

FREE THE 18  
IMPRISONED  
TROTSKYISTS!

# THE MILITANT

PUBLISHED IN THE INTERESTS OF THE WORKING PEOPLE

VOL. VIII—No. 5

NEW YORK, N. Y., SATURDAY, JANUARY 29, 1944

PRICE: FIVE CENTS

# RED ARMY'S VICTORIES ALARM ALLIES

## War Department Backs Forced Labor Proposal

Stimson Slanders Labor at Hearings On Austin-Wadsworth Bill; Assists Roosevelt's Drive to Incite Soldiers Against Workers

By Art Preis

Roosevelt's anti-labor drive, the most sinister feature of which is an officially inspired campaign to incite conscripted servicemen against organized labor, was further intensified last Wednesday by Secretary of War Stimson's statement before the Senate Military Affairs Committee.

Stimson demanded enactment of Roosevelt's national forced labor proposal at a hearing on the infamous Austin-Wadsworth bill, hypocritically appealing in the name of "men in the armed forces" who he alleged have "a strong feeling of resentment" at the "industrial unrest." Stimson slanderously accused organized labor of responsibility for conditions likely to "prolong the war" and threatened that this "will not be forgotten easily" by the soldiers.

His statements were intended to add fuel to the fire of anti-labor incitement which began to rage in full fury on Roosevelt's return from Teheran.

ROOSEVELT'S AIMS

The immediate purpose of this vicious lynch incitement against labor is to halt the mounting resistance to Roosevelt's wage freeze under the Little Steel formula. Roosevelt is likewise attempting to cover up his own failure to enforce price controls and to distract attention from the stink of Big Business frauds and war profiteering.

Roosevelt is now making a direct and open bid for the political support of the leading capitalists and the middle class. He contemptuously ignores the union bureaucrats who have slavishly supported him all along, confident that he has them "in the bag."

Stimson's widely-heralded statement before the Senate Military Affairs Committee came on the heels of the "anonymous" slanderous anti-labor blast of Gen. Marshall, which the Army and Navy Journal admitted was directly inspired by Roosevelt himself. Their slanderous charge that the workers' struggle against the wage-freeze has given a propaganda weapon to Hitler intended to drive a wedge between the servicemen and the industrial workers and to convince the soldiers that their interests are opposed to that of the organized workers.

AIDS BIG BUSINESS

Stimson demonstrated clearly, however, in his reply to questions at the hearing, that his remarks

(Continued on page 3)

## FARMER-LABOR PARTY SCUTTLED BY LEADERS

MINNEAPOLIS, Minn., Jan. 16.—After 26 years as a force in Minnesota politics, Farmer-Labor officials announced last week that the Farmer-Labor Party is quitting the field of independent labor political action by amalgamating with the discredited Democratic party. The announcement came within 48 hours of Roosevelt's call for a forced labor law—a proposal which is an open demonstration of the rightward, anti-labor trend of the present administration and the national Democratic party.

In a statement to the press, Elmer Benson, chairman of the Farmer-Labor State Association, and Paul Tinge, state chairman of the Farmer-Labor Party, said that "it was understood that our political action would be through the Democratic party, although the Farmer-Labor Association will remain an educational organization and continue its service in that way."

At a time when the need for independent political action is be-

coming more apparent to American workers, the opportunist Farmer-Labor officialdom scraps the remnants of a once powerful workers' and farmers' political party. This is the culmination of years of petty maneuvering by Stalinists who seized control of the Farmer-Labor Party several years ago and have utilized it to bolster their numerous switches in policy ever since. This abandonment of independent political activity coincides with the policy now being followed by labor officials in Minnesota who months ago reverted to the old Gompers tradition of "rewarding your friends and punishing your enemies" through deals with Democratic and Republican candidates in state and municipal elections.

The profit-greedy drug firms rush to the aid of the AMA, because the AMA is one of its best sales promoters. The "impartial" stamp of approval of the AMA on the products of drug firms are of invaluable aid in their sales campaigns. The drug firms, in turn, do a vast amount of advertising in the AMA "Journal," netting the AMA 600,000 tax free

To thousands of workers and farmers, the final desertion of the party which wrote many progressive pages in the working-class history of Minnesota comes as a blow. Workers will note how closely this action parallels the dissolution of the Communist Party and the maintenance of its machinery as an "educational association."

(Continued on page 2)

## Monopolists Oppose Socialized Medicine

By Miriam Carter

"Give me an out-and-out crook like one of your old line Tammany politicians—who cheerfully admits taking graft—and calls it graft—without any hypocritical pretensions. But save me from the medical politicians who piously prate of 'medical ethics' when they mean plain economics, and who sanction... practices so corrupt, so rapacious, so callous of human life and health, that the stomach of even a hard-bitten wardheeler might be turned."

So wrote Albert Deutsch, *PM* columnist, on Dec. 14 in his conclusion to a series of articles baring the sinister practices of the American Medical Association in their campaign against the Wagner-Dingle-Murray bill.

This bill provides for the liberalization of old-age and unemployed insurance and its extension into the brackets of professional and small businessmen. Death and maternity benefits are added to the present social security system.

The provision most hateful to the medical politicians involves the setting up of a federal system of medical and hospital insurance, by which medical aid would be provided for workers and their families who have contributed 6% of their wages and are covered by old-age and death benefits. Doctors can associate themselves with this system or not, as they please.

Mild and inadequate as this bill is, the American Medical Association, the dominant voice of organized medicine, has since its introduction in 1938 engaged in a vicious and relentless campaign against it.

**POWERFUL CLIQUE**  
The AMA is supposed to be a "non-profit, scientific" organization, interested in promoting the "health and welfare" of the people. In its desperate fight against the Wagner-Murray bill, however, it has shown itself to be nothing but a giant corporation trafficking

(Continued on page 3)

in human lives in order to protect the interests of the big pharmaceutical trusts and the economic position of a powerful clique of wealthy physicians.

A glance at the financial sources of this campaign discloses the real forces and reactionary motives behind it. Sixty percent of its finances comes from doctors, according to the National Physicians Committee for the Extension of Medical Service. This front organization for the AMA in this campaign should really be called the "Committee Against the Extension of Medical Service."

It squeezes money from the doctors through the AMA apparatus. In many county and state societies doctors are assessed sums which go directly to the NPC. For example, the Erie County Medical Society (New York) assessed its members \$25.00 each for the NPC. Recalcitrant doctors unwilling to pay the assessment are faced with the alternative of being barred from practising in recognized hospitals. Since almost all medical doctors are under AMA control, this action virtually ostracizes doctors from the profession.

**BIG BUSINESS HELPS**  
The other 40% of its income, the NPC states, comes from the medical industry. In addition to contributing money, firms like McKesson-Robbins Wholesale Drug Co. and United Cigar-Whelan Stores Corporation, have distributed literature denouncing the bill to their customers. The Whelan company sent similar literature to every doctor in the United States.

The profit-greedy drug firms rush to the aid of the AMA, because the AMA is one of its best sales promoters. The "impartial" stamp of approval of the AMA on the products of drug firms are of invaluable aid in their sales campaigns. The drug firms, in turn, do a vast amount of advertising in the AMA "Journal," netting the AMA 600,000 tax free

To thousands of workers and farmers, the final desertion of the party which wrote many progressive pages in the working-class history of Minnesota comes as a blow. Workers will note how closely this action parallels the dissolution of the Communist Party and the maintenance of its machinery as an "educational association."

(Continued on page 2)

Sign This Petition To Free The 18

## Free The 18 Minneapolis Prisoners

FIRST TO BE CONVICTED UNDER THE SMITH "GAG" ACT  
FOR THEIR IDEAS AND UNION ACTIVITIES

PRESIDENT FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT  
WHITE HOUSE, WASHINGTON, D. C.

The undersigned respectfully petition you to grant unconditional pardon and immediate release to the 18 members of Minneapolis Truckdrivers Local 544-CIO and of the Socialist Workers Party now serving twelve and sixteen month prison sentences.

These trade-union and socialist leaders have been persecuted solely because of their opinions and their though the infamous Smith "Gag" Act under which they have been convicted clearly violates the constitutional rights of free speech and free press.

We believe that the unjust imprisonment of the 18 provides a dangerous precedent that is a threat to the democratic liberties of the American people.

We therefore urge you to uphold the Bill of Rights by pardoning the 18 Minneapolis prisoners.

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ STREET \_\_\_\_\_ CITY & STATE \_\_\_\_\_

Please return signed petition to CIVIL RIGHTS DEFENSE COMMITTEE, 160 Fifth Ave., New York City 10, N. Y.

JAMES T. FARRELL, Chairman

JOHN DOS PASSOS, Vice Chairman

Reproduction of petition urging President Roosevelt to grant unconditional pardon to the 18 imprisoned leaders of the Socialist Workers Party and of Minneapolis Truckdrivers Local 544-CIO. Petitions may be obtained from the Civil Rights Defense Committee, 160 5th Ave., New York, N. Y.

## CRDC Branches Spur Campaign To Free 18

NEW YORK CITY—Local branches of the Civil Rights Defense Committee are moving into action throughout the country to secure tens of thousands of signatures on petitions urging President Roosevelt to pardon the 18 leaders of the Socialist Workers Party and of Minneapolis Truckdrivers Local 544-CIO framed up under the vicious Smith "Gag" Act and now serving twelve to sixteen month prison terms. The Chicago committee has taken 400 petitions as a starter for distribution to trade unions, meetings, fraternal and Negro organizations. Over one hundred signatures were obtained by the New York Committee at the Lenin Memorial Meeting held at Irving Plaza on Sunday, January 23.

Evelyn Anderson, assistant secretary of the CRDC, sent a letter to the editors of *Life Magazine* protesting the deliberate bracketing in the Jan. 17 issue of the Trotskyist and trade union class-war prisoners with 30 recently indicted native fascists. "Your editors," she wrote, "must know that the Minneapolis defendants are among the staunchest fighters against native and foreign fascism. In fact, the 18 were originally indicted because, among other union activities, they had organized a Union Defense Guard to defend their local union against threatened attacks by the Silver Shirts in Minneapolis."

Adding its voice to the chorus of labor and liberal condemnation of the jailing of the 18, the Progressive of Jan. 17 stated: "Roger Baldwin, director of the American Civil Liberties Union, expressed a widely shared feeling when he said it was 'shocking that the Supreme Court should refuse a hearing in so clear-cut an issue of free speech.'"

Among this week's contributors to the Minneapolis Prisoners Pardon and Relief Fund are the Baltimore Joint Board, ILGWU, Baltimore, Md., and Brewers Union 205, Minneapolis, Minn.

The Civil Rights Defense Committee urgently needs funds for the prisoners and their families and to carry on its fight to free the 18 and repeal the Smith "Gag" Act. All workers and fighters for civil liberties who wish to aid this work are urged to send contributions to James T. Farrell, Chairman, Civil Rights Defense Committee, 160 Fifth Ave., N. Y. C.

## DuPont Deal With Munitions Cartel Disclosed by Suit

The duPont chemical and munitions trust, known as the "Merchants of Death," and its sister trust in England, the British Imperial Chemical In-

fense precisely because they recognize that their imprisonment is a violation of the constitutional right of free speech and free press and a step toward fascist reaction in this country."

fidence precisely because they recognize that their imprisonment is a violation of the constitutional right of free speech and free press and a step toward fascist reaction in this country."

Among this week's contributors to the Minneapolis Prisoners Pardon and Relief Fund are the Baltimore Joint Board, ILGWU, Baltimore, Md., and Brewers Union 205, Minneapolis, Minn.

The Civil Rights Defense Committee urgently needs funds for the prisoners and their families and to carry on its fight to free the 18 and repeal the Smith "Gag" Act. All workers and fighters for civil liberties who wish to aid this work are urged to send contributions to James T. Farrell, Chairman, Civil Rights Defense Committee, 160 Fifth Ave., N. Y. C.

dustries, have been named as defendants in a Department of Justice anti-trust suit, for maintaining an international cartel agreement aimed at securing world monopoly control of the manufacture and sale of chemicals, firearms and ammunition.

This cartel, according to the government charges, has been

LAMONT DU PONT

(Continued on page 3)

## URW Workers Fight Expulsions

AKRON, Ohio—Sherman Dalrymple, CIO United Rubber Workers of America President, today faced serious opposition as a result of his undemocratic and dictatorial expulsion of 70 bandbuilders in the tire division of the General Tire and Rubber Company.

The General URW local split wide open this week, with a faction under the leadership of Ray A. Sullivan, former local president, vigorously protesting the action of the URW International Executive Board two weeks ago in expelling the bandbuilders for violation of the union's no-strike pledge.

But he failed to draw the logical conclusion from these facts that the workers must break with these monopolist-dominated parties and build their own independent Labor Party to fight for their interests.

cluding the president, E. B. Harper, because they supported Dalrymple's bureaucratic act. International officers, however, continue to support the suspended officers, and refuse to take any action prior to their International Board meeting next month.

### FIRED BY COMPANY

The 70 bandbuilders have been fired by the company, and many have been reclassified into 1-A by the draft boards.

Dalrymple took his unprecedented action following a series of strikes at the General Tire plant.

The fact that the General management has consistently refused to settle grievances did not deter him from arbitrarily expelling the 70 unionists, without trial and without specific charges. Since there is a closed shop agreement at General, the expulsions meant immediate and automatic dismissal for the bandbuilders.

Almost half the tire builders at the plant signed a petition

demanding a just and fair hearing for the expelled men, and supporting Sullivan in charges he has brought against four local officers for "malfeasance" of by their failure to defend their union members.

There is widespread sympathy with the bandbuilders in other URW locals here. Militant members are especially indignant at the bureaucratic action of Dalrymple and his supporters on the International Board.

### SHOWDOWN WITH LEADERS

By his precipitate and vicious expulsions, Dalrymple has served warning to URW militants that they must be on guard to defend the democratic rights and traditions of the rubber union.

More than that, Dalrymple and his cohorts have given ample evidence that they are incapable of waging any struggle to defend the union against the campaign of the corporations to undermine and destroy the union. His only answer to the provocations of

Firestone, Goodyear, Goodrich and other rubber companies is to drive men who seek to defend the union out into the streets.

There is a growing mood in a section of the General local to withdraw from the United Rubber Workers. Although it is understandable why an aroused membership should in rage and desperation seek such a solution to escape the leadership of traitors like Dalrymple, such a move would only weaken those militant elements within the URW who are building toward a showdown with the cowardly international leadership of the URW.

The day of Dalrymple and his kind is running short. Those who desert the URW now will only serve to split the militant forces. The United forces of all the rubber militants are essential in the battle to revitalize the union and return it to the glorious militancy which humbled the rubber barons in '37.

## Stalin Demands Curzon Line As New Border With Poland

Kremlin's Territorial Claims Precipitate New Crisis Between the Teheran Conference

By John G. Wright

Less than a month after the publication of the Teheran declaration on Dec. 7, 1943 amid universal protestations of indestructible amity and concord, the self-styled "United Nations" find themselves in another acute diplomatic crisis. According to authoritative British and American newspapers, relations with the Kremlin are even more strained today than during the critical period which necessitated the meeting of Stalin, Churchill and Roosevelt.

Thus a London dispatch

to the *N. Y. Times*, Jan.

17, flatly declares that the

current border dispute

with the refugee Polish

Government represents

"the most serious diplo-

matic crisis that the Uni-

ted Nations have had to

face in recent months."

This estimate was made prior

to the publication of *Pravda* of

the "Cairo rumor," charging Brit-

ish efforts to reach a separate

peace with Germany which cre-

ated a world sensation and sharp-

ened relations between the allies.

RED ARMY ADVANCE

The current and "most serious crisis in recent months" is inextricably bound up with the triumphant progress of the Red Army. Rumors that the Red troops had crossed the old Polish border sufficed to produce fevered diplomatic activity in Allied chanceries.

The pattern of these moves across the diplomatic chessboard is very instructive. Let us briefly review it.

# Teheran -- The Newest Gospel Of Stalinism

By Norman Daniels

Teheran represents to the American followers of Stalin in many respects what God is to a religious maniac. God, the Bible says, created the world in six days. Roosevelt, Stalin and Churchill, according to the Stalinist version, have recreated the world in four days at Teheran. But the feats of the Jehovahs actually pale in comparison with the achievements at Teheran. Obviously it is much easier to create a new world than to transfigure the existing world of sin and crime and mass murder into a world of peace, harmony and brotherly love—a world of beauty and saints—in short, the kind of world the Stalinists proclaim has been created at Teheran.

Roosevelt, Stalin and Churchill, meeting at Teheran, Browder and Co. assure us, have turned the trick and evolved the formula for reshaping the world into a modern Garden of Eden. To be sure, this formula is kept in strict secrecy, but no one must ask why. For the success of the formula, you see, depends on faith, piety, docility. Just leave it to Churchill, Stalin and Roosevelt.

In speaking of Teheran, the Stalinists employ an extravagance of language, typical of religious devotees. The very first day the Teheran declaration was made public,

that is, sometime before Browder rose to the dizzy peaks of ecstasy, the *Daily Worker* wrote editorially (Dec. 7, 1943):

"Like no other event that men and women of our generation can remember, the meeting of our President with Marshal Joseph Stalin and Premier Winston Churchill, according to the Stalinist maniacs, none of them compare to Teheran. 'Teheran constitutes the greatest turning point in world history.'

## The Transfiguration at Teheran

This event which "overwhelms the imagination," and which overshadows anything "that men and women of our generation can remember," grew in dimensions with the passage of time. About five weeks later, it was revealed to the editors of the *Daily Worker* that "The program of Teheran is the kind of world program for which all peoples have fought for generations" (Editorial, Jan. 16.) Universality and timelessness are the very warp and woof—the very fabric of religion. In order to emphasize further the universality and timelessness of their religion the same editorial in the *Daily Worker* adds "... Teheran constitutes the greatest turning point in world history."

History has indeed known great turning points. It has known the birth of religions, great social transformations. Thus, there was the great French Revolution which overthrew feudalism. Again, the American Revolution, which gave the United States its

independence and started it on the road of unprecedented capitalist development. There was the Civil War which abolished slavery. Finally, to mention but one more, there is the Russian revolution, the first successful seizure of power by the working class. But according to the Stalinist maniacs, none of these compare to Teheran. "Teheran constitutes the greatest turning point in world history."

## From Darkness to Light

Strict secrecy of the deliberations and decisions as a rule arouse suspicions. Only conspiracies are hatched in secret. Why should anyone hide a "world program for which peoples have fought for generations?" But to the Stalinists, the secrecy of the Teheran conference endows it with mystic powers.

From now on they vow that mankind shall know no other gods but Teheran. But weren't they socialists once upon a time? That was in their pagan days. That was in the dark, pre-Teheran era. It is this past that they wish above all to forget. They have seen the light now, and that light shines from Teheran.

The truth is, the Stalinists have really been in need of religion for a long time. Many lifelong criminals in their declining years, especially when afflicted with an in-

curable disease, turn to religion for solace. The Stalinists belong to this category; they have certainly lived a life of crime. Not only have they sold themselves to the beasts in the Kremlin, and lived by deception, lies and treachery; but they have been accessories to the murder of a whole generation of men and women who made the Russian revolution, who guided it through its most trying years of civil war. They have sunk lower than the most depraved criminals in the annals of crime.

They need solace.

## The New Stalinist Religion

But the Stalinists shall never find solace in their new religion. For their religion is nothing but the product of their depraved, diseased imagination. How could anyone in his right mind bring himself to worship this meeting of Stalin, Churchill and Roosevelt?

Stalin is the chieftain of a clique that has slipped a noose over the Russian Revolution and has been slowly strangling it ever since Lenin's death. It is not Stalin's fault that the Russian revolution is not yet dead. It is proof of the vitality of this great giant. Stalin usurped power in the Communist International only to snuff all life out of it. In its place Browder now proffers Teheran—Teheran is the new "Internation-

" of Churchill, Roosevelt and Stalin.

Churchill, candid admirer of Italian fascism, who not so long ago employed all his eloquence in praise of Mussolini, is the second member of this unholy trinity of Browder's "International." Churchill is the head of the greatest empire in the world, built and maintained by brute force and chicanery. At this very moment when he is the object of Stalinist worship, millions of his agonized subjects are dying of starvation on the streets of India. Any one of these victims could ask: If the program of Teheran is the kind of world program for which all peoples have fought for generations, why don't we Indians get our freedom? Churchill is frank about it, even if the Stalinists are not. He proclaims openly that he did not become His Majesty's first minister in order to dissolve the British Empire.

They need solace.

## American Capitalism

Roosevelt is the head of the richest country in the world. He represents the most arrogant ruling class in the world. Arrogant and brutal in its jailing of the 18 Trotskyists for the sole "crime" of advocating socialism. Arrogant and brutal in its attempts to enslave the working class by a compulsory service law. American capitalism, having at its command a productive

machine which the rest of the world combined cannot match, is just as arrogant in its policies abroad. It has created a huge army, a navy and a merchant marine larger than the combined fleets of the rest of the world. American capitalism is out to use its power for the control of the entire world, for the greater glory and profit of the Sixty Families.

No, the Stalinists will hardly find solace in their new religion.

Even when they come humbly to worship at the feet of American imperialism, they get kicked in their teeth by its arrogant spokesmen, who prefer to hire their own lackeys and bootlickers. The American capitalists, too, have nothing but contempt for those who would serve two masters. Such lackeys are not very reliable.

No, the Stalinists will not find solace in their new religion.

The American workers to whom they seek to peddle the opium of their new religion are not at all addicted to subservience, submissiveness, slavishness. The American worker believes in his rights and believes in fighting for his rights. Those who built the CIO, who engaged in four coal strikes, who threatened a railway tie-up—these men will, surely, brush aside the Stalinist opium peddlers and march their own confident way forward.

## TRADE UNION NOTES

By Joseph Keller

As part of the government-corporation offensive against organized labor, the Senate last week passed an amendment to the new tax bill which would compel the unions to open their books and records to the scrutiny of the bosses and their government agents, and subject labor organizations to taxation.

For decades the American open-shoppers have tried to secure such legislation. It would provide them with a powerful weapon for invading the privacy of the unions, interfering in their internal affairs and securing the lists of their members. Through it, employers would attempt to cripple the unions financially and would seek in such traditional union practices as the maintenance of strike reserves the pretext for frameups on charges like "misuse" of funds.

One of the primary reasons for this latest crass union-busting move is the desire of the administration and employers to cripple independent labor political action. This was, as the capitalist press admitted, the "winning" argument which "clinched" the Senate debate.

Senator Josiah Bailey, Southern poll-tax Democrat from North Carolina, pointed the finger of horror at the CIO Political Action Committee, which is certainly not independent of capitalist politics, and told his colleagues that the CIO has issued a "political primer" to its members, the alleged purpose being, in Bailey's words, "not to serve the United States but to serve the CIO." Bailey manfully confronted this dire menace with the boast, "They can't beat me, or any other Senator."

Although Roosevelt's demand for national forced labor did not mention any specific bill, his message was the cue for opening immediate hearings before the Senate Military Affairs Committee on the notorious Austin-Wadsworth bill—the only bill of its type actually up for consideration in Congress. This is the bill which last week secured the tacit endorsement of Secretary of War Stimson, when he called for quick action in support of Roosevelt's proposal at the hearings on the Austin-Wadsworth measure.

The bill provides for universal forced labor. Under its terms any worker could be forced to leave his home and be shipped anywhere in the country, and to work at any job assigned him, regardless of wages or conditions.

No matter what his previous wages and work were, he would have to accept the wages paid by the company to which he would be bound. He would lose the seniority and vacation rights that he enjoyed at his former job. Because of residence restrictions, he would naturally lose his voting rights. Although the worker would not be permitted to strike or quit, his owner could fire him without notice at any time. Though the bill says his former employer must rehire him, the jobless worker will have no means of enforcing this provision except through civil suit. That means as the cynical saying is, he "can go see city hall."

One of the most vicious features

of the bill is a proviso enforcing the open shop in industries where forced labor would be employed—which takes in all war industry. Senator Austin and Rep. Wadsworth had training for construction of such a thoroughly labor-hating bill. Their long reactionary record includes voting against the Wages and Hours law, the Wagner Housing Act, WPA work relief appropriations, the abolition of poll taxes, and the old age assistance provisions of the Social Security Act.

In order to convince their deluded followers that they aren't the finks they are, the Stalinists are peddling slimey lies about labor's attitude toward the labor draft. Thus the *Daily Worker*, Jan. 22, issues the following brazen falsehood:

"One fact stands out beyond a shadow of doubt when we talk of labor's sentiment on the President's message to Congress, ALL LABOR (original emphasis), including even the miners if we leave out the Lewis bureaucracy, is behind it."

Outside of the Stalinists themselves, and their well-known union stooges like Harry Bridges, Joseph Curran and Julius Einspach of the United Electrical and Radio Workers, not a single union leader has endorsed Roosevelt's demand for forced labor.

On the contrary, Philip Murray, William Green, R. J. Thomas and virtually every leading figure in the labor movement, have violently condemned the proposal. And if there is a single rank and file worker who can be found favoring it, he must just have escaped from the booby hatch or be a thoroughly doped victim of Stalinism.

The International Association of Machinists, AFL, and the United Automobile Workers, CIO, the two leading unions in the aircraft industry, signed a mutual agreement on January 8 to avoid jurisdictional disputes. The agreement pledges that where one union has a contract with a company, or has won an NLRB election, the other union shall not attempt to establish an organization.

The twenty-three day strike of municipal workers against the city of Montreal is ended. The workers voted to go back to work pending settlement of their wage demands by arbitration. The leaders of the unions involved agreed the settlement on an eight-point government proposal under which all wage increases granted will be retroactive to Dec. 1, an increase in the cost-of-living bonus, and no discrimination against the strikers.

Clayton Fountain in his Jan. 7 column in the *Toledo Union Journal* says that the steel and railroad crises of last month are symptomatic of the social and economic injustice in this country "which did not end with Pearl Harbor."

"Labor in America is almost single-handedly battling those who would first bust the unions, then burn the books, and then bash in the heads of all opposition... the railroad and steel crises

are basic to this battle."

## 15th Anniversary - \$15,000 Fund

By Rose Karsner, Director

With three weeks still to go in the 15th Anniversary \$15,000 Fund Campaign, comrades are showing their determination to finish the drive ahead of schedule. Ten branches have already filled their quotas. Two more zeros were erased from the scoreboard, and a third, we learn from a letter quoted below, will jump into the 100% row next week.

### FROM THE BRANCHES

**Los Angeles:** — "Enclosed please find check for \$150 on our quota for the Anniversary Fund Drive. South Gate, our newest and youngest branch, was the first to complete the quota."

**Dayton, R. B.:** — "I am enclosing \$15 as a contribution toward the \$15,000 Fund. I recently received the issue of *The Militant* containing the account of the farewell dinner. The speeches were really inspiring, and renewed my feeling of pride in the comrades and admiration for their courage."

**Quakertown:** — "The enclosed check for \$32 puts Quakertown over the top. We feel here that meeting our pledge is the very least we can do at this time, when our comrades are jailed for the 'crime' of furnishing correct leadership to the Socialist vanguard of the working class."

**Detroit, Arthur:** — "Enclosed please find cashier's check for \$170 which I think brings us up to our goal of \$2000. We shall not rest on our laurels and hope to have additional money for you before the 15th of February."

**Youngstown, J. W.:** — "Enclosed is a money order for \$35 to apply on our quota. This brings our total paid up so far to \$185. We expect to have paid in full by the first of February."

**Akron, D. S.:** — "Enclosed is a money order for \$50. This brings us to \$125 with a balance of \$75. I am sure we will go over the top in the allotted time. We were deeply stirred by the grave, confident spirit of the farewell speeches."

**San Francisco, Lois:** — "Enclosed find check for \$100. At the last meeting of the Branch we voted to increase our quota to \$1500 and I am sure we will reach that figure very shortly."

**Flint:** — "\$100 is on the way to fulfill our quota. Sorry it will be too late to appear in this week's scoreboard."

### FROM FRIENDS AND SYMPATHIZERS

That we have many more friends and sympathizers than we know of, is indicated by the large number of anonymous contributions coming direct to the National office in addition to those received by the local organizations.

Total, last report ..... \$388.00

Received since

Mabel, N. Y. ..... 200.00  
Lexington, anon. ..... 24.75  
Texas, anon. ..... 5.00  
Gon, N. J. ..... 5.00  
Dayton ..... 15.00  
Friend, N. Y. ..... 5.00

Total ..... \$642.75



A subscription drive is now under way in Detroit and if the excellent results obtained by other branches in sub campaigns is indicative, we can look for big things to happen in Detroit's drive. The agent enclosed three subscriptions in her first letter, which states:

"We have started a campaign here to get subscriptions for *The Militant* and *Fourth International*. The drive began January 16 and will end February 1." In addition Detroit has requested that their *Militant* binder order be increased by 100 copies.

Subscriptions continue to pour in from San Francisco. The agent's weekly letter reads: "Enclosed find money order covering 10 six-month subscriptions to *The Militant*. We have succeeded in acquiring other newsstands in the Negro district. If it is at all possible I would like to suggest paper can be purchased."

## SCOREBOARD

	Quota	Paid	Percent
NEW HAVEN	50.00	75.00	150
SAN FRANCISCO	1,000.00	1,450.00	145
QUAKERTOWN	25.00	32.00	128
MILWAUKEE	25.00	27.50	110
BUFFALO	300.00	307.50	103
SAN DIEGO	100.00	100.00	100
ALLEGHENY	25.00	25.00	100
TWIN CITIES	1,000.00	1,000.00	100
TOLEDO	100.00	100.00	100
DETROIT	2,000.00	2,008.00	100
New York	2,500.00	2,404.00	96
Boston	300.00	282.00	94
Reading	75.00	68.00	91
Newark	300.00	260.00	87
Members-at-large and friends	800.00	642.75	80
Los Angeles	2,000.00	1,587.16	79
Youngstown	200.00	185.00	68
Chicago	2,000.00	1,138.38	57
Seattle	1,000.00	535.00	54
Cleveland	200.00	100.00	50
St. Louis	100.00	50.00	50
Bayonne	300.00	122.00	41
Akron	200.00	75.00	38
East Chicago	100.00	35.00	35
Philadelphia	150.00	24.50	16
Flint	100.00	0	0
Rochester	50.00	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$12,583.79</b>	<b>84%</b>

## Lenin Meeting Held in New York

## RED ARMY VICTORIES ALARM STALIN'S ALLIES

(Continued from page 1) not really settle matters at all, even though, as Browder now brazenly confirms, Stalin at Teheran did agree to try to prevent the proletarian revolution from spreading to Western Europe. In his speech announcing the dissolution of the American Communist Party, Browder declared:

"British and American ruling circles had to be convinced that their joint war together with the Soviet Union against Hitlerism would not result in the Soviet Socialist system being extended to Western Europe under the stimulus of the victorious Red Armies." (Sunday Worker, Jan. 16.)

Western Europe of course includes, above all, Germany. "Convincing British and American ruling circles" means that Stalin himself supplied his signature along with sufficiently "convincing" guarantees at Teheran.

### CAPITALIST OBJECTIONS

But the whole point is that the capitalists refuse to reconcile themselves to the price that Stalin needs and demands, that is, the strengthening of the Soviet Union in Eastern Europe. Stabilization of capitalism in Europe is impossible without a capitalist Poland as a "buffer" in order to keep the Soviet system isolated in preparation for its eventual destruction. Churchill and Roosevelt know this, and are working to this end.

The establishment of the Curzon line, that is, in essence the preservation of the 1939 borders gained by Stalin through his previous deal with Hitler, would weaken Poland as the pivot of this indispensable capitalist "corridor sanitaire." Furthermore, implicit in the Kremlin's territorial demands is the extension of Soviet property forms to the whole of Poland. That this threat is not distant is borne out by the latest pronouncement by Stalin's Union of Polish Patriots calling not only for the inclusion of Silesia, Pomerania, East Prussia, and Danzig in a "New Poland," but also,

for the seizure of Polish landed estates, their division among the peasants and "the nationalization of industries and mines taken from the Germans." (Daily Worker, Jan. 28.)

Inasmuch as Polish industry is almost wholly in the hands of the Germans, the realization of this program would signify the complete destruction of Polish capitalism and a giant step in the inevitable extension of Soviet property forms far beyond the frontiers of 1939.

### THE TWO-FOLD DANGER

In its turn, this carries a two-fold threat to capitalism: first, in addition to strengthening the USSR immeasurably, it would greatly hamper further attempts to isolate it. Second, the revolutionary wave in Europe, especially in Germany, would receive so mighty an impulsion from such developments in the territories of former Poland, let alone Silesia, East Prussia, etc., that the attempt to drown the coming European revolution in blood would be rendered well-nigh impossible.

In any case, Roosevelt and Churchill will not voluntarily surrender to Stalin a single sector of capitalism, no matter how tiny. Each advance of the Red Army, however, poses this issue point-blank and brings more and more sharply to the forefront the basic antagonism in the camp of the "United Nations" — the irreconcilable class conflict between the "democratic" capitalists and the Soviet Union, even in its de-generated condition under Stalin.

Among the voices already to be heard demanding another Teheran is that of Senator Burton of Ohio. The present crisis may be patched up temporarily, with or without another Teheran. But the irreconcilable class forces underlying the new crisis cannot be definitely suppressed or overcome by Stalinist intrigue and imperialist diplomacy. Inescapably they must and will manifest themselves in a life-and-death struggle between the forces of "democratic" capitalism and the Soviet Union.

### Four Trotskyist Leaders

### Four Trotskyist Leaders



James P. Cannon, Albert Goldman, Vincent Dunne, and Farrell Dobbs, four of the 18 leaders of the Socialist Workers Party and Minneapolis Truckdrivers Local 544-CIO, framed-up before leaving to begin their sentences at Sandstone Federal Penitentiary.

doctrine of the I.W.W. had neither foreseen nor could explain. Not syndicalism but revolutionary Marxism showed itself to be the doctrine capable of leading the masses to the overthrow of capitalism. The star of October shone above them as they emerged from prison; it should have been the inspiration for redoubled activity; but the I.W.W. leaders did not understand that guiding star.

### SAME PROCESS

Essentially the same process has been repeated in every country.

We know that neither execution chambers nor prison walls will crush the communist movement.

We know it not as a matter of faith but as the record of history.

Still, it is necessary to add that, under certain conditions, even principled revolutionists have been spiritually broken, demoralized or disoriented by confinement.

There comes to mind the pitiful example — really the ludicrous example — of the famous socialist orator of the pre-1918 period, Kate Richards O'Hare, who emerged from prison with only one interest in life — prison reform.

To this day she is busy at it as an officer of a prison reform association.

Apart from getting curtains put on dormitory windows, Kate hasn't improved the prisons much.

**WE ARE MARXISTS**

We are Marxists and that means, among other things, that we ask ourselves the most searching and fundamental questions.

May there be an analogy between the fate of the imprisoned I.W.W. cadre and that of our cadre which is now going to prison? Will our cadre emerge from prison with redoubled determination or will it come out into a world in which it will find itself bewildered by events we had not foreseen and new revolutionary movements for which our doctrine had not provided and to which we will be unable to link ourselves?

We shall be in prison for only a year or so and at other times one could count such a period of

imprisonment as a short interval. But what a year this is certain to be! Certain to be one of those years in which world history moves more speedily than it has previously moved in whole generations.

### ESSENTIAL DIFFERENCE

The essential difference between our situation and that of the IWW leaders is that the question of world perspectives similarly did not pose itself to the IWW cadre.

They understood that the class struggle exists everywhere, but they never really understood that internationalism is not a matter of sentimental solidarity but that a world party of the socialist revolution is absolutely indispensable for conducting the struggle anywhere.

They never understood that the tempo of the class struggle in America, and the nature of the revolutionary organization which would lead that struggle, could be determined by events in other parts of the world.

The IWW mentality would not be able to understand today that what happens to the revolutionary movement in Europe during the coming years is a life and

death question to the American revolutionary movement.

That is the essential difference between the IWW leadership and that of the Socialist Workers Party. Our cadre has preoccupied itself for decades with the strategy and tactics of the world revolution.

Our cadre is bound by the most unbreakable ties to our co-workers throughout the world. And that is why our program, our conception of the strategy and tactics of the world revolution, has withstood the test of events over the decades and perhaps even more important has withstood the test of events during these four and more years of the second world war.

Still greater events are to come but we have the assurance, by the tests our program has already met, that we are programmatically prepared for what is to come far better than any previous cadre in the history of the revolutionary movement. The actual record of the Trotskyist movement is our best guarantee for the future.

## 'MERCHANTS OF DEATH' EXPOSED IN CARTEL DEAL WITH BRITISH TRUST

(Continued from page 1)

maintained in deliberate violation of federal laws. It included as a partner, at least until several months after Pearl Harbor, the huge Nazi chemical trust, I.G. Farbenindustrie. These international trusts sought to establish "spheres of influence" where each would have exclusive control of markets, raw materials and manufacturing facilities. They limited production, fixed monopoly prices and attempted to eliminate all independent competition. They exchanged patents and trade secrets, acting to protect each other from outside competitors.

The duPont dynasty, which is said to have received the largest volume of government war contracts, deliberately planned to violate the anti-trust laws, and continue the cartel agreement "irrespective of Governmental Action," was indicated by the Justice Department. The complaint quotes the personal correspondence between Lord McGowan, head of the British trust, and Lamont duPont, both named personally in the suit.

"Everything possible is done to ensure that no prospective political or legislative action on the parts of governments is permitted to influence relations between duPont and ICI," wrote Lord McGowan to duPont.

To which, duPont replied:

"I feel the same: If legislation or international agreements are brought about which affect these ICI-duPont relations, I am

sure we will be able to adjust ourselves so as to get the continued benefit of our agreement."

The government further charged that when the war in 1940 interrupted duPont relations in Argentina with I.G. Farben, the Nazi trust, the duPont company informed I.G. that they intended to use their good offices after the war to have I.G. participation restored."

Assistant Attorney General Wendell Berge, describing the effect of this and similar cartels, declared that they have "plagued us with shortages of critical materials, lack of know-how and industrial skills during war, and unemployment and idle plants during peace."

The cartel agreements, he said, "are nothing but private treaties as far reaching as any governmental action but free from Congressional sanction."

Berge admitted after the suit was brought that the government is seeking only a consent decree from duPont to discontinue certain of its practices. He informed Washington correspondents that the trial might be postponed, as has happened with similar cases in the past three years, and might not be taken up until after the war.

Lord McGowan came into public notice in this country recently through his campaign seeking repeal of the American anti-trust laws to permit continuation of cartels. The British fear that only by means of such cartel "truces" with the all-powerful American trusts will they be able to stand up against intensified American world trade competition.

That the American monopoly interests in general do not envisage permitting the British monopolists to strengthen themselves in the world arena is indicated by the emphasis in the Justice

Department charges that it is ICI in particular which is aiming at

world control of the chemical in-

## ON GUARD!

One of the more sinister aspects

of Browder's report to the National Committee of the Communist Party at its meeting in New York City on Jan. 7-9 was

the inclusion of a typical GPU amalgam. In laying down the new line to the hatchetmen in the leadership of the American C.P., Browder, mouthpiece for Stalin's GPU in this country, says: "The U.S. foreign services are honeycombed with Trotskyites, every one of whom is an actual or potential agent of Hitler." (Sunday Worker, Jan. 16, 1944.)

The details of such a scheme are not worked out, not even in Sir Harry's own mind, but the broad

picture includes working arrangements between three groups —

the I.G. in Germany, Imperial Chemical Industries in the British Empire, and duPont and the Allied Chemical and Dye in America.

The next step is an arrangement of some sort between the

U.S. foreign services and the

U.S. foreign services are honeycombed with Trotskyites, every one of whom is an actual or potential agent of Hitler." (Sunday Worker, Jan. 16, 1944.)

This statement, bearing the un-

mistakable imprint of the GPU,

is a directive to the Stalinist functionaries. Such formulations have in the past presaged slander and frame-up campaigns directed against all militants in the labor movement who oppose the treacherous role of the Stalinist bureaucrats.

As the most uncompromising opponents of Big Business and its agents, the Trotskyites are singled out for special attention — but every worker who dares raise his voice against the Stalinists will be smeared as an "actual or potential agent of Hitler."

ON GUARD! Stalin's GPU is

cooking up another poisonous

brew of frame-ups and gangsterism in an attempt to silence all

opposition to their betrayals!

## Forced Labor Plan Backed By Stimson

(Continued from page 1)

other sections of the wage earners, have provided 42 per cent of the armed forces, the Jan. 15 Cleveland Trust Co. bulletin states.

### LABOR'S PROGRAM

The labor movement must do more than "view with alarm" the progress of the present labor-baiting drive. Organized labor must beat back this ominous offensive, spear-headed by Roosevelt, with similar proposals, like the \$25,000 salary limitation, none of which were enacted.

This anti-labor campaign and incitement of the soldiers do not merely serve immediate ends of enforcing the wage-freeze and safeguarding profits. They lay the ground-work for the long-term designs of Big Business to strangle organized labor altogether. Just as Hitler and Mussolini mobilized many despairing and jobless ex-soldiers of World War I as strikebreaking battalions and storm-troops, so the American plunderbund, aided and abetted by Roosevelt, is preparing now to organize veterans of this war against the American working class.

Their fascist minions, like Gerald L. K. Smith, head of the "America First Party," have recently seized upon the current agitation against labor to issue open appeals for support from servicemen.

So hostile and nakedly anti-labor has Roosevelt's campaign become, that even the bureaucratic trade union leadership, servile to the Roosevelt war machine and the bosses, has been panicked into sharp protest against the proposed forced labor bill. Only the pinky Stalinists and their union stooges, like Harry Bridges and Joseph Curran, have dared endorse Roosevelt's call for a National Service Act.

### BUREAUCRATS FAIL

But aside from deplored and lamenting, the union bureaucracy continues to give political support to Roosevelt, or, like sections of the AFL, is bidding for the favors of the hard-shelled reactionary Republican Party. The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization and action.

The top union leaders are trying to knife in the back every movement toward genuinely independent labor political organization

# THE MILITANT

Published in the interests of the Working People

VOL. VIII—No. 5 January 29, 1944

Published Weekly by

THE MILITANT PUBLISHING ASS'N  
at 116 University Place, New York 3, N. Y.  
Telephone: ALgonquin 4-8547  
FARRELL DOBBS, Editor

THE MILITANT follows the policy of permitting its contributors to present their own views in signed articles. These views therefore do not necessarily represent the policies of THE MILITANT which are expressed in its editorials.

Subscriptions: \$2.00 per year; \$1.00 for 6 months. Foreign: \$3.00 per year, \$1.50 for 6 months. Bundle orders: 3 cents per copy in the United States; 4 cents per copy in all foreign countries. Single copies: 5 cents.

Application for entry as second-class matter is pending.



To defend the USSR as the main fortress of the world proletariat, against all assaults of world imperialism and of internal counter-revolution, is the most important duty of every class-conscious worker.

— LEON TROTSKY

## JOIN US IN FIGHTING FOR:

1. Military training of workers, financed by the government, but under control of the trade unions. Special officers' training camps, financed by the government but controlled by the trade unions, to train workers to become officers.
2. Trade union wages for all workers drafted into the army.
3. Full equality for Negroes in the armed forces and the war industries—Down with Jim Crowism everywhere.
4. Confiscation of all war profits. Expropriation of all war industries and their operation under workers' control.
5. A rising scale of wages to meet the rising cost of living.
6. Workers Defense Guards against vigilante and fascist attacks.
7. An Independent Labor Party based on the Trade Unions.
8. A Workers' and Farmers' Government.
9. The defense of the Soviet Union against imperialist attack.

## Rising Wage Scale

Last week, after a run-around that extended over a period of eighteen months, the railroad workers finally gained a wage increase of from 9 to 11 cents an hour. These modest increases were won only after a year-and-a-half of negotiations, prolonged hearings before various mediation boards, awards made and broken, and finally, a strike threat that precipitated a major labor crisis. Simultaneous with the termination of the rail wage dispute, the steel workers' demand for a 17 cents an hour increase, was moving through the same labyrinth when the United States Steel Corporation asked that the wage demands of the United Steel Workers of America be certified to the War Labor Board.

Speaking for U. S. Steel, John A. Stephens, vice-president in charge of industrial relations, said that the wage demands of the steel workers were "of such a nature as not to permit solution in collective bargaining." The steel tycoons passed the ball to Roosevelt's War Labor Board, that graveyard of grievances which Philip Murray has accused of having already prejudged the steel workers' case. At the same time, the International Ladies Garment Workers Union announced that wage negotiations had broken down after a five weeks conference with the employers. Julius Hochman, union spokesman, complained: "I am compelled to conclude, following very frequent meetings with the employers, that some of their representatives are taking advantage of labor's no-strike pledge to prolong negotiations." Hochman then proceeded to call in these past masters of the art of "prolonging negotiations," the government mediators.

These developments, together with the impending wage negotiations of a large section of the CIO, foreshadow the beginning of a new labor crisis. In order to win even a part of their wage demands, these unions must crash through the Little Steel formula. The experience of the past period has shown what a tremendous expenditure of energy is required from one union after another in the struggle to gain a few cents an hour increase. The coal miners went through four strikes to win a modest wage increase. After 18 months the railway workers had to set a strike date before they were able to gain an equally meagre wage increase.

The workers have seen that even when these struggles succeed in gaining a few cents per hour, the rising cost of living quickly wipes out such gains and the bitter struggle has to be fought all over again. The more advanced sections of the la-

bor movement are seeking a way out of this predicament. The United Automobile Workers Union (CIO) is demanding the inclusion in its contract of an escalator clause—a rising scale of wages to meet the rising cost of living. The International Ladies Garment Workers Union (AFL) is also demanding "an anti-inflation clause based on the 'escalator' principle fixing a relationship between wages and living costs." The escalator clause is becoming recognized as the only realistic solution to the problem of adjusting wages in the race to keep up with the rising cost of living.

With Roosevelt and his henchmen on the War Labor Board still holding the wage freezing line against the workers, it would be fatal to continue the policy of pitting one union at a time against the powerful government apparatus functioning as the executive committee of the bosses. Only the united effort of the entire labor movement will be able to secure an effective wage policy. It is imperative that a conference be called of all unions, regardless of affiliation, to initiate a nation-wide drive by organized labor to end wage freezing by uniting in common struggle for a realistic wage policy—the escalator clause—a rising scale of wages to meet the rising cost of living.

## Allies And France

The reasons given by the Anglo-American authorities for their refusal to provide arms to the French anti-Nazi underground movement provide a fresh demonstration of the anti-democratic character of the Roosevelt-Churchill war aims.

These reasons are openly expressed in a special Washington dispatch on Jan. 21 to the *N. Y. Times*, which admits that not merely "technical difficulties" but "political difficulties" stand in the way.

"One is the fear of violent revolution which appears to haunt the molders of foreign and military policy in Washington and London, in the special case of France; another is a natural legacy of the Vichy policy."

The dispatch goes on to reveal: "To some Vichyites who, while appearing to collaborate with the Nazis, also served the purposes of our liaison-with-Vichy policy, the United States and British Governments seem to feel a certain obligation that an armed French underground might not share."

In short, Roosevelt and Churchill fear to arm the anti-fascist masses of France who would turn their guns first of all against the Vichyite industrial and financial collaborators with Hitler. Instead, these "democrats" intend to defend and protect the Vichyites—that is, the ruling capitalists in France and their political agents—and to try to keep them in power after Hitler's defeat.

Anglo-American imperialism would a thousand times over rather see the maintenance of reactionary dictatorships in France and throughout the world than to see the insurgent workers and peasants armed to overthrow their fascist oppressors. For the armed masses will not stop at a mere restoration of their political and democratic rights, but will fight on for full social and economic emancipation. They will threaten the property rights of the capitalists—and that is what the capitalist politicians, Roosevelt and Churchill, fear above all else.

## Soldier Vote

For months the American people have witnessed the repulsive spectacle of a heated congressional debate on whether or not the servicemen, who are presumably fighting and dying for democracy all over the planet, were to be given the elementary democratic right of voting in the 1944 election. A so-called "States Rights" bill, previously adopted by the Senate and favorably reported out of the House Committee on Elections, represented an unconditional surrender to the poll-tax Democrats of the "solid South" and their northern anti-labor colleagues. This non-voting "voting" bill was so raw that even Secretary of War Stimson declared that "few if any members of the armed forces would be able to vote under the 'States' Rights' soldier voting bill."

Such a roar of protest surged up from the soldier ranks that even the congressional "defenders of democracy" could not ignore it. They found it politically expedient to devise a substitute measure which, while pretending to grant all soldiers the right to vote, actually protected the poll-tax system and disfranchised millions of men in the armed forces. By a vote of 12 to 2 the Senate Committee on Elections turned this trick by reporting out a new measure embodying the provision that: "Election officials in the States would have the final say as to what ballots should be counted."

This means that, although soldiers are given the right to cast a ballot, the decision whether or not their vote will be counted is left entirely to the Democratic and Republican machine-controlled election officials of the various states. No wonder poll-tax Senator Tydings of Maryland exultantly "expressed the view that this provision gave State and local election officials the right to decide whether the ballots of service men who were not registered or who had not paid poll taxes, should be counted."

This sleight-of-hand performance, this cynical disregard for the simplest democratic rights of the soldiers, is typical of both capitalist parties. The labor movement has the duty to demand that every soldier's ballot should be counted in the elections. But it is not enough to win full voting rights for the soldiers; it is necessary to give them a party and a program worth voting for. The unions must create an independent Labor Party with a program covering the needs of the service men and guaranteeing their democratic rights. Only through such a militant Labor Party can workers and soldiers together defend their interests against the poll-tax Bourbons and anti-labor agents of Wall Street in control of the Democratic and Republican parties.

# WORKERS' FORUM

The columns are open to the opinions of the readers of The Militant. Letters are welcome on any subject of interest to the workers, but keep them short and include your name and address. Indicate if you do not want your name printed. — Editor.

### Reader Inspired By 'The Militant'

Editor:

The January 1st issue of The Militant is a real inspiration.

When the prison doors had actually closed upon our leaders, it seemed that I could not overcome a feeling of bitterness and depression. It was such a monstrous thing—this imprisonment of people whose only crime had been an unrelenting struggle to free mankind from injustice, starvation, oppression and war. Waves of revolution and anger swept over me—revulsion for the injustice and stupidity, anger for the conspiracy of silence in the "free press."

But when I received The Militant for January 1st, with those inspiring speeches and biographical sketches, a new spirit possessed me. The hopelessness was replaced by a conviction that so long as humanity could produce leaders like these, humanity was not lost. The feeling of helplessness was replaced by the thought: "You have been doing

your bit; how about doing your best?"

I have taken extra copies of this issue to pass out to people of my acquaintance who are not revolutionary socialists. It seems to me that if they will but take the time to read these biographical sketches, at least a spark of interest must be kindled in them. Perhaps later the spark will develop into a flame.

D. K.  
Los Angeles, Cal.

### Layoffs and the Labor Draft

Editor:

Roosevelt and Stimson are clamoring for a labor draft—to insure war production! Yet at my plant, thousands of workers are being laid off.

I work at Sperry-Gyroscope, which had 20,000 production workers until a few weeks ago. Now the number decreases by hundreds every week. The company states, in the union paper (!) that "it is expected that voluntary termina-

tions, layoffs and the (soldier) draft will continue to take their toll of Sperry workers until the present force is reduced by about 20%."

I suppose the company means to get more production by speeding up and cracking-down on the rest of us. Every step it has taken in that direction has been accepted and even praised by the Stalinists who control the union. The workers' answer has been to quit, in large numbers—that's what's referred to as "voluntary terminations."

The company will continue with one-fifth less of us. What will happen to the 4000 who aren't needed? Under a draft-labor bill, we could be forced to take jobs at the lowest prevailing rate, regardless of our experience; to work under intolerable conditions; and there would be no way out. That seems to me to be the real reason for a labor draft at a time when thousands are being fired from their jobs.

R. J.  
New York City

## Workers' BOOKSHELF

"EMPIRE" by Louis Fischer. Duell, Sloan and Pearce, New York. 1943. 101 pp. \$1.00.

Louis Fischer was for many years one of Stalin's public apologists. When he broke with the Stalinists at the time of the Hitler-Stalin pact he admitted that for several years he had realized the treacherous character of Stalinism but had covered up for it nevertheless. His latest book demonstrates that he is now playing the same role of apologist, with just as much hypocrisy, for the British Empire.

For example, at several points in his book Fischer clearly reveals that he understands the imperialist character of the war. He states: "Some of the roots of this war grew in the rotten colonial soil of Asia and Africa" and that "Japanese imperialism clashed with (British) imperialism to produce this second world war." At other points however, hoping that his readers may have short memories, he pretends that: "This is not a war about foreign geography... This is a war to remake the world." This procedure of skating around true facts at one point and then presenting falsehoods in the guise of generalizations at another is typical of the duplicity of the book—and of Fischer's whole career.

Fischer plainly reveals the character of the British imperialists and their determination never to give up India. The book is filled with quotations by Churchill of which the following is

typical: "The loss of India would be final and fatal to us. It could not fail to be part of a process that would reduce us to the scale of a minor power." Fischer also quotes the equally cynical Leopold Amery, now Secretary of State for India, who said in 1933: "Who is there among us to cast the first stone and to say that Japan ought not to have acted with the object of creating peace and order in Manchuria and defending herself against the continued aggression of vigorous Chinese nationalism? Our whole policy in India, our whole policy in Egypt stands condemned if we condemn Japan."

In spite of this, Fischer insists that the imperial government in London with a little pushing from the liberals, can be depended upon to give India her freedom. Flying in the face of all reality, he maintains that "it would be to the best interests of Britain" to free India. At other points however, hoping that his readers may have short memories, he pretends that: "This is not a war about foreign geography... This is a war to remake the world." This procedure of skating around true facts at one point and then presenting falsehoods in the guise of generalizations at another is typical of the duplicity of the book—and of Fischer's whole career.

Fischer completely whitewashes American imperialism. To believe him, the U. S. imperialists favor a "multi-national" form of colonial control, since the wealth and strength of American capitalism enable it to exploit the colonial nations most profitably by the methods of "dollar diplomacy." Fischer calls this Wall Street technique of financial domination "internationalism and the fullest development of subject peoples."

Side by side with these lies, Fischer provides some valuable material about India. The contrast between the great in-

dustry development of the Soviet Union after the October revolution and the continued impoverishment of India is pointed out sharply by him, together with the similar contrast in the cultural levels of the two countries.

Fischer further points out that the landlords in India are opposed to Indian independence because British arms are the only thing that prevents the Indian peasants from overthrowing the feudal property relations that prevail in Indian agriculture. In their struggle for independence the Indian masses must and will make an agrarian revolution, throwing out the landlords in the same way that the Russian peasants did in 1917.

Naturally, this sycophant of capitalism refrains from drawing the conclusion that the only way out for the Indian masses is through emulating the October revolution. But he cannot refrain from playing with this historically inescapable development. He hints at it:

"Probably not one Russian in a hundred had heard of Lenin or Trotsky when the Soviet Government was established... A leader has followers before his followers know he is alive. He fills a need for them."

The Indian Trotskyists at the head of the growing industrial working class are destined to lead the Indian masses because they alone "fill a need for them," the urgent need for correct and bold revolutionary leadership.

Reviewed by DAVE JEFFRIES

## International Notes

The Churchill government plans to aid in the restoration of King George of Greece, whose pre-war regime rivaled the vest in Europe for bloody suppression and brutal exploitation of the masses.

The British Tribune, Dec. 24, 1943, reports that "in last week's Commons debate... Eden held firm to the old line in Greek affairs, based on backing a discredited Monarch rather than the people."

A delegation of anti-monarchist EAM (Greek National Front of Liberation) leaders last August in Cairo demanded assurance from the British that they would not permit the King to return to Greece until a plebiscite had determined on the future constitution.

The Tribune reports that "its mission failed when it became known that Churchill had assured the King that it was the aim of the British Government to 'see him back on his throne'."

Eden, before Commons, stated with regard to sending material aid to Colonel Zerva, a notorious agent of King George, that "I am going to send him supplies as far as possible," and that other Greek resistance movements would get only "a certain amount of help." Eden represented Zerva as a "republican," although it is a well-known fact that Zerva, in opposition to all other tendencies in Greece, which are opposed to the

proposed National Service Act. In two paragraphs, however, he lets slip some of the truth about what this system has meant to the British workers.

"Tens and hundreds of thousands of men and women have been moved from their normal communities and their normal jobs and have been dumped into sections of the country and into work which they knew nothing about. These migrant workers have often had to live in homes much worse than even the temporary structures thrown up for our workers at home in America, and, in many cases, they have been billeted compulsorily in homes where they were not wanted."

"Whole towns in Scotland have been stripped of their young women, who have been moved into England to supply the labor shortage in aircraft factories, and the British people would be the last to claim that this vast migration of women and men, with its doubling up of families in unnatural surroundings, has been accomplished without unfortunate moral and social effects."

READ  
'THE FOURTH INTERNATIONAL'

## Two Methods of Fighting Against Anti-Semitism

Anti-semitic attacks are on the increase. From New York, Boston, Baltimore and elsewhere come reports of beatings and knifings of Jews by Christian Fronters and other hoodlums. Instead of protecting the victims of these assaults, the police shield their attackers or remain indifferent to them. This is confirmed by New York City Commissioner Herland's report which cites numerous cases of police failing to answer calls for help and condemns "police inaction and laxity."

In an official survey, 407 New York policemen admitted membership in the Christian Front. One of the most notorious cops named Drew who was fired because of his pro-fascist views and activities, was reinstated by the Police Commissioner with full pay for time lost. After a storm of public protest, Mayor La Guardia appointed a special "investigation committee" of two ex-judges and an ex-police commissioner which promptly whitewashed both Drew and his superior.

Then La Guardia, upon whom the liberals and many Jews pinned their hopes, completed the whitewashing by clearing Drew of all charges in a personal interview with him over the municipal radio station.

While La Guardia "fights" anti-semitism by protecting anti-semites, the Los Angeles labor movement has provided an example of working class action against anti-semitism which is worth more than a thousand appeals to capitalist politicians. When a Jew-baiting gang in Douglas Aircraft Co's Santa Monica plant circulated a filthy anti-semitic leaflet, the UAW-CIO local immediately set to work to stop this poisonous propaganda. It not only located the source of the mimeographing but also drew up and distributed among its members a counter petition pointing out the evils of racial hatred and calling for unity of all union members against anti-Jewish and anti-Negro attacks.

Not through dependence upon capitalist politicians and police departments honeycombed with fascist-minded cops but only in firm alliance with the labor movement can the Jewish and Negro minorities stop the spread of fascist doctrines and eliminate the threat of terrorist attacks against them.

## Tax Bill Fails to Touch All-Time Record Profits

While Congress is engineering a tax bill which will leave the corporations higher profits than ever in 1944, the U. S. Department of Commerce reported on Jan. 22 that corporation profits for 1943, after taxes, reached an all-time record peak.

Actually reported net profits for 1943, which do not include billions in reserve and depreciation funds, were \$8,200,000,000. This is almost \$1,000,000,000 more than the 1942 record rake-in, and double that of 1939.

This is also double the net corporate profits of 1918, the last World War I year, which evoked such post-war revulsion and scandals and led to Roosevelt's pre-war promise that there would be no war millionaires in this war.

In addition to these admitted profits, according to the government report the corporations will have piled up by the end of this year a net non-taxable reserve of \$26,000,000,000, which is expected to be boosted to more than \$55,000,000,000 by the end of 1945.