executive order of March 19, setting up the 11-man board, it became clear enough Tuesday, after the first meeting of the board.

Chairman Clarence A. Dykstra called in reporters at the conclusion of the meeting—the meeting itself was behind closed doors—and underlined to them his interpretation of the last paragraph of Roosevelt's executive order. A "cooling off" period was established by that paragraph, declared Dykstra.

That paragraph had declared it a "duty" of the workers to give government bodies "notice in writing of any desired change in existing agreements, wages, or working conditions...and such sufficient advance notice of any threatened interruptions to continuous production as will permit exploration of all avenues of possible settlement of such controversies so as to avoid strikes, stoppages or lockouts."

This, declared Dykstra, establishes a "must" waiting period during which the workers may not strike.

Under "cooling off," all a boss has to do is to stand pat against the workers' demands, while the Mediation Board and other governmental bodies exert all their pressure to keep the workers from striking, i.e., from enforcing their demands. Mediation resolves itself down to the task of persuading, urging, and threatening the workers to drop some or all of their demands and thus maintain "peace."

If the workers finally insist on trying to win their demands, and do go out on strike, the board's primary function then becomes to "place the blame for the continuation of a dispute," as Dykstra put it. In 99 out of 100 cases, we can be sure, this will mean placing the blame on a striking union for the continuation of the strike, and not in any way blaming the boss for having made the strike necessary.

BOSS GAINS MUCH

Meanwhile, in the "cooling off" period, the boss will have all the advantages of a general who has learned of the plans of the enemy. At his leisure he will prepare his counter-strike measures, hire scabs and thugs, and entrench himself for a battle. Thus some of the most effective elements of some strikes—surprise, the element of boss uncertainty over the workers' plans, the boss' lack of counter-preparation—will be lost to the trade unions, if they submit to the arbitrary decree of Roosevelt and his "impartial" board chairman.

Fortunately, the present strike struggles show the growing militancy of the labor movement. To ram the "cooling off" period down the throats of the workers, and to keep it there, will tax the ingenuity of more than one Dykstra.

(See other articles and editorial on the Mediation Board, pages 5 and 6.)

Thompson Chain Daren't Reopen

Food Workers Local 302 Closed Ten Stores And Will Keep Them Closed Till Settlement

The 250 Thompson strikers in New York have kept close vigil on the 10 closed Thompson restaurants for the past week and a half. Day and night the picket watch goes on and the Thompson bosses have not dared to reopen the stores with scabs this first week and a half.

And there's a good reason why they dare not reopen. The spirit and unity of the Thompson pickets, aided by other militants of Local 302, AFL, the local involved, in the strike, is enough to slow up, even the union-hating Thompson bosses. The proud faces of the men and women strikers of Thompson's reads "no scabs allowed."

THEIR FIRST STRIKE

The 302 membership is very proud of the way these strikers, most of them having never been on a picket line before, have taken to picketing like veterans.

At the Branch A meeting of the local last week you could see the smiling faces of the old-timers, as the shop chairman of Grand Central store reported. They felt like proud papas watching their union babes engage in this conflict.

302 is not limiting its solidarity to moral support. The membership intends to shell out and help their brothers and sisters of Thompson's financially. All indications point to a voluntary assessment of 25 cents, 50 cents and 75 cents per month (for the various categories) for the duration of the strike.

BOSS TURNS UP!

An amusing little incident occurred on the picket line March 14, Monday. It was a rainy day and some of the strikers were parked in the front entrance of the Grand Central store. A man walked over and demanded of the strikers that they leave the premises. The strikers told him something to the effect of "fly a kite." The man called a cop and identified himself as John P. Thompson Jr.!

You see, it was a rainy day and John Jr. did not want to soil his nice little yacht on Long Island Sound so he came around to watch his property. Well, John, the strikers are watching it for you, and furthermore, they're watching that nobody moves in to take away their jobs.

The strike headquarters, in particular, radiates confidence. It has become a second-home for the strikers. Hot coffee, sandwiches and hot dishes are served free of charge all during the day.

NO SCABS, PLEASE

There are rumors afloat that Thompson's intends to open some of the stores with scabs. Thompson's may believe that the militant strikers have worn themselves out with all the spirit and exuberance they put into the activities of the strike during the first week and a half. Thompson's should be immediately warned that when it comes to solidarity and action on the part of 302, the bosses haven't seen anything yet.

Midland Strike

Meanwhile the Midland Steel strikers are demonstrating to the auto barons that they are in no mood to be bamboozled or terrorized out of their just rights. The Midland Steel plant, makers of auto bodies and other parts, continues shut, while the union committee is standing pat on its demands for a wage increase to meet the rising cost of living and a union shop to assure job security.

MASS MEETING !!

The GPU and Stalin's Rule Of Terror

Speaker:

Albert Goldman

Recently returned from Mexico where, as attorney for Natalia Sedov Trotsky, he cross-examined the GPU assassin who sank a pick axe in the brain of Leon Trotsky.

HEAR:

The facts which brand Stalin as murderer of Leon Trotsky.

A profound crisis is shaking the Soviet Union! The workers' state is in deadly danger!

Stalin must be overthrown before it is too late!

BEETHOVEN HALL


210 East 5th Street

New York City

FRIDAY: March 28

The meeting will begin promptly at 8:30 P.M.

Auspices: Socialist Workers Party



This chart shows that the more the workers produce, the less they share in the products of their labor. As the national income rose since 1932, the workers received in wages a smaller and smaller percentage of this income. Profits and dividends increasingly eat up the lion's share of the nation's income.

UAW-CIO Shows Power At Ford In 3 Stoppages

(Continued from page 1)

called in the Union Committee and agreed that over 1,000 fired workers at the Rouge plant would be returned to their jobs.

Ford's representative in most of these negotiations turned out to be none other than Homer Martin, former international president of the UAW-CIO. It will be recalled that, after losing control of the union, Martin called his own paper convention in opposition to the Cleveland convention of the UWA-CIO, and attempted to lead a split-off into the AFL.

That contemptible scheme having failed, now we find Martin turning up in the camp of the class enemy. We therefore carry below the scoreboards for both last week and this week.

FORD'S GAME
Ford still has many tricks in his bag. Still, he has no intention of recognizing and bargaining with the UAW-CIO. Ford's strategy is to stall and delay things, until the white-hot militancy of the Ford workers cools and he can then, with the help of cowardly, venal and corrupted AFL officials, ram a company union down the throats of the workers. The strikers told him something to the effect of "fly a kite." The man called a cop and identified himself as John P. Thompson Jr.!

Already Frank H. Bowen, regional Director of the NLRB, has stated that if the Labor Board orders an election at the Ford plants, about 60 days would elapse before it could be held.

There is no excuse for this delay and stalling. The union is all set for action right now. The Ford workers are prepared. The union must now insist on an immediate election, which it will win, without a doubt, by an overwhelming majority, and then proceed to immediate negotiations with the Ford management on a signed contract. Either Ford signs on the dotted line or he cannot operate his plants!

NO SCABS, PLEASE
There are rumors afloat that Thompson's intends to open some of the stores with scabs. Thompson's may believe that the militant strikers have worn themselves out with all the spirit and exuberance they put into the activities of the strike during the first week and a half. Thompson's should be immediately warned that when it comes to solidarity and action on the part of 302, the bosses haven't seen anything yet.

HERE WE ARE FOR BOTH WEEKS

Strike. Pittsburgh, likewise reports that the striking workers at Vanadium and elsewhere show tremendous interest in the news stories carried each week by THE MILITANT. To those comrades in a position to make such excellent use of the paper we freely offer the maximum of cooperation, and ask only that we be kept in close touch with their work.

SWP Candidate Blast Bill to Keep C. P. Off Jersey Ballot

NEWARK, N. J.—George Breitman, Socialist Workers Party candidate for City Commission, denounced the Assembly bill, proposed by Majority Leader Boswell, that would keep off election ballots in New Jersey, names of Communists or other candidates "who advocate overthrow of the government."

Declaring that his party had always opposed the "false and harmful policies of the Stalinists," Breitman said: "Nevertheless, it is the working class that must settle accounts with them."

"Passage of this bill, although it is aimed presumably at the Communist Party chiefly, would really be an attack on the rights of the working class as a whole.

"I believe that nothing shows better the hollowness of the slogan about the coming 'war for democracy' abroad than introduction of measures such as this one which would destroy the democratic rights of minority groups at home."

The company's witnesses, including the cops, have turned out, however, to be more of a hindrance than a help to the District

Attorney. One witness, after vehemently protesting against any implication that he had been coached, on what to say, revealed that he had visited the District Attorney for a whole day on March 19, the day before he testified.

In the District Attorney's office at the same time, peculiarly enough, was the head strikebreaker, a pink with whom this witness swore he didn't have the slightest acquaintance. During his further testimony, however, the truth slipped out that the head strikebreaker had driven him to and from the court.

THE MILITANT correspondent had the opportunity to speak to Charles Mangano, shop steward of the night shift who was in charge of the strike committee. Mangano, about 24 years old, has enough phoney charges stacked against him by the District Attorney to send him to prison for 48 years. He is the center of attack.


Mangano expressed the opinion of the workers of the Triangle Company, when he said:

"This is an example of what a person has to face when he tries to earn a decent living. The bosses call in cops, finks, strikebreakers."

When the correspondent asked Brother Mangano if he thought the workers would win, he stated emphatically:

"The whole trial is a farce. A few more of their witnesses and they'll have to throw the case out of court. And that before we call any of our witnesses."

Harry Bridges Defense Committee



SWOC Sec-Treas. David McDonald (left) and CIO Vice Pres. Sherman Dalrymple, who have been named by CIO head Philip Murray as an official CIO committee to aid in combating the anti-labor action of the Department of Justice in its deportation proceedings against Harry Bridges.

HIGHLIGHTS IN THE LABOR PRESS

BY CARL O'SHEA

The Chicago FEDERATIONIST reports that Teamsters Joint Council No. 25 has formed an American Legion Post consisting of war veterans who are members of the Council. The action is motivated by "the apparent political ambition of some of the aggressive leaders" of the American Legion which has "resulted in the advocacy of sentiments and the suggestion of action . . . definitely at variance with the primal principles of the organization."

The St. Louis LABOR TRIBUNE reports that the AFL Machinists have just signed an agreement with the local Curtiss-Wright aircraft plant, involving about 10,000 workers. The agreement provides for wage increases of 3c-15c hourly, with a bonus of 7c hourly to workers on the first night shift. Hourly minimums for unskilled workers are set at 59c, for skilled workers at \$1.12. Seniority, vacation with pay, and time and one-half and double-time for overtime are specified.

The AFL Machinists won a Labor Board election at Curtiss-Wright on December 12th by a 3-1 majority.

A story headlined "U. S. FARMER HAS BIG STAKE IN BRITISH VICTORY," in the March FARMERS UNION HERALD, describes the line the administration is taking to line up the farmers behind the war program. The article describes the talk Claude Wickard, Secretary of Agriculture, made before the National Farm Institute in Des Moines recently.

"Exports had marched gradually down hill for years, but a few months of this war stopped foreign sales of farm products almost completely. Whether we will ever regain them is a question. Certainly their return is unlikely in the event of a Nazi victory. If Britain triumphs, we might begin to sell our cotton, wheat, lard and other farm products overseas in something like their pre-war volume," Wickard promised the farmers.

How does the Railroad Labor Disputes Law work out for the railroad workers? Not so good, if you consider the settlement the workers on the Rutland, Vt. railroad just got handed by the emergency board appointed by Roosevelt. According to LABOR, the 15 railroad unions have now taken "a voluntary deduction of five per cent for employees earning over \$15 a week." In return, the railroad crosses it heart that "back wages due employees will be repaid, if and when the carrier's revenue increases to a certain point. The management also agrees to consult with employees before making any substantial decreases in working forces."

That is a stunning union defeat in any worker's language.

Philip LaFollette appears to be seeking to revive his Progressive Party. According to the PROGRESSIVE, an open house was held last week in the Progressive House in Madison where ex-Governor LaFollette predicted that "all those problems that we had in September 1938 are going to be back on our doorstep, no matter how this war crisis may develop." LaFollette urged a political realignment around his party.

LaFollette always plays along with one of the two boss parties when it pays him to. His latest proposal means that he perceives growing mass dissatisfaction with the old parties.

The latest issue of the Russian Bulletin of the Left Opposition is just off the press. The contents of this issue are extremely important. It includes a special article by Natalia Trotsky, widow of the founder of the Fourth International, Leon Trotsky, who was murdered by the G.P.U. This article is entitled, "So It Was."

In addition, the Bulletin features exclusive information on conditions in the Soviet Union and other articles dealing with the revolutionary labor movement throughout the world.

Copies of the Bulletin may be secured at 116 University Place, New York City. Sympathizers of the Russian Left Opposition are urged to bring this issue to the attention of their Russian-reading friends.

Second Week Begins Monday March 31st

The MARXIST SCHOOL

Irving Plaza Irving Place & 15 St., N. Y. C.

I. Permanent Revolution in American History—By William F. Ward

A study of the development of American history in the light of the Marxist theory of permanent revolution; sketching the main causes and characteristics; consequences of revolutionary movements; their internal inter-connections, international roots and meaning; their rise and fall.

2. The Colonial Revolt and the Constitution

3. The Degeneration of the First American Revolution. The Autocracy of the Slave Power; the New Revolutionary Forces.

4. The Second American Revolution: The Role of the Industrial Workers during the Civil War.

5. The Democratic Dictatorship of the Bourgeoisie.

6. The Coming American Revolution.

Mondays—7:10 to 8:40 P.M.—6 Sessions \$1.00

II. American Labor Movements—By Lydia Beidel

A study of the principles, composition, function, and history of the various labor organizations.

2. Knights of Labor and the Molly Maguires.

3. Western Federation of Miners and the I.W.W.

4. The American Federation of Labor.

5. The A.F.L.—C.I.O. Split and the C.I.O.

6. General Trade Union Principles.

Mondays—8:50 to 10:20 P.M.—6 Sessions \$1.00

REGISTRATION

Registration may be made at the school office, 116 University Place, every day (except Sunday), between 12 noon and 7 P.M., or by mail.

IV. Trade Unionism and Politics—By Farrell Dobbs

An analysis of the crisis now facing the trade unions in the face of World War II. A study of the daily problems of the workers and the strategy of militant leadership in the struggle against the bosses.

2. Marxist Strategy in Mediation, Arbitration, and Negotiation of Trade Union Contracts.

3. How to Lead a Militant Strike.

4. The Role of the Trade Unions in the Epoch of Wars and Revolutions.

5. The Necessity for the Trade Unions to Enter Politics.

6. Machine Control—The Boss Mechanism for Sterilizing Organized Labor.

Wednesdays—8:50 to 10:20 P.M.—6 sessions \$1.00

ATTENDANCE

Those who do not wish to register for a full course may attend single lectures. The charge for single lectures will be twenty-five cents.

GRACE CARLSON TOUR THIS WEEK

Comrade Grace Carlson arrives in San Francisco this week on her national speaking tour. She is now on the last lap of the long tour which began January 2 in Milwaukee and ends April 9 in Minnesota' Twin Cities.

The rest of her tour follows:

SAN FRANCISCO: Friday

Sunday, March 28-30. She speaks Friday, 8 p.m

Union Victor In N. Y. Bus Strike

Forces Bosses To Drop Wage Cut Proposal; Battle On Subway Will Come In June

NEW YORK CITY, March 22—The latest attempt of Mayor La Guardia and the New York City Board of Transportation to undermine the Transport Workers Union (CIO) has failed.

After an 11-day effort to smash the strike of 3,500 bus workers of the carriers of 90 per cent of the city's bus traffic, La Guardia and the companies had to back down and agree to a settlement last Thursday favorable to the union.

What is more, everybody understood that thereby the union had won the preliminary round in the greater battle to maintain union conditions on the subways.

The ability of the bus workers, held their ranks solid for 11 days, successfully beat off the blows of the companies and city officials and the strike-breaking barrage of the big business newspapers, is an inspiration to the subway workers, whose showdown comes at the end of June.

SETTLEMENT TERMS

The busses rolled this morning for the first time since 5 A. M. Monday, March 10, with the bus drivers and helpers triumphant in the knowledge that they had defeated the companies' demands for wage cuts, longer hours, elimination of sick leaves, reduction of paid vacations and the firing of one out of every two men on the Fifth Avenue two-man busses.

The bosses have instead, agreed to renew the old contract and, in addition to arbitrate the union's demand for wage increases totaling up to \$1,000,000. Up to the last moment the companies had insisted that arbitration include the companies' demands.

Mayor La Guardia, abandoning any pretense of pro-labor sympathy, launched a vitriolic radio attack on the union on the Sunday preceding the strike. In a lying broadside, La Guardia declared: "They just want to strike. They refuse to arbitrate even though the companies are willing to extend the present contract."

What the companies had actually offered was disclosed in their own full-page ads carried every day for a week in all the major newspapers in the city. "We offered to extend the existing contracts for 30, 60 or ninety days, or whatever time was necessary to IRON OUT ANY DIFFERENCES."

That is, the companies had merely agreed to a purely temporary extension of the old contract, but remained adamant in their insistence that negotiations be based on company demands for wage reductions and destruction of other vital union conditions.

STRIKEBREAKING MOVES

La Guardia continued throughout the strike to collaborate in the companies' attacks and the propaganda campaign of the millionaire press by his public insistence that the union should immediately call off the strike and arbitrate on the company's basis.

Police Commissioner Valentine, undoubtedly on orders from La Guardia, sought to intimidate the strikers by announcing he was prepared to give full police protection to scabs in the event that the companies tried to operate the busses.

But the strength of the union and the plainly expressed determination of the strikers not to permit strikebreaking forced the city and the companies to retreat from their provocative plans.

La Guardia then tried to maneuver the union into an unfavorable light by his appointment of a "fact-finding" three-man board to "study" the strike and to determine whether the companies' arbitration proposals were "reasonable."

When the board was named by La Guardia last Wednesday it was abundantly clear what its strike-breaking purpose was. The members were Noel Dowling, conservative law professor of the University; William S. Menden, former president of the Brooklyn-Manhattan Transit Corporation; and Thomas E. Murray, former receiver for the Interborough Rapid Transit Company.

WHO IS THE PUBLIC

During the strike the boss press kept hammering away at the "inconvenience to the public." The "public," that is the huge working population of New York, composed in the main of unionized workers, their friends, relatives and sympathizers, took the strike very differently than did the newspapers. They simply rode the subways, which were slightly more

California Aircraft Pickets



Member of the National Die Casters Association of America and the United Automobile Workers, both CIO affiliates, picketing the Harvil Aircraft Die Casting plant at Ingelwood, California, where 350 workers just settled their 10-day strike for union recognition and wage increases.

Life And Death Issues In The Vanadium Strike

Every Striker Has Miner's Asthma From This Death-Dealing Work: Without A Union's Protection These Men Will Die So Much Sooner

BRIDGEVILLE, Pa., March 23—When Sidney Hillman intervened eight days ago against the 400 striking workers of the Vanadium Corporation here and attacked their union, he was dealing a blow at the very lives of these workers.

For the union means life itself to the fighting Vanadium workers, and that's why Hillman got nowhere.

Before they had a union, a production job in the plant was considered almost a sentence of death. Today, because of the union, the workers have compelled the company to maintain some of the minimum health and safety requirements.

Back in the early thirties, the Vanadium workers worked for as low as 25 cents an hour. The union has won them a minimum wage of 72 cents an hour.

Even today the saying goes in this town that few men can last in Vanadium more than five years.

DEATH-DEALING JOBS

The Vanadium plant is a killer. Almost every worker has a cough, the sign of the miner's asthma caused by the action of the vanadium dust eating away at the respiratory organs, the throat and the lungs.

One-third of the plant's workers have been on the job less than a year. Two-thirds have been at the work less than two years. Only 66 men of the plant's production personnel have managed to survive at the job more than five years.

And the job is a killer for other reasons. The handling and mixing of the heavy vanadium dust is grueling, back-breaking labor. Two men in stifling masks, shovel and mix the wighty substance for 15 minutes. That is as long as a man can last at the task. Then two more workers relieve them.

ers struck to save the union which means life itself to them.

A BAND OF HEROES

In the past six weeks, these 400 Vanadium workers have stood off the stupendous pressure of the company officials; they have withstood the envenomed lies of the boss press of the entire nation;

they have jeered their defiance of the strike breaking efforts of Governor James and his seab-herding state police; they have refused to bow before the might of the federal government itself, when Hillman, acting in the interests of E. T. Bransome, "labor consultant" on Hillman's staff and president of the Vanadium Corporation, tried to club them back to work last week with "national defense" threats.

Only today, for the first time since the strike began, Anthony Federoff, regional CIO director

who supported the company and deserted the strikers by ordering them back to work against their own democratic vote, was forced into direct conference with the strikers. They rejected, by a 11 to 70 vote, Federoff's proposal to accept Hillman's proposal to return to work and submit unconditionally to third-party arbitration.

WHY THEY STRIKE

The strike was called February 10th after the company hired six professional company police to act as spies over the workers. The union protested on the basis of a provision in the union contract, that if the company insisted on hiring plant guards the jobs must go to union men.

Carey's answer to THE MILITANT doesn't read so well now! He will learn what his more experienced and cynical fellow bureaucrats in the war machine have learned a long time ago; namely, never to say anything on a principled issue lest he have to eat the paper it was written on.

As soon as they were hired, these

guards were used inside the plant to push, goad and harass the workers.

The workers realized that this was the beginning of a company plan to break their union step by step. And so the Vanadium work-

BULLETIN

Murray Enters Vanadium Strike

BRIDGEVILLE, Pa., March 24—Philip Murray, CIO head, intervened today in the Vanadium strike.

Murray called a committee of the strikers to his office and took relations with the strikers out of the hands of CIO sub-

regional director Federoff.

Murray then proposed to the company a settlement including:

dismissal of five non-union

plant guards, selection of

five new plant guards by an

impartial party, return of all

strikers to work without dis-

crimination, and payment of

back pay to the strikers if an

arbitration board determines

that the strike was not in vi-

olation of the union contract.

ers struck to save the union which means life itself to them.

STRIKERS NEED AID

To date, the Vanadium workers have carried on an almost miraculous battle practically alone. They are prepared to continue that fight with all the strength and spirit they possess.

But they must have assistance. Their funds are exhausted. Their kitchen shelves are empty. Day after day they hold on by sheer force of will, buoyed up in the conviction that they are fighting for principles which are the dearest possession of all free workers.

The Vanadium strike is the fight of every union man and woman in America. Don't let these 400 heroic workers down! All they need to continue their battle is some measure of fraternal support and material aid from the workers in the world outside.

Material aid and resolution of support should be sent to United Vanadium Workers, L.I.U. 953, American Legion Hall, c/o Pat McCracken, Bridgeville, Pa.

Give these brave union men and their loyal wives and families the aid they so fully deserve, and they will defeat the greatest powers of anti-labor monopoly capital in America.

Bethlehem's Main Plant Is Struck

(Continued from Page 1) to "election" of "representatives" to a company union council.

The latest move of the Morgan-dominated Bethlehem Steel Corporation to stem the tide of unionism in its vast domain is to bolster its "Employees' Representation Plan" through a company-sponsored, company-financed and controlled "election" which begins tomorrow. Last week flag-draped ballot boxes were suddenly placed in all the departments of the many mills of the company dominating the length of the Bethlehem valley.

STRIKE NOTICE SERVED

Notice of the strike was served on the company officials and Sidney Hillman, associate director of the Office for Production Management, by Howard T. Curtiss, local director of the Steel Workers Organizing Committee (CIO).

Curtiss declared that the Bethlehem workers intend to shut the plant down tight if the company does not agree before tomorrow to call off its "primary" election to the E.R.P.

The fighting temper of the workers here was shown recently by a two-day stoppage involving 5,000 workers when the company refused to meet with union departmental committees to settle a rising flood of grievances.

A PROTEST STRIKE

The strike is intended primarily as a protest, to focus national attention on the fact that Bethlehem Steel is still operating a company union nine months after the N.L.R.B. ordered its dissolution and that the second largest steel corporation in America is the only major steel company still maintaining a company union set-up in violation of the Wagner Labor Act.

Despite the decisions of the N.L.R.B., the government has not made a move to enforce the dissolution of this anti-labor set-up. The balloting for the company union is to be conducted on company property under the direct supervision of the plant's foremen, supervisors and company police. The management has not permitted the SWOC to be listed on the ballot, well aware that the union commands an overwhelming majority in the plants.

COMPANY UNION'S METHOD

The company tries to give its company union an appearance of "independence" by not paying the company union officials directly for their services as finks. They are given soft jobs around the plants while being listed as rollers or similar types of workers and drawing down pay as high as \$15 a day.

Under the pretense of "investigating" the grievances of the men, these finks loaf around the

He's Not Smiling Now!



Harry Bennett, Ford Motor Company personnel manager and head of the Ford "Service Department" army of thugs and stool pigeons, isn't smiling like this now. This picture was taken before the United Automobile Workers (CIO) succeeded in organizing tens of thousands of Ford Workers and scaring hell out of old Hank Ford.

departments spying on the workers, or often hang around the neighborhood beer spots and pool halls during work hours. Frequently they don't even bother to change from their street clothes into work clothes.

While the company union officials are "permitted" to deal with the department foremen and supervisors inside the plants on company time, the CIO representatives are compelled to deal only with the personnel director, and on their own time.

There are no membership meetings of the company union. The workers are merely compelled to vote in a Hitler "ja" election for "representatives" to a central council which elects its own officers as designated by the company.

A typical meeting of the management and the company union

officers usually runs as follows: the plant manager opens the meeting with a long patriotic speech and then leaves, and an assistant or the personnel manager then conducts the "negotiations," involving such important grievances as a new drinking fountain, cleaner toilets, increased parking space or how to increase "efficiency," that is, speed up the workers more. And that's all.

The company union system has been in existence in Bethlehem Steel for over 20 years, ever since the smashing of the 1919 steel strike.

But the hours of Eugene Grace's "Employees' Representation Plan" are numbered. "O little town of Bethlehem, how still we see thee lie," will not be sung in this little steel town until the SWOC gets Eugene Grace's signature on a union contract.

BUFFALO COMMITTEE DEMAND AIRCRAFT JOBS FOR NEGROES

BUFFALO, N. Y.—The Committee to Defend Negro Rights, composed of white and Negro workers and progressives, has launched a campaign against the Curtiss-Wright Aircraft Company and other corporations here, to force the companies to abandon their refusal to hire Negro workers.

The committee—headed by Lyman Covert, international representative of the United Automobile Workers Union (CIO) and organizer for the Curtiss-Wright local; Paul Kujac, representative of the Workers Defense League; and Reverend J. Hahn, pastor of the Evangelical Reform Church—recently called at the Curtiss-Wright plant and forced a hearing on their demands for jobs for Negroes by the personnel director of the plant.

The committee went to the plant after the management ignored a registered letter from the committee asking for a conference.

The committee is continuing to hammer away at the corporation.

Last Chance At A Good Thing!!!

This is the last issue of the Militant which will make this special offer, take advantage of it.

Mail this coupon and 50c not later than April 5, 1941

and receive

8 issues of THE MILITANT both
2 issues of FOURTH INTERNATIONAL for 50c

CLIP AND MAIL THIS COUPON NOW
The Militant
116 University Place
New York City

I enclose 50c. Please send my introductory subscription to:

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____

In NEWARK, N. J.
Buy the
MILITANT
at
Newsstand, Broad
& Wm. Sts.
Cohen's
Confectionary,
11 SPRINGFIELD AVE
near Court House.

The Negro's Fight For Jobs, Equal Rights

Future Outlook League Growing In Cleveland

Negro Organization Uses Militant Methods To Win Jobs; Encourages Its Members To Join The CIO And AFL Trade Unions

By GEORGE SCHRAUM

"The first Negro motion picture operator in Cleveland, is going to work tonight, across the street in the Globe Theater. Today he is going down to join the union. We do not object to that. Our concern is that the shows living on Negro patronage hire Negro operators, and that when they are in the union, they get the same deal as the rest of the members."

This was cited as an example by John Q. Holly President of the Future Outlook League, when I asked him how his organization stood on the matter of trade unions.

The F.O.L. is an independent Negro organization whose slogan among its people is, "Don't spend your money where you can't work!"

In answer to my query as to whether the F.O.L. attempted to speak for the Negro on the broader social political issues affecting his race, Mr. Holly replied:

"Of course we have our own personal opinions but as an organization we stick pretty close to the economic issue of jobs, and let the other organizations take care of such issues. We also have a housing committee which is working to remedy the deplorable housing conditions of the Negroes in the city."

HOW THE F.O.L. GETS JOBS FOR NEGROES

Six years ago, when the F.O.L. was founded, very few merchants in the Negro area hired any but white employees, and the few Negroes were underpaid porters. Today, these merchants employ 1100 members of the (F.O.L.) Employe's Association who are working under negotiated agreements affecting wages and working conditions.

Altogether the F.O.L. has 16,000 members and several affiliates such as the Employe's Association, the Beauticians Association, and the Independent Ice and Coal Men's Association. The Employe's Association keeps five business agents busy enforcing contracts. A steady stream of people going through the organization's headquarters attests to the influence which the organization has in its community.

In the beginning, the F.O.L. started by making a simple appeal to merchants but soon became "convinced that appealing to a

these charges appear to be largely unfounded.

Mr. Holly recognizes that the methods of his organization are limited. He admitted, for example, that Negroes could not put pressure upon Thompson Products by boycotting airplane parts. And while his organization advocates the establishment of Negro-owned business, it does recognize that the struggle for Negro rights is mainly a labor struggle.

The organization generally favors the trade union movement. In some cases it has had clashes with AFL unions whose reactionary leadership refused to recognize the right of Negroes to work in those fields where the unions had jurisdiction. Where the established trade union movement does not take in Negroes or offer them any job security, the F.O.L.'s Employe's Association acts as a union for these workers.

Therefore the organization adopted a militant policy of boycott and picket lines. Once after being faced with an injunction, it issued the following statement:

"Laws and legal technicalities and decisions of judges that will allow other groups (whites) to picket in the heart of downtown, or picket in any other part of the city, and at the same time prevent Negroes from using the same method in demanding their economic rights will never be obeyed or recognized by the Future Outlook League. There is no power on earth that is going to stop this organization from fighting against the injustices that are accorded the Negro."

F.O.L. SAYS IT STANDS FOR TRADE UNIONISM

Naturally, the F.O.L. was drawn fire from enemies as a result of its militant methods. It has been charged with racketeering but, as far as we can find out, with as little justification as Pegler and other boss agents have in making the same charges against the union movement. More serious are the charges that the organization is guilty of a race chauvinism which would prevent Negro and white workers from working together in the trade unions, and of sponsoring the view that the Negro can solve all his problems by building up a separate economic life through the establishment of Negro business. However,



UNIONS SUPPORT DEFENSE OF ODELL WALLER

Moral and material support of more than a hundred labor organizations throughout the country have been given Odell Waller, young Negro share-cropper temporarily saved from death in the electric chair by a stay of execution, after his conviction by an all-white planters' jury in Virginia in the self-defense killing of his white landlord.

Scores of local unions have sent resolutions of support and funds for Waller to the Workers Defense League, which is handling the organization of Waller's defense.

The CIO Council of Detroit and Wayne County voted a unanimous resolution in defense of Waller and made a substantial financial contribution. The Council followed this up with an official appeal to every CIO local in the area to take similar action.

"If the two races are to live together in this country, it may as well be understood that the War has in no way changed the attitude of the white man toward the social and political equality of the Negro. If, as a result of his experiences in the War, he does not care to live in this land without political and social equality, then he can depart for any country he wishes, and his departure will be facilitated by the white people of this country who desire no disturbing factor in their midst."

In the meanwhile, we stand for full social, political, and economic equality for the Negro people, and will support such organizations as the Future Outlook League in their fight for their oppressed race.

ODELL WALLER

MOTHER WALLER



MAY PROBE JIM CROW IN WAR INDUSTRIES

Senator Byrnes of South Carolina, Roosevelt's floor leader and chairman of the powerful Senate Audit and Control Committee, looks as the most immediate stumbling block to the passage of Senate Resolution 75, which provides for an investigation of discrimination against the Negro workers in the war production plants.

Before the measure can be brought to the Senate floor for a vote, it must first be passed by the Audit and Control Committee. Under Senate rules, all measures providing for expenditures from the Senate contingent fund must first be approved by the Audit and Control committee.

The rumor that Byrnes is Roosevelt's choice for the present vacancy on the United States Supreme Court has evoked a sharp protest from the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.

Never during his public life has he (Byrnes) deviated from the position he took more than twenty years ago," the memorandum says, and quotes Byrnes' speech in Congress, August 25, 1919, in which he said:

"If the two races are to live together in this country, it may as well be understood that the War has in no way changed the attitude of the white man toward the social and political equality of the Negro. If, as a result of his experiences in the War, he does not care to live in this land without political and social equality, then he can depart for any country he wishes, and his departure will be facilitated by the white people of this country who desire no disturbing factor in their midst."

As a result of all these

Many Bills Introduced Against Discrimination

But Not All Of Them Are Really For The Benefit Of The Negro; One Group Of Them Is Really Bosses' Weapon Against Unions

By ALBERT PARKER

A considerable number of bills dealing with discrimination against Negroes in employment have been introduced in several state legislatures and in Congress. Negroes and trade unionists are quite naturally interested in learning what these bills are all about, which ones are worth supporting, which ones are harmful.

Most of the bills can be divided roughly into two categories: (1) Those which penalize employers who discriminate against Negroes in hiring; and (2) those which penalize trade unions which bar Negroes from

membership.

In order for Negro workers to arrive at a correct approach

methods, many white workers have been taught to look down on the Negro people; as another result, Negro workers have been filled with suspicion of all white people, including white workers. All this is right up the bosses' alley.

WE CAN'T LET ENEMIES "CLEAN" OUR HOUSE

We cannot depend on the boss government which Jim Crows the Negro in the army and navy to wipe out Jim Crowism in the labor movement. That job can be done only by the advanced workers of all races.

THE FIGHT TO GET JOBS FOR NEGROES

In this situation, where the bosses are the exploiters and the Negroes are oppressed, it is correct to use every possible means to force the bosses to open the doors of industry to the Negro. It is correct to demand that the employers, who are getting billions through war contracts, be refused such contracts if they refuse to hire Negroes.

This does not mean that we should place very much reliance on these bills as a means of winning jobs for Negroes. To do so and to forget about the method of mass action and mass demonstration to win concessions from the bosses, would be a great mistake. One good picket line is worth a dozen bills.

We must never forget that the government which is designated to carry out the provisions of these bills is a bosses' government; that it believes in the same things the bosses believe in; that it sets the example of "white supremacy" in its army and navy; and in civil service; and that already there are plenty of anti-discrimination laws on the statute books which are not enforced.

BILLS AGAINST UNIONS WILL HARM NEGROES

The bills penalizing employer may do some good. But the bills penalizing unions can do no good at all and are certain to do harm both to Negro and white workers.

Both the white worker and the Negro worker are victims of the capitalist system. Each suffers from wage cuts, depressions, fascism and war. Each suffers from the bosses' divide-and-rule policy even though many white workers (like many Negro workers) don't see this very plainly yet.

Both the white worker and the Negro worker want the same things: decent jobs and homes, the right to live freely and happily. They are both denied these things by the capitalist system of private property and profit. When they must do is unite their force against their common enemy and take the things they have built and created and use them for the common good of all.

"But," some Negroes will say, "these bills are not aimed at all the unions, they are aimed only at some unions guilty of Jim Crowism. We believe in unionism, we want to be good union men, it is the enemies of the Negroes who are keeping us from becoming good and devoted unionists."

There is truth in this argument, but still it is not enough to change the fundamental task. Opening only some unions to the attacks of the bosses and their government is a springboard for opening the union movement as a whole to such attacks. For once some unions can be smashed, the appetite of the bosses will be whetted, and they will not be satisfied to let it go at that. We cannot ask our enemies to clean our own house, for they will not do it in our interests. And the labor movement is the house of the Negro people.

As against the bosses, we must defend the unions, even when some of their leaders or members have done wrong against the working class. If in any way we help the bosses to weaken our own class organizing, we lay ourselves open, and the Negroes lay themselves open, to attacks that if successful will send us all back ten or 15 years.

Meanwhile, the advanced workers, the class conscious workers, the more far-seeing workers of all races, will work with us in educating all trade unionists. Widespread discussion of these bills can do much to awaken backward white workers to the necessity of admitting Negroes into all unions on an equal and comradely basis for the struggle to improve the conditions of workers of all races.

We can readily understand and sympathize with the resentment of the Negroes against the Jim Crow leadership of some of the AFL unions and the railroad brotherhoods. We of the Socialist Workers Party have always fought inside the trade union movement for full and unqualified equality for the Negro people. Our record shows that we have consistently fought William Green and Co. on this question.

Nevertheless we are forced to oppose passage of any bill penalizing unions. We oppose such bills because they will hurt the interests of the Negro and white workers alike.

The bosses could and would try to use such bills, in the name of "destroying discrimination," to destroy the labor movement. We

The Negro And The U. S. Army

Once again the colored people of America are being exhorted to join the "war for democracy." Once again there are Negro "leaders" who, like the Judas Goats that lead cattle to the slaughter, are telling their people that support of the war will lead to better treatment of the Negro at home. Once again—for all this happened in 1917-18. What the promises of white rulers and Negro "leaders" are worth can be judged by reading these articles on the Negro's experiences during the last war.—EDITORS

* * *

By EUGENE VARLIN

The ruling class approved the utilization of the colored people for the non-combatant work, that is, for the drudgery so essential to the functioning of a modern army; two-thirds of the Negroes drafted were used this way. There was by no means the same unanimity on the use of colored combat units. The South, particularly, was afraid to arm the Negroes.

"What chiefly disturbs the South," wrote the New Republic on October 20, 1917, "is the probable effect upon the Negro population of the return of the men who have served their campaigns. Will the Negro be the same kind of man when he is mustered out as he was when he was mustered in? Will he accept the facts of white supremacy with the same spirit as formerly? Or will he have acquired a new sense of independence that will make of him a fomenter of unrest among his people?"

After drilling in preparation for duty at the front, thousands of Negroes were placed in Labor Battalions. Of 3,380 men recruited by November, 1917, into the colored 372nd Regiment 1,680 were shifted to labor work and only 500 were sent to a combat organization at Camp Upton. The Harlem 369th after landing in France in January, 1918, was temporarily assigned to the engineers. The same fate befell the 370th, 371st, and 372nd Regiments.

It is interesting to note that the arrival of the Negro combat troops and their whereabouts in France were kept hidden from the newspaper correspondents by the American General Staff. The Literary Digest of June 15, 1918, reported: "For more than a month, they (the Negro combat troops) have played a part in the vast theater of war, yet save for certain personages at head-

Pershing addressed the Negro troops assembled at Le Mans before their departure for the United States as follows: "... You have measured up to every expectation of the Commander-in-Chief ... I commend the 32nd Division for its achievements not only in the field, but on the record its men have made in their individual conduct."

In general, however, the American officers tended to deprive the Negroes of the military honors to which they were entitled. After a particularly notable exploit of the 372nd Regiment, says Du Bois, "Congratulations came in from everywhere except American Headquarters."

Attitude of the White Soldiers

The white and Negro rank and file drew closer during the war, learning from common experience that they were allies, not enemies.

O. E. McKaine wrote from France that "the white and colored Americans in the ranks mix nearly everywhere, indiscriminately. There were times when they ate out of the same mess outfit, drank out of the same canteen and bunked in the same hay pile at night. They—the white and colored soldiers—have been seen strolling and eating with French women without the least embarrassment. Many times the white soldiers have invited the Buffaloes over to their billets for a good time and they have fairly lived among us."

The white officers did everything in their power to destroy the growing solidarity of the white and Negro soldiers. Anti-Negro actions, says McKane, "were due to the policies of the officials rather than to the inclinations of the men themselves."

The white officers were afraid of the effect that contact with the French would have on the colored American soldiers and tried, in every way possible, to prevent such contact.

Negro soldiers were forbidden to move about freely in the French towns. Here are typical examples: On October 18, 1918, General Goybet, commanding the 157th Division, prohibited "the entrance of inns and the sale of spirits to the American colored troops of the division."

In November 1918, General Horn ordered the officers (colored) of the 167th Brigade Detachment to stop attending the dances tendered by the French ladies of Vannes. On December 26, 1918, when the war for "democracy" had already

been brought to a successful conclusion, Brigadier-General Erwin of the 92nd Division of colored troops issued the following order:

"The special duties with which military police are charged are . . .

"(B) To prevent enlisted men from addressing or holding conversation with the women inhabitants of the town . . .

"(F) To prevent enlisted men from entering any building other than their respective billets, with the exceptions of stores, places of amusement, and cafes."

On August 7, 1918, at the behest of American General Headquarters, the French Military Mission stationed with the American army issued a document entitled "Secret Information Concerning Black Troops" to the mayors and prefects of France:

"... The French public has become accustomed to treating the Negro with familiarity and indulgence."

"This familiarity and this indulgence are matters of grievous concern for the Americans. They consider them an affront to their national policy. They are afraid that contact with the French will inspire in black Americans aspirations which will lead them to appear intolerable. It is of the utmost importance that every effort be made to avoid profoundly estranging American opinion."

"Although a citizen of the United States, the black man is regarded by the white American as an inferior being with whom only relations of business or service are possible . . .

"We must prevent the rise of any pronounced degree of intimacy between French officers and black officers . . . We must not eat with them, must not shake hands or seek to talk or meet with them outside of the requirements of military service . . .

"We must not command too highly the black American troops, particularly in the presence of Americans . . .

"Make a point of keeping the native cantonment population from 'spoiling' the Negroes. Americans become greatly incensed at any public expression of intimacy between white women and black men."

This is the sixth article in this series. The final article will appear next week. Don't miss it!

cannot delegate to the bosses or to the bosses' government the job of destroying Jim Crowism in the unions, for the bosses are the friends of neither the Negroes nor the unions. They would try to destroy the unions, not to help one section of the working class, the Negroes, but to cut wages and lengthen hours of all workers including the Negroes.

WE CAN'T LET ENEMIES "CLEAN" OUR HOUSE

We cannot depend on the boss government which Jim Crows the Negro in the army and navy to wipe out Jim Crowism in the labor movement. That job can be done only by the advanced workers of all races.

THE FIGHT TO GET JOBS FOR NEGROES

In this situation, where the bosses are the exploiters and the Negroes are oppressed, it is correct to use every possible means to force the bosses to open the doors of industry to the Negro. It is correct to demand that the employers, who are getting billions through war contracts, be refused such contracts if they refuse to hire Negroes.

THE CIO SHOWS WHAT CAN BE DONE

The only way to educate the backward rank-and-file unionists to the need of admitting Negroes on an equal basis to all unions is by showing them that unity is necessary to protect the union's gains, and that Negroes are good unionists who want to protect the unions.

This is often a hard job, we admit, but there is no other way to unite black and white. That it is not impossible is shown in the example of the powerful CIO, where Negroes are admitted as members with full equality and play a leading role in the unions.

"LABOR WITH A WHITE SKIN CANNOT EMANCIPATE ITSELF WHERE LABOR WITH A BLACK SKIN IS BRANDED" — KARL MARX

Negro Struggle

By ALBERT PARKER

The Fight Against Ford

In his latest broadside against the CIO, D. J. Marshall, Negro personnel head of the Ford Motor Company (who will be fired by Ford, not by the union, if Ford is organized), hurls the following challenge at the United Auto Workers Union: "The proposition seems to resolve itself to this: The union tells colored people that, if they will join the union, they will get industrial freedom; the Negroes at the Ford Motor Company tell the union that if they will give the colored workers this independence in the shops where the union is already established, then they might consider unionism."

To answer this challenge successfully would be to win the great majority of Negroes over to the union and to practically assure that the workers' ranks would be united and indivisible against Ford, Bennett and Marshall. As THE MILITANT has pointed out before, what is needed now is an aggressive policy, a program that takes the offensive against the bosses, on the question of Negro rights in industry. It is not enough to prove that the CIO has not been guilty of discrimination. It must be demonstrated that the CIO fights for Negro rights throughout the industry, which of course Ford will never do. And the CIO can demonstrate this.

Negroes should say to Marshall: "The proposition is also this: You and Ford tell the Negro that he is better off in Ford's open shop than elsewhere; the Negroes tell you that if you will, call off your anti-union squads of thugs, and if you'll raise wages 10c, an hour so they'll equal wages in other auto plants, and if you'll reduce the speedup, and if you'll stop threatening to fire us all if we join the union, then we might believe you. But you won't do these things, because those are the only ways you have been able to keep Ford workers from joining the union in previous years."

* * *

Who Taught Hitler

Greatly played up nowadays is the story of how badly Hitlerism treats and intends to treat the Negroes. The purpose of most of this hullabaloo is to work the American Negro up to support the "democracies" in the imperialist war.

The Crisis and the Pittsburgh Courier this month have both shown that, cold-blooded as is the policy on the Negro announced by the Nazis, it is really only a duplication of the policy on the Negro carried out in most parts of the United States since 1877.

And if there is anyone who doubts that the Nazi policy on this question is stolen right out of the handbook of British colonial policy, he ought to read the following Associated Negro Press dispatch from Cape Town, South Africa, dated March 6:

"Restrictions and segregation even more vicious than that of the Southland of the United States, are in vogue here and growing constantly worse. Recently, when a new railway station was planned for Huguenot, two separate entrances were provided, one for whites and one for non-whites. Waiting room accommodations for whites were arranged for in the main building but non-whites were given a waiting room in a separate building . . .

"It appeared that an important step forward had been made when two months ago the Witwatersrand University agreed to allow non-Europeans (the local designation for any other than whites) to attend medical school at the university and to work for both medical and dental degrees. The number of non-European students was restricted to ten. The chief problem which the school authorities had to overcome was the matter of providing bodies for dissection during the student's fourth year. It was finally solved by deciding that non-European students should be permitted to dissect only black bodies."

* * *

A Washington dispatch from the same agency had this to report a week later:

"No thought will be given to assigning colored doctors, dentists or nurses to centers where they might at any time be called upon to serve white soldiers, according to an official U. S. Army announcement."

"This determination to confine colored professional personnel to troops of their own race was emphatically declared by Surgeon General McGhee, Friday, during a conference with members of a committee from the National Medical Association . . .

"The general professing to represent Northern statesmen, said that under no circumstances could he see colored and white doctors working together in the same hospital or as examiners of recruits."

"Advised that colored physicians had served white soldiers in recruiting stations during the World War, he said it was inconceivable to him that colored doctors could work on an examining team with white doctors, and that no attempt would be made to integrate them into white medical teams."

* * *

Strange bedfellows have turned up around a bill to deport all American Negroes to Africa. Senator Bilbo of Mississippi, who stands for "white supremacy" and hates the Negroes, is the author of the bill. J. R. Stewart, successor to the late Marcus Garvey as president general of the Universal Negro Improvement Association, in a speech in Chicago early this month, endorsed the bill of the enemy of the Negro people in the following words: "As a long range measure, though not through any heartfelt benevolence, Bilbo of Mississippi has a bill which would deport us to Africa (Liberia) . . . I am not for Bilbo but I am for this bill and will fight to support it..."

In other words, the Garvey movement which once attracted the hopes of so many millions of Negroes is now acting as the tail to the kite of America's outstanding exponent of "Negro inferiority."

The War Labor Board Of 1918

The Story Of The Model Which Roosevelt Has Used For His Present Board

By MICHAEL CORT

When Roosevelt created his mediation board, he was not launching one of his "social experiments." He was following the well-beaten path blazed by Woodrow Wilson and, to a great extent, by Roosevelt himself in 1918, when he was Assistant Secretary of the Navy.

The present board, and all the circumstances attending its creation, parallel the establishment of the National War Labor Board during the last crusade to "make the world safe for democracy."

Then, as now, American industry geared itself for war pro-

fits, launched an offensive against the living standards of the workers and, with the aid of the top union leadership, attempted to shackle the trade unions to the apparatus of mediation.

The boss strategy in 1918 is obviously the blueprint being followed today and to understand what the bosses plan now against labor, all one has to do is to examine history.

In December, 1917 (as in January 1941) the bosses' National Economic Council published a compilation of the man-hours of work lost in war industries, due to strikes. The "patriotic" bosses demanded legislation outlawing strikes.

GOMPERS' ROLE

Samuel Gompers, AFL President (like Green now), pledged no strikes in war industries, and sponsored a national board to mediate all strikes that he might be unable to prevent. President Wilson immediately saw the advantages of Gompers' proposal and in February, 1918 created the National War Labor Board.

The Board was composed of 12 members: five "labor representatives," five bosses, and two "representatives of the public."

Frank J. Hayes, President, United Mine Workers; William Hutchison, President, Brotherhood of Carpenters; Thomas J. Savage, International Association of Machinists; Victor A. Olander, Seamen's Union of America; and T. A. Rickert, President, United

**

So that, if the Board was about to decide in favor of the bosses, on an appeal filed by workers, it was a simple matter to tip that boss off, let him participate in the appeal by an amendment to the complaint, and thus

make the Board's award binding upon all involved.

And what happened to the workers if they (imitating the bosses) took it into their heads not to participate in the appeal and thus, under the Board's own ruling, to regard the Board's decision as only a recommendation?

Meanwhile, of course, the workers were expected to stay at work under the conditions they were protesting.

STRIKEBREAKING RULES

One of the first steps taken by the Board to prevent strikes was the enactment of a rule that provided that once workers in any plant struck, their case was "outlaw" and their grievances could not be presented to the Board.

Once a strike had been branded "outlaw" all the agencies of the government mobilized bourgeois public opinion against the workers; if this failed, troops were sent in.

If the workers struck after the Board had made a decision, the strike was also branded as "outlaw" and the same oppressions were applied.

As a concession to Gompers, the Board declared, upon its creation, that labor had the right to collective bargaining. This concession was an empty one, however.

During that time the United States Employment Service will decline to obtain employment for you in any war industry elsewhere in the United States as well as under the War and Navy Departments, the Shipping Board, the Railroad Administration and all other Government agencies."

THE RUN-AROUND

Once the NWLB had established the necessary strikebreaking precedents, it delegated its functions to a corps of field examiners and only sat itself as an appeal body. The 1921 report of the Department of Labor reveals that these examiners heard and decided over 10,000 cases in a brief 12 month period. The slim chance the workers had of getting beyond these examiners to the Board itself is revealed by the fact that the Board heard, during its entire existence, only 1,251 cases.

In other words, the workers had only one chance in ten in getting the examiner's arbitrary decision even reviewed by the Board. And if they did beat the

10 to 1 odds, there was still less than a 50% chance that the Board would reach a decision on their case; for the records show that the Board made decisions in only 39% of the cases it heard.

Meanwhile, of course, the workers were expected to stay at work under the conditions they were protesting.

STRIKEBREAKING RULES

One of the first steps taken by the Board to prevent strikes was the enactment of a rule that provided that once workers in any plant struck, their case was "outlaw" and their grievances could not be presented to the Board.

Once a strike had been branded "outlaw" all the agencies of the government mobilized bourgeois public opinion against the workers; if this failed, troops were sent in.

If the workers struck after the Board had made a decision, the strike was also branded as "outlaw" and the same oppressions were applied.

As a concession to Gompers, the Board declared, upon its creation, that labor had the right to collective bargaining. This concession was an empty one, however.

During that time the United States Employment Service will decline to obtain employment for you in any war industry elsewhere in the United States as well as under the War and Navy Departments, the Shipping Board, the Railroad Administration and all other Government agencies."

THE RUN-AROUND

Once the NWLB had established the necessary strikebreaking precedents, it delegated its functions to a corps of field examiners and only sat itself as an appeal body. The 1921 report of the Department of Labor reveals that these examiners heard and decided over 10,000 cases in a brief 12 month period. The slim chance the workers had of getting beyond these examiners to the Board itself is revealed by the fact that the Board heard, during its entire existence, only 1,251 cases.

In other words, the workers had only one chance in ten in getting the examiner's arbitrary decision even reviewed by the Board. And if they did beat the

10 to 1 odds, there was still less than a 50% chance that the Board would reach a decision on their case; for the records show that the Board made decisions in only 39% of the cases it heard.

Meanwhile, of course, the workers were expected to stay at work under the conditions they were protesting.

STRIKEBREAKING RULES

One of the first steps taken by the Board to prevent strikes was the enactment of a rule that provided that once workers in any plant struck, their case was "outlaw" and their grievances could not be presented to the Board.

Once a strike had been branded "outlaw" all the agencies of the government mobilized bourgeois public opinion against the workers; if this failed, troops were sent in.

If the workers struck after the Board had made a decision, the strike was also branded as "outlaw" and the same oppressions were applied.

As a concession to Gompers, the Board declared, upon its creation, that labor had the right to collective bargaining. This concession was an empty one, however.

During that time the United States Employment Service will decline to obtain employment for you in any war industry elsewhere in the United States as well as under the War and Navy Departments, the Shipping Board, the Railroad Administration and all other Government agencies."

THE RUN-AROUND

Once the NWLB had established the necessary strikebreaking precedents, it delegated its functions to a corps of field examiners and only sat itself as an appeal body. The 1921 report of the Department of Labor reveals that these examiners heard and decided over 10,000 cases in a brief 12 month period. The slim chance the workers had of getting beyond these examiners to the Board itself is revealed by the fact that the Board heard, during its entire existence, only 1,251 cases.

In other words, the workers had only one chance in ten in getting the examiner's arbitrary decision even reviewed by the Board. And if they did beat the

10 to 1 odds, there was still less than a 50% chance that the Board would reach a decision on their case; for the records show that the Board made decisions in only 39% of the cases it heard.

Meanwhile, of course, the workers were expected to stay at work under the conditions they were protesting.

STRIKEBREAKING RULES

One of the first steps taken by the Board to prevent strikes was the enactment of a rule that provided that once workers in any plant struck, their case was "outlaw" and their grievances could not be presented to the Board.

Once a strike had been branded "outlaw" all the agencies of the government mobilized bourgeois public opinion against the workers; if this failed, troops were sent in.

If the workers struck after the Board had made a decision, the strike was also branded as "outlaw" and the same oppressions were applied.

As a concession to Gompers, the Board declared, upon its creation, that labor had the right to collective bargaining. This concession was an empty one, however.

During that time the United States Employment Service will decline to obtain employment for you in any war industry elsewhere in the United States as well as under the War and Navy Departments, the Shipping Board, the Railroad Administration and all other Government agencies."

THE RUN-AROUND

Once the NWLB had established the necessary strikebreaking precedents, it delegated its functions to a corps of field examiners and only sat itself as an appeal body. The 1921 report of the Department of Labor reveals that these examiners heard and decided over 10,000 cases in a brief 12 month period. The slim chance the workers had of getting beyond these examiners to the Board itself is revealed by the fact that the Board heard, during its entire existence, only 1,251 cases.

In other words, the workers had only one chance in ten in getting the examiner's arbitrary decision even reviewed by the Board. And if they did beat the

10 to 1 odds, there was still less than a 50% chance that the Board would reach a decision on their case; for the records show that the Board made decisions in only 39% of the cases it heard.

Meanwhile, of course, the workers were expected to stay at work under the conditions they were protesting.

STRIKEBREAKING RULES

One of the first steps taken by the Board to prevent strikes was the enactment of a rule that provided that once workers in any plant struck, their case was "outlaw" and their grievances could not be presented to the Board.

Once a strike had been branded "outlaw" all the agencies of the government mobilized bourgeois public opinion against the workers; if this failed, troops were sent in.

If the workers struck after the Board had made a decision, the strike was also branded as "outlaw" and the same oppressions were applied.

As a concession to Gompers, the Board declared, upon its creation, that labor had the right to collective bargaining. This concession was an empty one, however.

During that time the United States Employment Service will decline to obtain employment for you in any war industry elsewhere in the United States as well as under the War and Navy Departments, the Shipping Board, the Railroad Administration and all other Government agencies."

THE RUN-AROUND

Once the NWLB had established the necessary strikebreaking precedents, it delegated its functions to a corps of field examiners and only sat itself as an appeal body. The 1921 report of the Department of Labor reveals that these examiners heard and decided over 10,000 cases in a brief 12 month period. The slim chance the workers had of getting beyond these examiners to the Board itself is revealed by the fact that the Board heard, during its entire existence, only 1,251 cases.

In other words, the workers had only one chance in ten in getting the examiner's arbitrary decision even reviewed by the Board. And if they did beat the

10 to 1 odds, there was still less than a 50% chance that the Board would reach a decision on their case; for the records show that the Board made decisions in only 39% of the cases it heard.

Meanwhile, of course, the workers were expected to stay at work under the conditions they were protesting.

STRIKEBREAKING RULES

One of the first steps taken by the Board to prevent strikes was the enactment of a rule that provided that once workers in any plant struck, their case was "outlaw" and their grievances could not be presented to the Board.

Once a strike had been branded "outlaw" all the agencies of the government mobilized bourgeois public opinion against the workers; if this failed, troops were sent in.

If the workers struck after the Board had made a decision, the strike was also branded as "outlaw" and the same oppressions were applied.

As a concession to Gompers, the Board declared, upon its creation, that labor had the right to collective bargaining. This concession was an empty one, however.

During that time the United States Employment Service will decline to obtain employment for you in any war industry elsewhere in the United States as well as under the War and Navy Departments, the Shipping Board, the Railroad Administration and all other Government agencies."

THE RUN-AROUND

Once the NWLB had established the necessary strikebreaking precedents, it delegated its functions to a corps of field examiners and only sat itself as an appeal body. The 1921 report of the Department of Labor reveals that these examiners heard and decided over 10,000 cases in a brief 12 month period. The slim chance the workers had of getting beyond these examiners to the Board itself is revealed by the fact that the Board heard, during its entire existence, only 1,251 cases.

In other words, the workers had only one chance in ten in getting the examiner's arbitrary decision even

THE MILITANT

VOL. V—No. 13 Saturday, March 29, 1941

Published Weekly by
THE MILITANT PUBLISHING ASS'N
at 116 University Place, New York, N. Y.
Telephone: Algonquin 4-8547

Editorial Board:
FELIX MORROW ALBERT GOLDMAN
Business Manager:
RUTH JEFFREY

Subscriptions: \$2.00 per year; \$1.00 for six months.
Foreign: \$3.00 per year, \$1.50 for six months. Bunde
orders: 3 cents per copy in the United States; 4 cents
per copy in all foreign countries. Single copies: 5 cents.

"Registered as second class matter February 13, 1941
at the post office at New York, N. Y., under the Act of
March 3, 1879."

FIGHT WITH THE SOCIALIST WORKERS PARTY

ON THE WAR FRONT:

For:

1. Military training of workers, financed by the government, under control of the trade unions.
2. The establishment of special officers' training camps, financed by the government and controlled by the trade unions, to train workers to become officers.
3. Confiscation of all war profits—all company books to be open for trade union inspection.
4. Expropriation of all war industries and their operation under workers' control.
5. Trade union wages for all workers drafted into the army.
6. Full equality for Negroes in the armed forces—Down with Jim Crowism.
7. An end to secret diplomacy.
8. A peoples' referendum on any and all wars.

AT HOME:

For:

1. A job and decent living for every worker.
2. Thirty-thirty—\$30 weekly minimum wage—30 hours weekly maximum for all workers on all jobs.
3. \$30 weekly old age and disability pension.
4. Full social, political and economic equality for the Negro people.
5. Workers' Defense Guards against vigilante and fascist attacks.
6. A twenty-billion dollar Federal public works and housing program to provide jobs for the unemployed.
7. Expropriate the Sixty Families.
8. An Independent Labor Party based on the Trade Unions.
9. A Workers' and Farmers' Government.

The Mediation Board

There is a very simple proof that Roosevelt's Mediation Board, by its very existence and functioning, will be directed against the workers and in no way directed against the bosses.

If, to prevent strikes, Roosevelt would be ready to act against the bosses whose vicious exploitation makes it necessary for the workers to strike, then Roosevelt would not have created this board. No board is needed to bring bosses into line: All that would be necessary for that would be the enactment of a law compelling the employers to deal with the unions under penalty, if any boss refuses, of having his property taken away without compensation. As far as wages are concerned, it is only necessary to pass a law making mandatory the payment of a minimum of one dollar an hour with the provision that the minimum be increased as the cost of living increases.

These two steps, rigidly enforced, would make unnecessary practically all of the strikes now going on in the war industries. Needless to say, however, these steps cannot be expected from a government which is primarily occupied with preparing for a war on behalf of the imperialist interests of the same bosses who would have to be curbed by such laws.

Hence all the curbs against strikes are directed against the workers and their unions. The Mediation Board's ostensible aim is simply to straighten out labor difficulties in industries connected with the war efforts of the government. Under the category of "labor difficulties," however, the Board and other governmental agencies will aim only to hamstring organized labor. The role of mediation was strikingly illustrated by the statement of a federal conciliator, quoted in the March 25 New York Times. The conciliator had been intervening in the CIO strike at the McCormick plant of International Harvester in Chicago. Mediation, he now said, was no longer necessary, since the strike "had been broken." In other words, where the boss and the cops can do the dirty work themselves, mediators need not intervene.

The Mediation Board will prevent and terminate strikes by doing its utmost to prevent the workers from strengthening their organizations and improving their conditions. That is the only way it can operate. Its mentality must essentially be that of a cop. If workers demonstrate, strike, risk life and limb to fight for their demands, the cop looks for the "agitator." That the workers' struggles arise out of their bitter economic need, that they would much prefer not to have to strike but to secure their needs another way—all this is irrelevant to the cop. His attention is turned entirely to making "peace" by ending the demonstration or strike and dispersing the struggling workers. This same mentality must, at bottom, be the attitude of this Mediation Board, for the simple

reason that neither it nor its creator Roosevelt has either the power or the inclination to solve the economic needs of the workers.

Many workers may not yet understand the real nature of this Mediation Board. They may have illusions about it—but not for long. We predict with confidence that the Board will not be able to put an end to the great strike struggles. The workers have already shown an admirable indifference to the exhortations lavished upon them by the bosses and their press and government. The workers have disregarded all the buncombe about the necessity of being patriotic and therefore being satisfied with the conditions the bosses are willing to give them. On the contrary the workers have taken advantage of the opportunity created by the spurt in the war industries, to build their unions stronger, to organize the unorganized, and to press the bosses harder and harder for better conditions and higher wages. To their great credit the workers have understood that now is the time to win their demands; for after war production ends and mass unemployment returns, the workers would not be in a bargaining position to enforce their economic demands.

At this stage of the game, the government and the bosses would not dare to create such a board without finding labor "representatives" to sit on it and thereby to endow the board with the necessary moral authority in the eyes of union officials and workers. Ways and means were found to get CIO head Philip Murray to line up. Murray knows very well what this board really is. He served on one like it in Pennsylvania during the last war. A week before he agreed to join this one, he made a damning criticism of all such boards (we print his statement elsewhere on this page). And then he lined up on the wrong side, as he always does on really fundamental questions.

Roosevelt needed Murray more than anybody else because there has been gradually developing among the workers some understanding of the role of such labor leaders in the government like Sidney Hillman and Daniel W. Tracy. Large sections of the workers look upon these men as renegades. Fresh labor leaders were needed by Roosevelt to take up the slack. In reality, however, as will become increasingly evident to the workers, there is no difference between a governmental post like Hillman's and one on the Mediation Board. Both are Roosevelt appointees in governmental bodies.

In such periods of history as the one we live in, a man's moral credit in the labor movement can be wiped out in a day. Collaboration with Roosevelt will destroy the confidence of the workers in any labor leader who stays openly in his service. Whatever moral authority this Mediation Board begins with, we are certain it will not last long. Life itself will teach the workers better.

Halifax's Speech

It took a world of gall to call Tuesday's speech by Lord Halifax an "outline of the war aims of the British," but the New York Times has that amount of gall. The speech was, in reality, designed to hide Britain's war aims rather than indicate them, and the New York Times' headline was merely an attempt to mollify the many who want to know what those war aims are.

At one crucial point, however, Halifax found it well nigh impossible to conceal altogether the plans of Anglo-American imperialism. This was on the question of what will be done to a defeated Germany. Halifax said:

"The gulf that has thus been dug between them (the German youth) and our own younger generations will take time to bridge. And, therefore, after the war is over and until we can be satisfied of Germany's cooperation, I can hardly doubt that nations resolved to preserve both peace and freedom must needs retain sufficient armed strength to make their will effective."

In short, even if the German people overthrow Hitlerism and sue for peace, they can look forward only to a period of a defenseless Germany in a world policed by the armed might of British and American imperialism. At the best this will be a repetition of Versailles.

The full implications of Halifax's position are brought out if we recall that, as Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, Halifax authorized Sir Robert Vansittart Chief Diplomatic Adviser of the government, to make a series of broadcasts blaming the entire German people for the crimes of the Nazis. These broadcasts were to pave the way for the punishment of the entire German people, i.e., the subjugation of Europe to British imperialism.

That Halifax was behind Vansittart was confirmed authoritatively by the political correspondent of the British Sunday Times of February 23, 1941, who answering criticism of Vansittart, said:

"Any uninformed reader might have supposed that Sir Robert Vansittart had, on his own initiative and authority, violated 'the whole tradition of the British Civil Service.' What, then, has really happened? The rules, regulations, customs and usages of the Civil Service are intact."

"It was the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, then Halifax, who approved of Vansittart making the broadcasts."

The policy of Halifax is, of course, the policy of the British government, no matter what its labor lackeys may say. And this policy helps Hitler as almost nothing else could. So long as the German workers feel that the defeat of Germany will be followed by another Versailles or worse, Hitler is immeasurably aided in keeping the German workers in subjection.

Here is but another proof of the fact that "democratic" imperialism cannot fight fascism. Only the workers can smash fascism everywhere. Only when the workers take the power in Britain and America can they wage a real war against fascism and a victorious war, by joining hands with their German brothers against fascists of every stripe and nation.

"Good Neighbor" Mexico Means A Good Servant

The Consequences Of Camacho's Policy Mean That Mexico Would Be A Colonial Dependency Of Yankee Imperialism

By BERNARD ROSS

Recent events in Mexico have indisputably disclosed that secret accords and commitments, including the cession of air and naval bases, exist between Mexico and the United States.

UNANSWERED QUESTIONS

Padilla agreed to answer all questions asked by the senators. Of course he only meant harmless, innocuous queries which would not force him to reveal the true nature of Camacho's foreign policy.

With the trend in internal politics, there is coupled a decided reactionary turn in the country's foreign policy. Camacho is determined to iron out all outstanding differences between Mexico and the imperialist rulers of the United States.

In the process, President Camacho is not only yielding to the aims and pretensions of Yankee imperialism, but is assuming more and more openly the role of lackey for Wall Street. The foreign policy of the Mexican government can be adequately summed up in one phrase: far-flung economic and military cooperation with Yankee imperialism, which concretely means endorsing Uncle Sam's plans to make Mexico altogether a colonial dependency of Wall Street.

This was sufficiently revealed when Foreign Secretary Ezequiel Padilla testified at a session of the Mexican Senate recently. He was compelled to appear before that body and attempt to allay the fears and suspicions of a substantial part of Mexican public opinion, which has been alarmed at

reports and rumors that secret accords and commitments, including the cession of air and naval bases, exist between Mexico and the United States.

Should the mutual assistance pact allow the United States to occupy strategic military points in the country, what restrictions concerning length of time and extent of area ceded, will the secretary put into the treaty?"

"With what funds will they (naval and air bases) be constructed, since it is not even possible to increase the national defense budget?"

"If those bases will be financed by the United States—in what manner will Mexico guarantee the value of those investments which will considerably augment the country's foreign debt?"

PADILLA'S "ANSWER"

Since he is only foreign minister, Padilla lamey said, those questions could only be answered by the minister of national defense. The senators were satisfied with this preposterous reply, forgot about the questions, and went home.

The present course of the Mexican government can only worsen the condition of the country's sick economy. If the nation's natural resources and limited manpower are placed at the disposal of the Yankee war machine, Mexico's economic backwardness will be perpetuated and aggravated. The land south of the Rio Grande will

"What precautions can the Mexican government take, or what guarantees will Mexico receive, that the United States will quickly bear on labor to agree to arbitration in practically all situations."

3. Policy-making powers assigned to the board could easily bring about interference with the workings of the Wagner Act, the wages and hours act, and the Walsh-Healey act, since the agencies administering those acts would be unable to resist the board's pressure.

4. This in turn would make the Labor Relations Board merely a formalized agency "confined entirely to avoiding labor disputes," stripping it of the power to require employers to bargain collectively under the Wagner act.

5. The setup of the proposed board carries strong anti-labor possibilities in the three members supposed to represent the public. These members "are to settle controversies, make special investigations, hold hearings and issue reports, and arbitrate disputes," making them the real powers in the board, since the other members representing labor and industry would simply have advisory powers.

6. Compulsory arbitration will result from board activities, since it would enter situations where collective bargaining was in process to "bring terrific pressure to

representatives on councils for each industry where management and labor, with a thorough and complete knowledge of their industry, could formulate the policy that would be more applicable to that particular industry."

Murray's article follows:

* * *

WASHINGTON, March 8.—The proposed Federal labor board to settle disputes involving defense industries will present "an obviously impossible task and one that will not meet the needs of the situation," Philip Murray, CIO president, warned in a memorandum presented to the Labor Policy Committee of the National Defense Commission. The memo was presented by Allan S. Haywood, CIO organization director.

The new board proposal, which has been backed in Administration circles, was described in Murray's memo as having anti-labor implications.

"Under the new program," Murray wrote, "in effect three public representatives are charged with the responsibility of understanding and formulating solution for the labor problems of all the industries in the nation. This is obviously an impossible task and one that will not meet the needs of the situation."

INDUSTRY COUNCILS

Murray referred to the industry council plan presented to the Administration last December, which "would have given labor

Now Available
In Limited Quantity

Bound Volumes

1940

SOCIALIST
APPEAL

\$2.50 each

Place your orders immediately
For February 1st Shipment

Socialist Appeal
116 University Place
New York City

Regular price of these two

books together  In paper: \$1.50

In cloth: \$3.00

NOW YOU CAN HAVE BOTH FOR

\$1.25 in cloth

50¢ in paper

Pioneer Publishers 116 University Place New York City

Where We Stand

By Albert Goldman

QUESTION: When you say that this is an imperialist war both on the side of Britain and Germany do you mean to say that you don't care who wins and that you contemplate a victory of Hitler without being disturbed by it?

ANSWER: It means nothing of the kind. When we characterize the war as imperialist, and say that all of the imperialist countries involved are fighting for their imperialist interests and not for or against democracy, we thereby simply indicate that it is impossible for us as revolutionists to support either side.

QUESTION: But then it means, does it not, that you are willing to sit back and permit Hitler to conquer Britain and the United States?

ANSWER: No, it does not. It simply means that our task in this war is different from the task of the imperialists. They want to defeat their rival to protect their imperialist interests. We cannot and must not support them in such task. Our task is to organize the working class for the purpose of taking over power and transforming this war into a real war for democracy.

QUESTION: But you claim to be revolutionary defeatists and that means that you want your country defeated by Hitler, isn't that so?

ANSWER: Not at all. Any one who says so either does not understand what revolutionary defeatism is or he is simply a vicious slanderer. We are more interested in defeating Hitler than is Churchill or Roosevelt. Both of these representatives of the capitalist rulers of their country can easily come to terms with Hitler.

QUESTION: But are you not helping Hitler win by not supporting the war?

ANSWER: Not in the least. All that we are doing now is educating the workers to our point of view. We are telling them the truth as to the character of the war and what to do to win the war against all the capitalists. Both our members and the workers whom we influence must go to war and do what they are told by the rulers of this country. So long as we do not have a majority behind us we are in no position to do anything except obey orders. It is true that we do not assume responsibility for this war in any way whatever, but to draw from that fact the conclusion that we thereby help Hitler win, lacks logic and common sense. The conduct of the war against Hitler is in the hands of Roosevelt and Churchill representing the American and British capitalists. That is unfortunate, and we are trying to convince the majority of the working class that they should take the power of government and the conduct of the war into their own hands, but we defy anybody to show that we are doing a single thing that helps Hitler, the greatest enemy of the working class.

QUESTION: But I am given to understand that, if the U. S. went to war against Hitler or against Japan, your party would try to prevent war materials from being shipped for the purpose of fighting the Japanese or the German armed forces.

ANSWER: I don't know who gave you to understand such a nonsensical idea, but I can tell you that there is absolutely nothing to it. We do not do individual action nor in the action of small groups. As I said before, until we gain a majority to our ideas there is nothing for us to do but to educate workers until we get a majority.

QUESTION: Then there is nothing to the idea that your policy of revolutionary defeatism has anything to do with sabotage?

ANSWER: Absolutely nothing. Reactionary red-baiters and those who know nothing about our program try to connect us with sabotage. Revolutionary defeatism to us is a political concept. It simply indicates that we do not support the war and that we carry on the class struggle at home regardless of the fact that it might have some effect on the front.

QUESTION: Doesn't that mean, then, that you would do certain things which interfere with the conduct of the war?

ANSWER: It means that we advise the workers to continue their struggle against the bosses at home, and if that affects the conduct of the war the blame is entirely on the bosses and their government. Why should the workers not take advantage of the increase in production due to war orders in order to organize themselves and better their conditions? The bosses are making huge profits; the cost of living is going up. It would be extremely foolish for the workers to be influenced by the propaganda of the bosses and refrain from demanding better conditions because such demands interfere with the conduct of the war. Let the capitalists grant the demands and then there will be no interference.

QUESTION: But then, if you will succeed in getting a majority of the workers behind you and try to take over the government, the capitalists will resist and will that not give Hitler a chance to win the war?

ANSWER: I don't think so, but if we have a majority of the workers behind us, we must take that chance. Because if the workers take over power, Hitler is sure to be defeated, while if we let the capitalist stay in power, Hitler's chances of a victory are much greater. Look