

Stalinism And The Danger To Europe's Coming Revolution

The Problem of Poland, the Baltic and Balkan Peoples and the Socialist Tasks and Program

By The Editors

The great victories of the Red Army represent one of the most encouraging factors on the European scene today. The heroic feats of the Red Army soldiers and the Soviet masses on the field of battle have revealed to all who have eyes to see, that the Russian revolution, though stifled and desecrated, still lives. They have effectively annihilated the arguments of all the pessimists, skeptics and renegades who deserted the Soviet Union in its hour of peril and gave up the Russian revolution for lost.

The remarkable comeback of the Red Army after its early defeats, the ability to reconstitute a general staff in the very heat of battle, the unleashing of its tremendous offensive after the victory at Stalingrad and the subsequent unprecedented victories display not only the vitality of the October revolution but presage that the Soviet masses will be heard from at the crucial stage of developments in Europe.

The Soviet masses are performing their feats of valor under the most onerous and terrible burdens. They are saddled with the murderous Stalinist regime which rules the country with an autocratic hand, plunders the masses and is every day attempting to arrogate to itself added power and new privileges. In their frantic effort to stave off the inevitable day of reckoning, the Kremlin bureaucracy is attempting to build up new bases of support. It has widely extended the privileged officer caste. The bureaucracy is attempting to secure a new base of support among the backward peasantry by its recognition and support of the Holy Synod and the entire Greek Catholic hierarchy. At the same time the bureaucracy attempts to wipe out more and more of the great social achievements of the October Revolution by its reactionary decrees on education, its abolition of the Bolshevik divorce laws and progressive woman's legislation.

But these reactionary moves, these frantic attempts to turn back the clock of history will not avail.

THE CRIMES OF STALIN'S GANG

The Kremlin bureaucracy does not represent a new social class in society, which has a future, which is necessary for the socialist mode of production. It is a transitory parasitic caste which was able to fasten itself upon the Soviet peoples because of the protracted epoch of reaction and which will be thrust off again in the coming period of the revolutionary awakening.

How different a situation there would exist in Europe today if the Soviet Union were not burdened with the counter-revolutionary Stalinist clique! Is there any question that Europe would now be in the throes of a socialist revolution if at the helm of the Soviet Union stood leaders like Lenin and Trotsky? Is there any question that if the Soviet Union were under the guidance of genuine Bolsheviks, the imperialist war would already have been brought to a halt and the struggle would now be between

the ever growing, ever more successful armies of socialism and the declining and dying camp of capitalism?

If the German army continues to fight today it is certainly not because the German masses support or place any trust in the murderous Nazi regime. It is for one reason only. The German masses temporarily feel cornered. From the West they are threatened by the Anglo-American imperialists who openly boast of their robber aims to enslave and subjugate the German people. And on the east Stalin offers the German workers not the Bolshevik program of 1917, not the hand of socialist solidarity in a joint struggle to overthrow all the fascist and capitalist masters; no, he threatens instead to dismember Germany; he threatens the German people with slavery. If the blood of the Russian and German masses continues to redden the territories of the eastern front, the responsibility in major measure rests on Stalin and his counter-revolutionary regime.

THEY FEAR THE REVOLUTION

The victories of the Red Army have already provided a powerful impulse to the revolutionary struggle of the European peoples. The downfall of fascism in Italy signaled the beginning of the European revolution. The revolutionary aspirations and action of the European peoples are already to be clearly discerned in Yugoslavia, Greece, Poland, and elsewhere. These manifestations of the revolutionary vitality of the European peoples have filled the Anglo-American imperialists with dismay. They have however also struck terror into the hearts of the Kremlin bureaucrats. Here is a new factor which threatens to upset all their carefully laid schemes, all their grandiose plans, all their secret diplomatic agreements. It is this haunting fear of the European revolution, it is their common determination to crush it that brought the Anglo-American imperialists together with Stalin, first at Moscow, then at Teheran.

Roosevelt and Churchill aim to Balkanize the European continent and convert its peoples into serfs of Anglo-American capitalism. They want, they now need the support of Stalin for this brutal counter-revolutionary program.

The Stalinist bureaucracy, itself panic-stricken before the revolutionary specter, likewise wants to crush the revolution in its desperate attempt to preserve its criminal regime by maintaining the capitalist status quo in Europe. To facilitate their predatory aims and to cast over them the cloak of humanitarianism and democracy, Roosevelt and Churchill have provided themselves with Quisling "governments in exile" composed of all the old discredited reactionary monarchist, army officer, capitalist and landlord cliques. These they have cynically named "democratic governments of liberation." Stalin is copying their methods. He has likewise set up in Moscow hand-picked governments

(Continued on page 4)

WALL ST. CONSPIRES TO ENSLAVE WORKERS

Three of the Eighteen



Carl Skoglund, organizer and former president of Minneapolis Truckdrivers Local 544-CIO, Grace Carlson, New York organizer of the Socialist Workers Party, and Vincent Dunne, National Labor Secretary of the Socialist Workers Party, photographed in the Minneapolis headquarters of the SWP on the eve of their imprisonment. Skoglund and Dunne are serving their sixteen-month sentences at Sandstone Penitentiary, Grace Carlson at Alderson, W. Va.

Pardon Of 18 Urged By Liberal, Labor Leaders

Many individuals prominent in labor and liberal circles have written letters to President Roosevelt protesting the unjust imprisonment of the leaders of the Socialist Workers Party and of Minneapolis Truckdrivers Local 544-CIO and urging him to grant immediate pardon to the 18, reported the Civil Rights Defense Committee this week.

"Local 155, International Ladies Garment Workers Union, with thousands of members and hundreds who are today in all parts of the world fighting for freedom and democracy, urges you to pardon the 18 Minneapolis trade union leaders who were imprisoned on New Year's Eve . . . By doing so you will be eradicating a wrong that we are certain will be appreciated by the entire nation," wrote Louis Nelson, Manager-Secretary of Local 155.

"I think that one of the most serious blows to civil liberties in all our history was the conviction . . . and the refusal of the Supreme Court to grant them a hearing . . . I am writing to urge that you grant unconditional pardon to these 18 persons," wrote Sidney Hertzberg, Editor of Common Sense.

ENDANGERS FREEDOM

"I am convinced that we must watch at this time any more endangering liberty of political opinion. . . I hope that a way will be found to set aside such a verdict which can create a precedent in our law and open the way for oppression," wrote Rev. J. A. F. Maynard, French Church of Saint Esprit, N. Y.

Similar letters have been written by Mark Starr, Educational Director of the ILGWU, Israel Knox, National Director of the English Speaking Division, Workmen's Circle, N. Y., Judge Dorothy Kenyon of New York City, Prof. Irwin R. Beller of Allegheny College, Meadville, Pa., DeWitt D. Barlow, N. Y. attorney, Babette Deutsch and Clement Greenberg.

PHILADELPHIA PROTESTS

From Philadelphia Robert Parker, co-Chairman of the Metropolitan Philadelphia Council for Equal Job Opportunity and Regional Director of the National Committee, Allied and American War Relief, CIO has written: "Interest and support of the defendants does not mean an endorsement of their beliefs or acts;

it does mean a continual vigilance for the preservation of civil liberties. Violation of civil liberties usually begins with an un-

(Continued on page 3)

Goldman Disbarred

Class justice lashed out again at Albert Goldman when the Supreme Court of Illinois announced on Jan. 1 that his name had been stricken from the roll of practicing attorneys. In the past two decades Goldman has defended the rights of hundreds of workers in the capitalist courts. He was both chief defense attorney and a defendant in the Minneapolis labor case in which, despite his brilliant conduct of the defense, he and 17 other Trotskyist leaders were convicted under the Smith "Gag" Act. Disbarment proceedings were concluded the day after Goldman began serving his sixteen month sentence.

The White-Collar Workers Hit Hard By Soaring Prices

Testifying before a Senate Subcommittee on Wartime Health and Education on January 29, CIO President Philip Murray demanded government action to boost wages of white collar workers, who comprise one-fourth of the nation's gainfully employed men and women.

Following the speech of Murray, Presidents Lewis Merrill of the United Professional and Office Workers, Abram Flaxer of State, County and Municipal Employees, and Lewis Alan Berne of the Federation of Architects, Engineers, Chemists and Technicians pointed out that white collar salaries are insufficient for maintenance of health.

Rank and file members of the unions then gave their own stories of a losing struggle against the skyrocketing cost of living.

(Continued on page 3)

Brass Hats Spearhead Drive To Regiment American People

Roosevelt Proposal for Labor Draft Paves Way for Reactionary Drive Against Unions

By C. Thomas

One of the most threatening aspects of the anti-labor campaign whipped up by Roosevelt is the leading role played by the brass hats in the drive against the unions. The basis for the campaign was laid by General Marshall whose violent attack upon labor was directly inspired by Roosevelt. With the ground thus prepared in advance, the authoritative *Army and Navy Journal* "predicted" that the president would recommend a la-

bor-draft law in his message to congress. That "prediction" was fulfilled to the letter when Roosevelt, in his message, proposed "a national service law" to outlaw strikes for the duration.

ROOSEVELT'S TRICK

In order to screen the flagrant anti-labor character of the forced labor proposal, Roosevelt employed his time-worn trick of including it as one section of a five-point program. By holding forth the tempting bait of taxing "unreasonable" profits, rolling back prices and stabilizing the cost of living, Roosevelt thought he could make the bitter pill of forced labor more palatable to the workers. But the workers who have been swallowing Roosevelt's promises for too long a time have found them to be bad medicine for a continually declining standard of living.

In fact, no one was fooled much by Roosevelt's demagoguery—least of all the top military circles who have been pressing for legislation which would regiment every adult man and woman in the nation from 18 to 65 under the control of the Military General Staff. That has been their program for years and the Roosevelt proposal served to remove all restraint from the brass hats. Writing in *The Progressive*, Frank C. Hanighen, well-known Washington correspondent, relates how the former Wall Street lawyer, Henry L. Stimson, Greenville Clark, Colonel Ochs Adler of the New York Times and others of their ilk conspired to militarize the country. Hanighen reveals that this group "backed the Military Training Camps Association which panicked the nation into adopting the Conscription Bill in the Summer of 1940."

After they had succeeded in this task, they went on to push forward other sections of their program of militarization with the objective of putting all persons between the ages of 18 and 65 under military control. Hanighen asks the question: Where does the

ballyhoo for a labor draft originate? For anyone who follows the capitalist press and listens to the radio the answer is obvious: it originates in Wall Street with the leading role being assigned to the brass hats of the Army and Navy.

Big Business, which controls the press and radio, has turned its facilities over to the brass hats who are using their military rank to push the program of Wall Street. In a news report from Washington (N. Y. Herald-Tribune, Feb. 4) we are informed that, "Admiral Land spoke at an American Legion dinner here, at which General George C. Marshall, Army chief of staff; Robert P. Patterson, Acting Secretary of War; Warren Atherton, American Legion national commander, and Ralph A. Bard, acting Secretary of the Navy, all spoke forcefully for a labor draft. Part of the program was broadcast over the Columbia Broadcasting System."

BRASS HATS TALK

Assembled on this one program were the acting heads of the Army, Navy and Maritime Commission all speaking "forcefully for a labor draft" but not one mousing a mumbling word in support of a single point of their "Commander-in-Chief's" five-point program outside of the forced labor proposal.

The motive given by Roosevelt for his proposal of a labor draft was the need for nothing less than total mobilization of all our resources and manpower in order to shorten the war. The brass hats have seized upon this theme and play it in all keys. In his speech at the American Legion dinner, Admiral Land, who had previously expressed the idea that union organizers should be shot at sunrise, reported a "sharp drop in January ship deliveries." This was caused partly, he said, "by high turnover among shipyard workers, and called for necessary legislation to reverse the trend."

Just a few days before his

(Continued on page 4)

SOLDIERS DEFRAUDED OF THE RIGHT TO VOTE BY CONGRESS

NEW YORK, Feb. 7.—Accepting Roosevelt's challenge to "stand up and be counted" a coalition of Republicans and Democrats steamrollered a "States Rights" soldiers vote bill through the House of Representatives by an overwhelming majority of 328 to 69. Under the bill, the voting qualifications of all servicemen will be determined by the election laws established by the various States. During the debate in congress, officials of the Army and Navy testified that it would be well nigh impossible to so organize the distribution of ballots to the armed forces, under the many different laws in existence in the several States as to permit more than a very few servicemen to vote.

Leading the "States Rights" coalition was the arch-reactionary Democrat John E. Rankin of Mississippi, who, the New York Herald Tribune of Feb. 5, reports, "literally ran the show last night when the House, at the end of an eleven-hour session, adopted his state ballot bill." In a "victory statement" issued after the passage of his bill, Rankin warned the Senate to accept his version of the state ballot bill without any further palaver. Senator Overton, poll-tax Democrat, of Louisiana, is leading the "States Rights" contingent in the Senate. Overton's motion for the Senate to take up the House-adopted Eastland-Rankin bill was narrowly defeated in a tie vote of 42 to 42.

In the meantime, administration supporters have been engaging in a series of parliamentary maneuvers designed to force a

compromise on their so-called Federal voting bill. The administration bill provides that servicemen can cast their ballots in the election regardless of State election laws with the proviso that "election officials in the States would have the final say as to what ballots should be counted." Even this surrender was rejected by the "States Rights" champions as being too "communitistic."

So many restrictions are established by both the "States Rights" bill and the administration sponsored "Federal" bill that, whatever the outcome of the present contest, only an insignificant minority of men and women in the armed services will be given the opportunity to vote in the coming elections. The soldiers vote issue has become a political football where primary consideration is given to machine politics, the democratic rights of the servicemen getting scanty attention from either Democrats or Republicans.

Both the AFL and CIO Executive Committees have gone on record demanding that Congress adopt a bill which would give every soldier the right to vote without restriction in the coming elections. But such demands have been treated with contempt by the so-called "Victory Congress" whose main victories have been thus far scored against the American soldiers and workers. The cynical bureaucrats in the leadership of the unions committed as they are to the support of either the Democratic or Republican parties are completely incapable of conducting a strug-



gle for the democratic rights of the men and women in the armed forces.

The preservation of the labor movement demands that the militants in the unions take the initiative in organizing an Independent Labor Party that will fight for the democratic rights of the service men.

Army Jim Crow

The following notice was recently posted by the commanding officer on the bulletin board of Company A, 138th Tank Destroyer Training Battalion at North Camp Hood, Texas:

"In the near future colored troops will be quartered in the RTC area. All men are cautioned to treat them with respect, but not to cultivate friendship with them. For the best interests of everyone, stay away from them."

The order concluded: "Ya'll remembah, Sirs, dis is de Souf."

Yes, and a lot of Negro and white workers in uniform will remember that this has been called a war for "democracy."

TRADE UNION NOTES

By Joseph Keller

CIO President Philip Murray in a recent speech at Columbus, Ohio, called for a guaranteed annual wage for workers to ensure security against the plans of Big Business to bring "the old apple man back on the street corners."

Warning of the threatened future of mass unemployment, Murray asked: "Can't we do better than the old-fashioned system of spreading the work, spreading starvation, spreading discontent, spreading death?"

He pointed to the protection of the employers' profits through provisions of the excess profits tax, which guarantees regular dividends for two years after the war and permits corporations to borrow within 60 per cent of their excess profits taxes already paid in to sustain regular dividend payments.

Murray failed, as usual, to explain how the workers are to obtain an annual security wage. He himself opposes militant union action and genuinely independent working class policies which alone could wrest such concessions from the employers.

6500 workers in four plants of the Chevrolet Gear & Axle Division of General Motors went on strike in Detroit Feb. 2 to protest the arbitrary firing of six union members. The company, which claimed the dismissals were a "disciplinary" lay-off, has been committing repeated provocations against the union, Local 235 of the United Automobile Workers, CIO.

Playing their usual role of "cops" for the anti-labor management, UAW president R. J. Thomas and vice-president Walter Reuther ordered the strikers back to work, threatening that UAW officials "will not protect any workers who are penalized as a result of the continuance of the unauthorized action."

Increasing provocations against union men in the Ford Motor Co. plants have resulted in a series of strikes in various sections of the country.

5600 UAW-CIO members struck the Highland Park, Detroit, plant recently. They walked out as a result of accumulated grievances, according to Ben Garrison, Local 400 president, who charged management with "severe discipline for the pettiest of infractions," and challenged the company officials to submit to a "lie detector test" after they claimed, the strike was called "without a semblance of justification."

At Edgewater, N. J., 2,000 UAW-CIO members walked out of the Ford plant on Feb. 5 after the management provocatively promoted two test drivers who had been expelled by the union for acting as "informers" and helping to institute a speedup. This is the second strike within two weeks over the company's re-

fusal to fire its two finks, who are accused by the union of going to management with complaints that their fellow-workers weren't working fast enough to suit them.

An announcement of great significance for Negro and white unionists was made by AFL President William Green last week when he stated that the AFL will sponsor a meeting in Memphis, Tennessee, for A. Philip Randolph, President of the AFL Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters.

Randolph was banned from speaking in Memphis last Nov. 7 by the Jim Crow city officials.

Such a meeting, under official AFL auspices, would strike a strong blow at Jim Crow by helping to cement unity between Negro and white workers. It should serve as an example for strengthening organized labor by uniting workers of all colors and races against their common foe, the labor-hating bosses.

Green could do even more in this regard by fighting the Jim-Crow restrictions in several of the AFL internationals, which have been a scandal in the labor movement for many years.

The Knitgoods Workers Voice, official organ of Knitgoods Workers Union, Local 155, AFL International Ladies Garment Workers, included in its Feb. 1 announcement of union nominations and elections the following:

"All knitgoods workers in the armed forces, who have taken military withdrawals, will have the opportunity to vote, and choose the Union officers. Ballots will be mailed to them as soon as the procedure is worked out by the Election & Objection Committee in conjunction with all sides in the election. While the Union is thus extending to the servicemen the vote in Union elections it is fighting for the right of the ten million soldiers to participate in the Federal elections."

The announcement concludes: "Democracy begins at home!"

Last week the Stalinist-controlled CIO Fur and Leather Workers union, which is run by the notorious CP hatch-man Ben Gold, instituted a suit against a rival AFL union in Boston. The suit, which grew out of a jurisdictional strike by the AFL union, is based upon the legal premise that the AFL violated the infamous Smith-Connally anti-strike law!

The anti-labor N. Y. World-Telegram was quick to pick this up and use it as an editorial argument on Feb. 2 to justify the anti-strike law. If one union can try to protect its interests against another union through this law, argued the World-Telegram, why isn't it OK for the bosses to use against the workers? That's the kind of ammunition the Stalinists supply the reactionaries.



The following letter from our Philadelphia agent shows an intensification of literature activity in that area:

"Enclosed is \$1 covering attached sub. Please increase our Militant bundle by 125 copies for a temporary try.

"Here is one for the books. At a recent meeting of the shipyard local, some Communist Party functionary and cohorts, fearful that The Militant would not only contaminate shipyard workers but also members of the CP branch which meets in the same building as the union, tried to chase our girls away and threatened to call the cops.

"Union members witnessing this attempted terrorization spoke up warmly in defense of The Militant distributors, and in turn began to threaten hostile action against the CPers who then thought discretion the better part of valor and skulked away. All the while, our distribution went on—unionists and CP members taking them."

A report from our Minneapolis agent gives us a good picture of the Communist Party in the Twin City area following its dissolution:

"We mailed the issue of The Militant carrying the story on the dissolution of the CP to about 85 or 90 Stalinists and fellow-travelers in Minneapolis. We thought this would be the best method of reaching them because we did not know of any concentrated Stalinist gathering to make a distribution. But one of our comrades, when buying a copy of the Daily Worker containing

Wall Street's Long-Term Plan To Regiment American Workers

By Miriam Carter

As the anti-labor drive increases in tempo and intensity, government spokesmen and Big Business representatives closely associated with them are coming forward with long range plans to regiment and militarize the American people.

Soon after President Roosevelt made public his demand for a forced labor act, his Secretary of the Navy Frank Knox in a speech on Jan. 15 before

the Cleveland Boy Scout Council called for permanent peacetime conscription. "Peace, of any worthwhile duration," Knox said, "may only be expected if we are prepared to put force behind it. The wisdom of the maintenance of an adequate navy, an adequate air force and an adequate army, is now widely recognized. Furthermore, the country will find itself at the conclusion of hostilities, with five or six million men abroad, consumed with anxiety to get home. And yet the retention of many of them, because of the unsettled nature of the world when hostilities cease, will be paramount."

Knox proposes, in fact, a law requiring all young men between 17 and 19 years of age to take at least one year of military training.

Big BUSINESS PLAN

While Secretary of War Knox puts forward the program of permanent military conscription, Charles E. Wilson, former head of General Electric and now Vice-Chairman of the War Production Board, projects a Big Business program for a permanent war economy. At a dinner of the Army Ordnance Association in the Waldorf-Astoria Hotel in New

York, Wilson presented "a full-fledged program of post-war preparedness with American resources and energy geared to be thrown into war economy at a moment's notice." (N. Y. Times, Jan. 20). Wilson, one of Roosevelt's friends and advisors, also endorsed the proposal for permanent conscription and called for a close association of Army, Navy and industry, "unhindered by political witchhunts or thrown to the fanatical isolationist fringe tagged with a 'merchants-of-death' label."

Propounding the idea that "war has been inevitable in our human affairs," Wilson declared that the United States must be prepared from now on "to wage war victoriously at a moment's notice."

In a revealing series of articles on "Post War Policies" in the N. Y. Times, Hanson Baldwin disclosed that the War, Navy and State departments have special divisions at work elaborating plans for a world police force and for permanent conscription. "The United States is preparing to assume some of the obligations of international policing after the war," Baldwin begins. To implement this policing, he writes, "the nation's permanent post-war military forces may number one to two million uniformed men." (N. Y. Times, Jan. 9.)

"Permanent peacetime selective service is a basis for most of the Army's post-war military planning," he continued. "The President is reported in favor of peacetime military training. . . Congress, therefore — now or after the presidential elections — may thoroughly discuss all the measures suggested." (N. Y. Times, Jan. 21.)

RUSH LEGISLATION

Baldwin admits that the brass hats and big industrialists are anxious to push through such legislation immediately before the people can organize effective mass opposition to it. "Immediately following this war there will be a great slump in the interest of the people. . . in military affairs. . . In other words, if we are to have a planned military program — one capable of implementing our foreign policy — it must be at least started now. . ." (N. Y. Times, Jan. 22.)

Knox, Wilson and Baldwin want permanent peacetime conscription and propose to keep a large part of the present armed forces in service for years after Germany's defeat in order, they say, to be ready for another inevitable war. Against whom are the masters of America planning to wage such a war? There is of course the Soviet Union. Above all, there are the revolutionary masses in Europe and Asia.

Baldwin makes no secret of the intention to throttle the coming popular revolutions. The main problem, he says, is to find "the quickest and most practical way of utilizing the military force of

Britain and the United States to prevent anarchy in certain war-torn areas after the war," a plan which would "have its maximum value in the unsettled period immediately following the close of hostilities." — (N. Y. Times, Jan. 18.)

REACTIONARY AIMS

The unholy alliance of the administration, brass hats and Big Business wants to institute permanent militarization in this country not only for the purpose of suppressing the masses abroad, but also to regiment the people and beat down the labor movement at home.

While the pro-war liberals and trade union bureaucrats spread the illusion that after Hitler's defeat the workers will enjoy a return to their pre-war liberties, the American ruling class is scheming to saddle the American people with a Prussianized regime.

Big Business anticipates a terrible economic crisis which will impel the workers to bitter class opposition to capitalism. The present drive to emasculate organized labor under the pretext of war-time necessity is paving the way for attempts to destroy the labor movement altogether. Permanent militarization and peacetime conscription are essential to that aim.

Organized labor must be on guard and fight all these proposals which represent a dangerous trend toward military dictatorship and provide fertile ground for the development of American fascism.

STALIN'S FINKS FAVOR SLAVE LABOR PROPOSAL

The nakedly anti-labor role of Stalin's American agents has been highlighted by the Communist Party's endorsement of Roosevelt's proposed labor conscription act and the unsuccessful efforts of Stalinist union stooges like Harry Bridges and Joseph Curran to reverse the CIO's opposition to such slave labor legislation.

The full extent of their treachery in this instance, and its dangerous consequences for American labor, is now coming to light. The Stalinists, of course, are trying to bury in silence or misrepresent the events which ensued within the CIO after Bridges, Curran and the rest of their breed violated the national CIO convention decisions and wheeled into line behind Browder's endorsement of the forced labor plan.

USES STALINISTS

A detailed account of these events is contained in the Feb. 5 New Leader, which has sources of information close to the top CIO officials. The New Leader reports that after his Congressional message, Roosevelt summoned CIO President Philip Murray and AFL President William Green for a White House conference intended to gain their support for his labor draft scheme. After Roosevelt had admitted he did not expect to get through Congress the other points of his program and would sign a National Service Act or a new Smith anti-strike act in spite of this, Murray stated he would continue his opposition to labor conscription. The New Leader discloses:

"Roosevelt's reply was to tell Murray scornfully that he could not speak for the CIO on that view. . . and in proof showed Murray a telegram from Harry Bridges endorsing a labor conscription act."

This report reveals how Roosevelt is now able to use the Stalinists as a "labor" prop for his most anti-labor policies. Roosevelt's disclosure about the Stalinists alarmed even Murray, a Roosevelt labor lieutenant who has himself not hesitated to embrace Stalinist support for his servile policies. The CIO executive board immediately convened in special session at Washington and unconditionally reaf-

firmed the previous stand of the CIO convention against labor conscription. The Stalinists, Bridges, Curran, Quill, Emspak and Merrill, were compelled to vote for this decision and crawled out of the meeting with the alibi that the press had "misinterpreted" their endorsement of forced labor.

DISCREDIT CIO

The Stalinist fink policies have not merely enabled Roosevelt and the corporations to claim "labor support" for their most anti-labor acts. These policies have cast discredit upon the entire CIO.

The reactionary craft leaders of the AFL have lost no time in seizing upon recent Stalinist actions in order to scandalize the industrial union movement and fortify their opposition to unity with the CIO with a "progressive" motivation.

Although William Green and his bureaucratic colleagues have blocked labor unity entirely from narrow, selfish craft interests, they now point sanctimoniously to the reactionary role of the Stalinists in the CIO and proclaim that until the CIO "delouses" itself of those who supported the labor-draft "there is little hope of a united labor movement."

Such is the line stated in the AFL Weekly News Service, Feb. 1, which relates how Green and Murray "did not hesitate to denounce the draft-labor proposal . . . and presented a united front on this issue"; but then "the Communist lie of the CIO spoke up," to commit "one of the most despicable betrayals in the staggering record of treachery perpetrated by the Communist Party in America."

The Stalinists have not abandoned efforts to sabotage resistance to Roosevelt's forced labor scheme. Thus, Joseph Curran, The Pilot, National Maritime Union paper, prints a three column report of the CIO Executive Board meeting which was chiefly devoted to a reaffirmation of the CIO stand against labor conscription without so much as mentioning either Roosevelt's forced labor plan or the CIO stand against it. Instead, the meeting is described as a veritable love-feast celebrating Roosevelt's Congressional message.

Fund Campaign Is 99% Fulfilled

By Rose Karsner, Director

ONLY ONE MORE WEEK TO GO! Next issue of the Scoreboard will be the last to appear in print. Thanks to those branches which oversubscribed their quotas, the total income will exceed 100 per cent. Quite a showing for a "tiny sect," as the pinks and ex-radicals choose to call us. This record is all the more remarkable when we consider that the comrades kept up their regular monthly Sustaining Fund — the backbone of the party's financial structure.

The comrades in New Haven recognized that it was not a matter of duty to give to the Fifteenth Anniversary Fund. They felt that it was a high privilege to help build the combat party. So they went out among their sympathizers, friends and near friends, and offered this privilege to them too. That's how the other branches did it. That's how the other branches did it.

Further testimony of this selfless spirit is embodied in a letter from Leona, who says: "Enclosed find a money order which is Allen-town's way of expressing solidarity with the 18 and the Fourth International. Five dollars of this comes from Walter who received it from an out of town, 'Joe'. . . \$10 represents the money we would have used (out of our own pockets, of course) to have come to the New York Farewell Dinner. But even though we wanted to be with our own 18 I expect the cash will express what we wanted to say to them before they were taken from our midst."

This sentiment was expressed in numerous other letters — too many to print in this space. We quote only a few brief ones.

Cleveland, Baker: "Am enclosing money order to complete our quota. More later if possible."

Chicago, Belle: "We are a little slow, but we will complete our quota."

Flint, Thorne: "Here is \$15 additional for the special Fund. There will most likely be another \$10 in a week or two. Special circumstances delayed payment of the pledges."

Dayton, Brown: "I am enclosing \$20 with this letter for the Anniversary Fund. \$5 of this is a contribution from 'A Friend' here. I am happy to see the Campaign progressing so well."

New Haven, Winnick: "The enclosed \$60 goes toward the \$15,000 Fund, and is most likely our final contribution." A 300% showing is good enough!

IN DEFENSE OF SOCIALISM

by Albert Goldman

READ

THE COURT RECORD

of Attorney and defendant Albert Goldman's final speech for the defense in the famous Minneapolis labor trial.

95 pages

10 cents

NOW ON THE PRESS

2nd AND ENLARGED EDITION

SOCIALISM ON TRIAL

by James P. Cannon

112 pages

10 cents

PIONEER PUBLISHERS

116 University Place, New York 3, N. Y.

SCOREBOARD

	Quota	Paid	Percent
New Haven	50.00	150.00	300
San Francisco	1,000.00	1,450.00	145
Milwaukee	25.00	35.00	140
Allentown	25.00	35.00	140
Quakertown	25.00	32.00	128
Boston	300.00	349.00	116
Flint	100.00	115.00	115
Detroit	2,000.00	2,185.50	109
Los Angeles	2,000.00	2,137.16	107
New York	2,500.00	2,665.00	107
Buffalo	300.00	307.50	103
San Diego	100.00	100.00	100
Twin Cities	1,000.00	1,000.00	100
Toledo	100.00	100.00	100
Cleveland	200.00	200.00	100
Newark	300.00	300.00	100
Reading	75.00	75.00	100
Akron	200.00	200.00	100
Chicago	2,000.00	1,703.38	85
Members-at-large and friends	800.00	672.75	84
Seattle	1,000.00	770.00	77
Youngstown	200.00	135.00	68
St. Louis	100.00	50.00	50
Bayonne	300.00	122.00	41
East Chicago	100.00	35.00	35
Philadelphia	150.00	24.50	16
Rochester	50.00	0	0
TOTALS	\$15,000.00	14,948.79	99%

FOURTH INTERNATIONAL

Table of Contents

For February 1944

THE MONTH IN REVIEW Editorial Comment
Dissolution of the American Communist Party
Roosevelt's Open Turn to Reaction
THE FIRST DAYS OF AMERICAN COMMUNISM by James P. Cannon
HOW THE TROTSKYISTS WENT TO JAIL . . . by J. Hansen
JAPAN FACES THE ABYSS by Li Fu-Jen
LEON SEDOV by John G. Wright
NEW TRENDS IN NATIONALIST THOUGHT THE EUROPEAN PROBLEMS Article by J. B. Stuart
FROM THE ARSENAL OF MARXISM
Military Doctrine or Pseudo-Military Doctrinairism by Leon Trotsky

20c a copy - \$2 for a yearly subscription

Order from Business Manager

116 University Place, New York 3, N. Y.

SUNDAY NIGHT FORUM

Topic

"WARTIME CRIMES OF BIG BUSINESS"

Speaker

ART PREIS

Sunday, February 13, 1944, at 8:00 P. M.

Auspices: New York School of Social Science
116 UNIVERSITY PLACE (Cor. 13th Street)
NEW YORK CITY

Lenin Memorial Meeting in Boston

BOSTON, Mass. — The Boston Branch of the Socialist Workers Party commemorated the twentieth anniversary of Lenin's death with a public meeting held on Jan. 29 at Workers Center. Members and sympathizers heard the main speaker of the evening, comrade Crabbe, analyze the contrast between the policies of Lenin and those of the Stalinists who fraudulently claim to be his followers. The liquidation of the American Communist Party was discussed in detail as further evidence of its complete renunciation of Leninism.

The role of the Trotskyists as inheritors of the mantle of Leninism was stressed in the introductory speech by comrade Wise, who described the activities of the 18 Trotskyist leaders imprisoned for their adherence to revolutionary principles. The meeting closed with the taking of a collection which gave concrete evidence of the determination of the audience to support the Socialist Workers Party in its fight for Lenin's ideas.



by C. Charles



Order from

PIONEER PUBLISHERS

116 UNIVERSITY PLACE
NEW YORK 3, N. Y.

THE END OF THE COMINTERN

By James P. Cannon

with

The Manifesto of the Fourth International

36 PAGES

10 CENTS

PIONEER PUBLISHERS

116 University Place

New York 3, N. Y.

SUBSCRIBE NOW TO

The Militant

WEEKLY

Clip and mail this coupon

THE MILITANT PUBLISHING ASSOCIATION
116 University Place, New York 3, N. Y.

I enclose ☐ \$1.00 for a 6 month subscription to The Militant
☐ \$2.00 for a year's subscription to The Militant.

Name

Address

City State

The Negro Struggle

By David Ransom

Poll-Tax and the Soldier Vote

Aided by their Republican allies, the Southern Bourbons are ready to deprive 11 million soldiers and sailors of their democratic right to vote. They make no secret of their reason. Asked to make a statement on the soldier vote bill, Senator Overton, Democrat from Louisiana, said, "Let's be frank. Down in the Solid South we've got to retain our state voting qualifications to preserve white supremacy."

They are bitterly opposed to giving thousands of Negro service men and women from the seven poll-tax states the right to vote. Any kind of soldier vote bill that permitted such an action would deal a blow to the poll-tax system as a whole.

The Southern bloc has already won an important victory whether Congress adopts the Eastland "States Rights" bill or the revised Green-Lucas "Federal" vote bill. In both bills the state election authorities have the final say as to which ballots are to be counted. In either case the poll-tax states will be able to throw out the votes of Negroes in the armed forces.

It is easy enough to understand why the Southern politicians are ready to go to such great lengths in order to preserve the poll-tax system. It is a powerful means of maintaining their reactionary rule over the South. By means of the poll-tax and the white primary, the Southern capitalists exercise as great a monopoly over the political life of the South as they do over its factories and fields. 10 million people, 90 percent of the population of the South, are denied the right to vote.

The payment of a two dollar head or poll-tax in order to vote is an economic penalty which the impoverished Negro or white Southerner can ill afford. In 1929, a year of prosperity, the per capita income of Alabama was \$292. And even when the tax is paid, legal fraud and trickery are resorted to by the corrupt election officials to prevent unwelcome Negro or white sharecroppers from voting.

The poll-tax laws were originally enacted to deprive Negroes of the right to vote. But today the poll-tax system helps the Southern oligarchy oppress not only the Negro but the white masses as well. Of the ten million adults who are deprived of the right to vote, 6 million are white.

Illiteracy and poverty are the lot of the Southern masses under the poll-tax system. But it has helped the absentee Wall street owners of Southern industry and the native landlords to maintain conditions of exploitation that bring enormous profits.

The evils that flow from the poll-tax system are not confined to the South. By virtue of their monopoly of political power, the Ranks and the Dies' keep re-electing themselves to Congress year in and year out. Because of seniority, these Southern Congressmen dominate the most important Congressional committees. Today 17 out of the 74 committees in the House of Representatives and 10 out of the 33 committees in the Senate are headed by poll-tax Congressmen.

PIONEER PARAGRAPHS

WHY TROTSKYISTS ADVOCATE A WORKERS MILITARY POLICY

We have put forth the idea of military training under trade union control. As Mr. Cannon testified, a training camp was operated in Plattsburg, New York, for the purpose of training businessmen and professional men as officers, and the government furnished the necessary funds. Why not have training camps where the trade unions could train their men both as soldiers and as officers?

In our opinion the great majority of generals and higher officers in the army are hostile to the laboring class. The higher officers are raised and trained in an environment which makes them hostile to the workers. They are not interested in democracy or in fighting for democracy. Have not the events in France confirmed our opinion in that respect? The American and British generals are not any different from the French generals. Who surrendered to Germany? Not the rank and file, but Petain and Weygand and the other generals in command of the French army. Who permitted the Germans to enter Norway? Not the rank and file soldiers, but the fascists in the

Responsible to no one but themselves and the corrupt Democratic machine, these poll-tax politicians faithfully carry out the bidding of Big Business. Naturally they have supported the administration's reactionary war program. They have sponsored the anti-labor laws to cripple the trade unions. They have rammed through the plans of Big Business to make the masses pay for the war.

Two reactionary laws that threaten the democratic rights of the masses and the very existence of the trade unions were sponsored by Howard K. Smith, a poll-tax congressman. Smith fathered the "Gag Act" which endangers the right of free speech and shared in sponsoring the Smith-Connolly Act which denies workers the right to strike.

In payment for the faithful services of the Southern Bourbons, the ruling class exerts every effort through the government and the two major parties to support the Jim Crow system. While the government enforces segregation in the armed forces, it does nothing effective to prevent discrimination in industry. It refuses to seriously enforce the civil rights of Negroes in the South.

Roosevelt pretends to be shocked because the coalition of Republicans and Democratic poll-taxers are ready to sacrifice the right of the soldiers to vote in order to maintain the poll-tax. But Roosevelt, like Hoover, Harding, and Wilson before him, has done nothing to destroy the power of the poll-taxers, and indeed, leans upon them for political support and chooses their leaders, like Byrnes and Vinson, for his chief aides and advisors.

If Roosevelt were interested in democracy he might enforce the 14th amendment which says that the number of representatives seated in Congress shall be in proportion to the number of people who vote. If this part of the constitution had been enforced last year, it would have reduced the number of poll-tax congressmen from 78 to 13.

Nor has Roosevelt spoken out vigorously in public or in Congress in support of an anti-poll tax bill. At the present time the anti-poll tax bill is being killed by a silent filibuster in the Senate. It was supposed to have been brought up for action the third week in January. Then it was postponed to the middle of February. Now they are handing out word that other measures must come before it. When Roosevelt delivered his recent message to Congress, he carefully refrained from including an anti-poll-tax bill as part of his hypocritical "Bill of Rights" program.

The enemies of the Negro people have shown themselves to be the most bitter opponents of the trade unions and of the democratic rights of servicemen. The labor movement therefore has the most vital interest in abolishing the poll-tax and every other aspect of the Jim-Crow system. It can conduct a fight to the finish against that system only by breaking with the Democratic and Republican parties which uphold it and building its own independent labor party.

upper ranks. We say plainly that we do not trust the generals and higher officers to fight for democracy.

Because of that we propose that the trade unions train their own officers — officers in whom the workers can have confidence and whom they can control. And you must remember, when you consider this point, that the trade unions are not under the control of the Socialist Workers Party, but under the control of men who are, from our viewpoint, very conservative, and even reactionary. Still, rather than have officers trained at West Point, we prefer to have them trained under trade union control because the trade unions are organizations of workers. Furthermore, you must remember that our program of military training under trade union control is a legislative program. We want Congress to pass legislation making such training possible by appropriating funds for that purpose.

Of course, as with all other activities and policies of the Socialist Workers Party, our idea of military training under trade union control is evidence, as far

How Trotsky Taught Labor To Fight Against Hitlerism

The German working class

was the mightiest and best organized in Europe outside the Soviet Union when the 1929 world economic crisis erupted and the swift rise of Hitler began. Between the parties of the Second (Socialist) and Third (Communist) Internationals, the anti-capitalist workers of Germany numbered over 16,000,000. United against Hitler, these two parties would have represented a force which could have crushed Nazism like an egg shell.

But the policies of the leaders of these parties prevented such a united struggle. The reformist Socialist leaders preferred to entrust the fight against Hitler to the democratic capitalists, throwing all support to them on the theory of choosing the "lesser evil." The Stalinized Communist Party closed the road to unity with its theory of "social fascism." It proclaimed that the Socialists and the Nazis "are not antipodes, but twins," and, indeed, of the two, the Stalinists taught, the Socialists were the "main enemy."

TROTSKY'S WARNINGS

The Trotskyists alone sounded the real alarm signal when Hitler in September 1930 polled his first large vote, 6,401,000. Against the light-minded claims of the leaders of the Socialist and Communist parties, who belittled the significance of Hitler's gains and dismissed the German workers with false assurances, Leon Trotsky from his exile in Prinkipo, Turkey, hurled the warning:

"The gigantic growth of national-socialism is an expression of two factors: a deep social crisis throwing the petty bourgeois masses off balance, and the lack of a revolutionary party that would be regarded by the masses as an acknowledged revolutionary leader. If the Communist Party is the party of revolutionary hope, then Fascism, as a mass movement, is the party of counter-revolutionary despair. . . . Fascism in Germany has become a real danger. . . . Whoever denies this is either blind or a braggart." (The Turn in the German Situation—September 1930.)

With each passing month, the agitation of the Trotskyists proclaimed more urgently the life-and-death necessity for the workers united front in Germany and war to the death against Nazism. In his analyses of the real role and danger of Hitlerism,

Trotsky warned that a victorious Hitler would become the very spearhead of the world imperialist attempt to destroy the Soviet Union.

WAR AGAINST USSR

On Nov. 26, 1931, Trotsky predicted in "Germany, The Key to the International Situation":

"A victory of fascism in Germany would signify the inevitable war against the USSR. . . . Once Hitler comes to power and proceeds to crush the vanguard of the German workers, pulverizing and demoralizing the whole proletariat for many years to come, the fascist government alone will be the only government capable of waging war against the USSR. . . . In case he is victorious, Hitler will become the Super-Wrangler of the world bourgeoisie."

On Dec. 8, 1931, Trotsky addressed the vanguard of the German workers:

"Worker-Communists, you are hundreds of thousands, millions; you cannot leave for any place; there are not enough passports for you. Should fascism come to power, it will ride over your

skulls and spines like a terrific tank. Your salvation lies in merciless struggle. And only a fighting unity with the social democratic workers can bring victory. Make haste, worker-Communists, you have very little time left!"

The leaders of the Socialist and Communist parties hooted at Trotsky, and tried to drown his warnings and appeals in a blast of slander. Remmele, one of the three top leaders of the Communist Party, boasted in the Reichstag, "We are not afraid of the fascist gentlemen. They will shoot their bolt quicker than any other government." Heilmann, a leading Socialist spokesman, could proclaim as late as January 1933, "The time when fascism was a deadly danger for the proletariat and freedom has passed away."

On Jan. 30, 1933, Hindenburg, whom the Socialist leaders had supported as the "lesser evil," turned the power over to Hitler. Misled and disoriented, abandoned by their leaders the German workers were crushed and butchered, their organizations atomized.

To the very end, the Trotsky-

White-Collar Workers Hit By Skyrocketing Cost Of Living

(Continued from page 1)

John Alessi, a member of the CIO State, County and Municipal Workers, who lives in Brooklyn, N. Y., told of his attempt to support himself, his wife and three children on a weekly "take-home" of \$31.51.

DIET INADEQUATE

Alessi's rent is \$38 a month; to reduce it by \$8, his wife takes care of the apartment furnace, although she is burdened by ill health. The bulk of their income, as in all workers' families, goes for food; yet the family's diet is so inadequate that, Alessi declared, it leaves him "so weak he can hardly keep on working and he gets sick easy." He added, in explanation, that "even substitute cheese costs 75c a pound."

Alessi's experience indicates that the growing number of "substitute" foods consumed by workers has just begun to show its effects. Nutritional deficiencies in their meals, despite the great proportion of income spent for food, is not exceptional.

Figures from the Department of Agriculture, cited by the CIO report, show that total civilian consumption in terms of pounds, calories, and other measures of nutritive values has declined 3% between 1940 and 1943 — while Department of Commerce figures prove an increased expenditure for food, from \$15,000,000,000 to \$26,000,000,000, or 7%, during the same period. The CIO estimate

as the prosecution is concerned, of a conspiracy to overthrow the government by force. No matter what we do, it is taken by the government as evidence of this conspiracy. If we opposed military training, that would constitute evidence of conspiracy; when we are for military training, that is brought in as evidence of a conspiracy!

(From "In Defense of Socialism," by Albert Goldman, pages 56-57, published by Pioneer Publishers, 1942, 96 pages, 10c. Order from Pioneer Publishers, 116 University Place, N. Y. 3, N. Y.)

of a 74.2% increase in the cost of food is based on a combination of these statistics. Every housewife knows that this figure is far more accurate than the 40.2% estimated by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

WHAT TO DO

White collar workers have suffered even more than many other sections of the labor movement from the uncontrolled rise in prices. The capitalist press has been shedding copious crocodile tears over their plight in order to drive a wedge between salaried workers whose income has remained at 1941 levels, and the organized labor movement which has here and there secured a few slight wage increases. The hypocrisy of this move is so transparent it has fooled no one, least of all the

white collar workers themselves. Murray's appeals to a reactionary congress to "do something" will not solve the problem, however. This is a task that the labor movement itself must accomplish by organizing the white collar workers and fighting with them to shatter Roosevelt's wage-freeze.

The Toledo CIO has already taken a step in that direction. On January 28, one day before the senate hearing, the Toledo Union Journal announced that plans had been made by the Toledo Industrial Union Council to organize the office workers in downtown Toledo. A request was sent to the Union of Office and Professional Workers to send an organizer into Toledo, or to authorize the CIO Council to employ one.

MESA Calls Off Strike Of 20,000 In Mid-West

TOLEDO, Ohio, Feb. 7.—Word has been received here that the National Administrative Council of the Mechanics Educational Society, which is meeting in Cleveland, today called off "temporarily" the MESA strike that has been in progress since Friday at the Willys-Overland and other plants here and in Michigan.

TOLEDO, Ohio, Feb. 6.—More than 20,000 members of the Mechanics Educational Society of America, an independent union of skilled auto workers, are out on strike at 44 plants in Ohio and Michigan. The strike, which is jurisdictional, was precipitated by an NLRB order calling for a collective bargaining election at the Willys-Overland plant here after the CIO United Automobile Workers pressed claims for representation of tool room and machine service men who have been under an MESA contract.

The MESA leaders have thus far defied a War Labor Board order commanding them to "call off the strike under threat of

drastic penalties against the union. Matthew Smith, MESA national secretary, has also defied a subpoena to appear before a WLB hearing tomorrow, stating that he would attend only if compelled "by force." Unlike the CIO leaders, the MESA officials have never adhered to the no-strike pledge.

George White, MESA president, stated that the MESA might be willing to "make a settlement" with the WLB if it issued a directive "freeing for the duration" their representation in the plants under contract.

It is thus clear that the MESA leaders are fighting to preserve their small independent union against the pressure of the CIO auto workers union, which has over 1,000,000 members in the industry and represents the overwhelming majority of the auto workers throughout the nation.

The strike began in the tool room of the Willys plant here and immediately spread to two score plants throughout this state and Michigan in what the MESA leaders called a "general strike."

Pages from Our Fight Against Nazism



Reproduced above are headlines from THE MILITANT of 1933, when Hitler conquered power, warning the workers of the mortal dangers of Nazism to their organizations and to the Soviet Union, and calling upon Socialist, Communist and non-party workers to unite in a war to the death against Hitlerism.

Trotsky warned that a victorious Hitler would become the very spearhead of the world imperialist attempt to destroy the Soviet Union.

WAR AGAINST USSR

On Nov. 26, 1931, Trotsky predicted in "Germany, The Key to the International Situation":

"A victory of fascism in Germany would signify the inevitable war against the USSR. . . . Once Hitler comes to power and proceeds to crush the vanguard of the German workers, pulverizing and demoralizing the whole proletariat for many years to come, the fascist government alone will be the only government capable of waging war against the USSR. . . . In case he is victorious, Hitler will become the Super-Wrangler of the world bourgeoisie."

On Dec. 8, 1931, Trotsky addressed the vanguard of the German workers:

"Worker-Communists, you are hundreds of thousands, millions; you cannot leave for any place; there are not enough passports for you. Should fascism come to power, it will ride over your

skulls and spines like a terrific tank. Your salvation lies in merciless struggle. And only a fighting unity with the social democratic workers can bring victory. Make haste, worker-Communists, you have very little time left!"

The leaders of the Socialist and Communist parties hooted at Trotsky, and tried to drown his warnings and appeals in a blast of slander. Remmele, one of the three top leaders of the Communist Party, boasted in the Reichstag, "We are not afraid of the fascist gentlemen. They will shoot their bolt quicker than any other government." Heilmann, a leading Socialist spokesman, could proclaim as late as January 1933, "The time when fascism was a deadly danger for the proletariat and freedom has passed away."

On Jan. 30, 1933, Hindenburg, whom the Socialist leaders had supported as the "lesser evil," turned the power over to Hitler. Misled and disoriented, abandoned by their leaders the German workers were crushed and butchered, their organizations atomized.

To the very end, the Trotsky-

ists tried to save the situation. Even in the hour of Hitler's assumption of power, as the Militant of the spring of 1933 records, the Trotskyists tried to rally the German workers, establish the last-minute united front. Their summons was not heeded.

The eleven years of Hitler's rule which led to the most terrible war in history and the Nazi assault upon the USSR have tested and confirmed the truth of Trotsky's predictions and his program. That program holds with full force today. The menace of fascism can be destroyed only by the methods Trotsky advocated from the first, the unity of the working class in struggle against capitalism and its fascist gangs and for the establishment of socialism.

Progressives Urge Pardon for the 18

(Continued from page 1)

popular minority. If not protested, it may then be spread to the majority. Therefore I would like to add my personal protest against the refusal of the Supreme Court of the U. S. to hear a case where the elements of freedom of speech and thought are involved."

Raymond Pace Alexander, well-known Negro attorney in Philadelphia, wrote: "This is one of the unusual instances of a very dangerous and a damning infringement upon the basic and fundamental rights of free speech; of rights of free people to live free, to think free and to speak free that has ever emanated from our Supreme Court. . . . We must carry on the fight and I am certain that your appeal for a presidential pardon, which I trust will have the support of all people of all races, classes and groups, will bear proper fruit and result in the freeing of these 18 men and women."

Life Magazine of Feb. 7 published letters from Evelyn Anderson of the Civil Rights Defense Committee, and from Charles R. Walker, author of "American City," protesting its coupling of the 18 Minneapolis labor prisoners with the thirty recently indicted native fascists as "a disservice to civil liberties." "These men I know have some of the oldest records of anti-fascist activity in the United States," wrote Mr. Walker. Evelyn Anderson pointed out that these labor and socialist leaders "were originally indicted because. . . they had organized a Union Defense Guard to defend the local union against threatened attacks by Silver Shirts in Minneapolis."

The CRDC announced that thirty thousand copies of a new leaflet on the case of the 18 have just come off the press and are available for distribution. Copies of the leaflets as well as pardon petition blanks can be obtained from the Civil Rights Defense Committee, 160 Fifth Ave., N. Y. 10, N. Y.

Contributions for the CRDC campaign to free the 18 should be sent to the same address. Among the week's contributors to the Minneapolis Prisoners Pardon and Relief Fund is Brewers Union Local No. 4, of Buffalo, N. Y.

READ
'THE FOURTH
INTERNATIONAL'

New Reaction Sponsored By Argentine Landowners

By A. Roland

Every one of the South American countries is cursed with the problem of feudal landlordism. The Mexican Revolution, led by the liberal bourgeoisie, managed after tremendous struggles and sacrifices on the part of the masses, to bring about a minor division of some of its big estates among the peasants. Argentina has experienced no such revolution as yet. The land is held in such vast estates that some of them resemble separate duchies. Two thousand families own all the land of Argentina which is one third the area of the United States. In the Province of Buenos Aires there are fifty families owning ranches each one of which is over 75,000 acres in extent.

It is these producers of livestock grouped together in the Sociedad Rural Argentina, who form the aristocracy of the land of the pampas. They have ruled the country with an iron hand through the Conservative Party, today called the National Democratic Party. Opposition to their dictatorship came only after the first World War. There always existed antagonism between the cattle and wheat raisers of the interior and the commercial classes in the port town of Buenos Aires.

THE LAND BARONS

The struggle for control of the national policies has become far more intense since the growth of industrialization. The land barons have always bitterly opposed all attempts to build up industry. They feared the loss of their foreign markets. Their view was that Argentina could sell her basic materials abroad only if she could buy manufactures in return. Otherwise her European customers would turn elsewhere.

But urbanization and industrialization were assisted by a number of circumstances. The first World War shut off all manufactures for a time and encouraged the rise of native industries producing for home consumption. Some of these plants continued in the post-war period. The world economic crisis brought about tremendous trade difficulties. The depreciation of currencies in the hard-hit semi-colonies forced the complete control of exchange. Imports were under restriction from within, and foreign exporters refused to send goods that could not be paid for. This encouraged anew the growth of home industry.

In addition it brought about the construction of branch factories of the big foreign companies that did not want to lose the Argentine market. The big packing monopolists had already built their tremendous frigorificos or packing plants—the Swifts, Armours, Wilsons and the English Anglo Company. Now Ford, General Motors, General Electric found it expedient to set up plants. These were not mere assembly plants—these had existed previously—but actual manufacturing set-ups.

ARGENTINE INDUSTRY

The immigration of millions of Italian, German, Spanish and other workers to Argentina provided a powerful labor force. There are now 54,000 registered industries (1939 figures) employing more than 800,000 workers. The value of the products produced is over five billion pesos or

one and a quarter billion dollars. Argentine industry now supplies 40% of its own cotton cloth, 60% of its linen, 85% of its own woolen goods, all of its silk. There are even rayon plants. Foreign branch factories exist in the field of technology producing automotive supplies, radios, agricultural machinery, tires, electrical apparatus and drugs. Only the basic iron and coal production is lacking although Argentina has a secondary iron and steel industry based on the importing of iron and steel scrap.

Every nation that has gone through a period of industrialization has been thus reflected in a change in the nature and in the tempo of the class struggle. In Argentina this struggle is complicated, as it is in all semi-colonial countries, by the grip on the nation of foreign monopoly finance capital. Native comprador capitalists are dominated by the foreign imperialists. To defend themselves against imperialism, and to try to gain control of their own home market, the native capitalists in all such countries alike try to play off one imperialism against the other.

FEAR U. S. CAPITAL

In the present war Argentine business men fear nothing so much as that the vast holdings of the British imperialists will be transferred to United States imperialism. Argentina will then be left almost helplessly in the claws of the North American exploiters. British capital owns the railroads and the public utilities of the southern Republic. United States capital controls all means of communication, telephone, telegraph, radio, and also airplane travel in addition to the packing industry.

It is under these conditions that the struggle takes place between the Radical Party which represents the rising but badly handicapped native industrialists, and the National Democratic Party of the big landowners. This struggle appears to be one between the provinces and the great urban center of Buenos Aires. In the provinces the landowners rule outright through local dictators or caudillos. No democracy worthy of the name exists at all under these local dictators. Only in the province of Buenos Aires, concentrating half the population of the country (the City of Buenos Aires has two and a half million people), is there even a semblance of democracy. The people of Buenos Aires are divided in their allegiance between the Radical Party and the Socialists. The masses of the country have up to now supported the Radicals as against the conservative minority.

The reaction that came recently in Argentina in the form of a coup d'etat or palace revolution had its internal cause in the fear of the land barons that a real revolution was in the offing. They fear the setting off of the agrarian revolt in which the great number of peasants and tenant farmers might seize the land. The reactionary landlord class fights to prevent its power from slipping out of its grasp into the hands of the industrial capitalists. Conditions are certainly ripening in the Republic for great social changes. The landowners hope to stave off these social changes by a military dictatorship taking the form of a preventive "fascism."

Court Upholds Jim Crow In Lynn Case Decision

NEW YORK, N. Y., Feb. 4.—The U. S. Circuit Court by a 2-1 decision today upheld the army's Jim Crow segregation policy in filling draft quotas.

In this important test case Winfred William Lynn, a Negro soldier, sought release from the army on the grounds that he was inducted Dec. 19, 1942, on a requisition directing the Jamaica, Queens local board to base its quota on the first 90 white men and the first 50 Negroes in 1A. The order further stated "Separate delivery lists are to be made for white and Negro registrants delivered."

BACKS SEGREGATION

The majority opinion, handed down by Judges Swan and Hand, upheld the army's policy of segregation, based on statutory sanctions established in 1866. They supported the New York City practice of quotas based on racial proportions. No attempt was made to explain how a ratio of 90-50 gives equality to Negroes who comprise approximately 10% of the population.

The dissenting Judge, C. E. Clark, held that separate quotas did violate the Selective Service Act prohibitions against discrimi-

nation because of race or color. Arthur Garfield Hays of the American Civil Liberties Union defended Lynn. The defendant's brother, Conrad Lynn, Jamaica attorney who first pressed the case and undertook the defense, was inducted a few months later.

By appeals to the defendant, to the N.A.A.C.P., and to Conrad Lynn, federal authorities have consistently attempted to quash the case which has attracted so much national attention and support. Forced to an open decision by the determination of the Lynn brothers to make this a thorough test of the statutes, the higher court has given sanction to the clearly illegal discriminatory draft practices of the armed forces.

Buy THE MILITANT
in
SOUTH JAMAICA, N. Y.
from
H. L. ROBERTSON
108-49 New York Blvd.
M. O'GORMAN
110-59 New York Blvd.

THE MILITANT

Published in the interests of the
Working People

VOL. VIII—No. 7 Saturday, Feb. 12, 1944

Published Weekly by

THE MILITANT PUBLISHING ASS'N
at 116 University Place, New York 8, N. Y.

Telephone: ALgonquin 4-8547

FARRELL DOBBS, Editor

THE MILITANT follows the policy of permitting its contributors to present their own views in signed articles. These views therefore do not necessarily represent the policies of THE MILITANT which are expressed in its editorials.

Subscriptions: \$2.00 per year; \$1.00 for 6 months. Foreign: \$3.00 per year, \$1.50 for 6 months. Bundle orders: 3 cents per copy in the United States; 4 cents per copy in all foreign countries. Single copies: 5 cents.

Application for entry as second-class matter is pending.



To defend the USSR as the main fortress of the world proletariat, against all assaults of world imperialism and of internal counter-revolution, is the most important duty of every class-conscious worker.

— LEON TROTSKY

JOIN US IN FIGHTING FOR:

1. Military training of workers, financed by the government, but under control of the trade unions. Special officers' training camps, financed by the government but controlled by the trade unions, to train workers to become officers.
2. Trade union wages for all workers drafted into the army.
3. Full equality for Negroes in the armed forces and the war industries—Down with Jim Crowism everywhere.
4. Confiscation of all war profits. Expropriation of all war industries and their operation under workers' control.
5. A rising scale of wages to meet the rising cost of living.
6. Workers Defense Guards against vigilante and fascist attacks.
7. An Independent Labor Party based on the Trade Unions.
8. A Workers' and Farmers' Government.
9. The defense of the Soviet Union against imperialist attack.

Stalinism And The European Revolution

(Continued from page 1)

purporting to speak for the peoples of Poland, Germany, etc. All these strategems are of course a travesty of democracy, a mockery of the independence of the peoples of Europe and their rights. They constitute merely one more proof that Roosevelt, Churchill and Stalin are not liberators but enslavers.

Stalin's recent action in reorganizing the USSR into 16 "autonomous" republics, with each republic presumably to have its independent commissariats of war and foreign affairs, is part and parcel of his whole policy of fraud, duplicity, deceit and reaction. Stalin has destroyed the autonomous rights of the nationalities of the Soviet Union, first proclaimed and instituted under Lenin, just as he has destroyed the liberties of the Soviet peoples. The new constitutional reorganization of the USSR will no more grant autonomy to the Soviet nationalities than the "Stalinist Constitution" gave democracy to the Soviet people.

Why then the latest constitutional changes? Apparently Stalin among other things is providing himself with a more flexible formal and juridical structure to add eastern Poland, the Baltic states, and other territories on the periphery of the USSR to the structure of the Soviet Union.

At the same time, as demonstrated in his agreement with Benes of Czechoslovakia, Stalin attempts to reconstitute pseudo-democratic capitalist governments within the "sphere of influence" of the USSR. All of Stalin's maneuvers, his tacking, his double-dealing, his spasmodic changes of front, are simply part and parcel of his old bankrupt policy which seeks to preserve the rule of the bureaucracy on the basis of a narrow nationalistic, counter-revolutionary program. The bureaucracy, however, still rests on the state whose economic foundations were laid down by the greatest revolution in history.

Despite the recent agreements concluded at Moscow and Teheran and despite the fact that both the Kremlin bureaucracy and the imperialists are desperately anxious to consummate at the present

juncture a marriage of convenience, every major advance of the Red Army creates a new diplomatic crisis and endangers their common aims to stifle the independent action of the European peoples and to crush the inevitable revolutionary storm. As far as Washington and London are concerned, far more is involved than mere fear of a strong rival state. The capitalists are first and foremost concerned with the property forms in the occupied territories, they are concerned with the preservation of the capitalist system in Europe and they are fearful that any revolutionary uprising may swiftly spread from one country to the next like a prairie fire. They fear that neither Stalin nor they themselves will be able to curb such a revolutionary uprising, or to crush it.

The European peoples have aspirations and aims entirely different and opposed to those of Stalin or the imperialists. The current situation in Poland symbolizes the problems of the masses of all Europe. The Polish workers and peasants who for four years have been living in a hell of starvation, slavery and war, now feel that the hour is approaching when they will be able to throw off the despotic yoke and build again on a new foundation a society which will give them freedom and security.

A strong revolutionary underground movement exists in Poland which since 1939 has never ceased its fight against the Nazi oppressor and for the strengthening and organization of its proletarian ranks. The underground fighters have indeed gone through a long and hard school. As their published declarations make clear, their most advanced elements understand only too well the predatory imperialist aims of England and America. They are likewise aware of the counter-revolutionary role of Stalin and his clique. They know of the oppression of the nationalities and the peoples inside the Soviet Union. They understand that Stalin's Kremlin gang come into Poland not as liberators but as oppressors. They have learned that in the Europe of today the Polish masses can win freedom and security only through the socialist revolution, they can win and preserve national independence only in a voluntary federation of free peoples, the Socialist United States of Europe.

The advanced Polish revolutionists are anti-Stalinist, but they are pro-Soviet. They understand that despite Stalin, the Soviet Union is nevertheless unlike the capitalist world. They understand the great opportunities that are opening up for the Polish people and their struggle because of the victorious advance of the Red Army. They will organize the Polish masses in the spirit of the program that Trotsky laid down in 1939.

"...Partisans of the Fourth International must play the most decisive part in expropriating the landlords and capitalists, in dividing the land among the peasants, in creating soviet and workers' committees, etc. While so doing, they must preserve their political independence. . . and they must conduct revolutionary propaganda in the spirit of distrust toward the Kremlin and its local agencies."

Hitler's Speech

No confident boasts of a "thousand year reign" marked Hitler's address to the German people on Jan. 30, the eleventh anniversary of his accession to power. Hitler voiced the desperation of a regime with its back to the wall, trying to stave off catastrophic military defeat from without and revolt at home.

The supreme danger, he ranted, is Bolshevism. With hysterical insistence Hitler expounded his nightmare vision of annihilation that he claimed Bolshevism would bring not only upon Germany, but on all Europe and the British Empire itself. These ravings reflected the effects of the Red Army victories upon him, his fears of a revolutionary rising of the German workers, his last minute hopes for rescue at the hands of Anglo-American imperialism through a "Badoglio deal," such as temporarily saved the Italian capitalist ruling class from the revolutionary wrath of the Italian masses.

The German masses, he contended, have no choice but to continue the war in order merely to survive. No matter how bad their conditions might become in the continuing bloody debacle of the war, a victory of the Allied powers or the Red Army would be far worse. With no little cunning, he pounded away upon the one argument which he hoped might still deter the German masses from smashing his executioner's regime. He pointed to the intolerable trap which Churchill, Roosevelt and Stalin have sprung upon the German people.

The German masses are in a terrible predicament. At home, they face continued bloody devastation and the iron rule of the Gestapo. From the Allied powers comes only the promise of capitalist conqueror's peace, dismemberment and economic ruin.

Nevertheless the German masses would not flinch from assaulting these obstacles with their own struggle for proletarian power if the road toward socialism were not barricaded against them by the criminal counter-revolutionary policies of Stalin. While the German workers are impelled onto the revolutionary road by the agony of capitalist war and fascism and by the inspiration of the victories of the first workers state, Stalin drives them back with his threats of mass enslavement, his alliance with Allied imperialism to impose a new and worse Versailles "Diktat," his expressed intention of crushing socialist revolutions.

The policies of Roosevelt, Churchill and Stalin today provide Hitler with his most powerful arguments and serve to dishearten and depress the German people. But the irrepressible force of the European revolution will sweep aside all these obstacles in its path and open the way out of their terrible situation for the German working class.

WORKERS' FORUM

The columns are open to the opinions of the readers of The Militant. Letters are welcome on any subject of interest to the workers, but keep them short and include your name and address. Indicate if you do not want your name printed. — Editor.

American Legion

Editor:

On February 2, Warren Atherton, National Commander of the American Legion, appeared before the Senate Military Affairs Committee in the role of stooge for Roosevelt when he urged enactment of a so-called National Service Act, tantamount to labor slavery. The proposal which has been widely declared by leading authorities and the press as unnecessary and dangerous legislation inimical to our Democracy contains other implications as it could be manipulated to regiment the voters as well as labor.

Commander Atherton's opinions are fortunately not shared by Legion rank and file, although Legion officialdom ever ready to make the front pages, has always consistently opposed organized labor's struggles for adequate wages and decent living conditions for the long-exploited workers.

Fairplay
Los Angeles, Cal.

Capitalist Aims

Editor:

I hadn't read or heard of the imprisonment of our comrades until I saw it in the Fourth International, as I have not been reading the capitalist press too closely lately as it is sickening to any thinking person.

However this imprisoning without a rehearing proves many things, mainly that the Trotskyists are right but must be muffled, etc. Here the attempt is to break the spirit of the party organization, drive us individuals away, or scare us into inactivity. We are in the fire test as always, but we must survive these times intact, we must not lose our identity. For the capitalist governments (Democratic or Fascist) know they have no correct solution for the peoples after the world conflict ends.

The capitalists wish to maintain control by disbanding any sincere vanguard or union which would serve as a beacon in the darkness

of confusion and gather the confused for the new dawn.

Only the glibbie will believe that capitalist governments can truly be opposed to fascist regimes. It has already been clearly demonstrated in Spain, France and Italy how the democracies act toward fascist leaders. If they don't run away they are bargained with, never taken prisoners. For instance Victor Emmanuel who didn't raise a finger against the Blackshirts but rather welcomed them, they would now prefer to award with a regency. Thus the enforcement of the "Four Freedoms" may be taken with a grain of salt. Even to our own soldiery who have been taught to believe they are fighting for those freedoms, false representatives would now deprive the right to vote in the presidential elections.

M. V.
St. Louis, Mo.

Brass Hats Spearhead Drive To Regiment American People

(Continued from page 1)

statement appeared the War Manpower Commission reported that 3000 shipyard workers had been discharged in the San Francisco Bay Area alone and 3000 more were being interviewed in an alleged investigation of "absenteeism." "Those who cannot give satisfactory explanations are being discharged," reports the WMC director. The discharge of shipyard workers has been going on all over the country as a result of Maritime Commission contract reductions—otherwise known as "cutbacks."

UNEMPLOYMENT GROWS

The American Iron and Steel Institute announced (Feb. 8) that employment in the steel industry had reached the lowest levels since February 1941 and was continuing a steady monthly decline. Cutbacks in war production are in many instances creating acute unemployment problems. The War Manpower Commission has contended that there is no manpower shortage either present or anticipated that would support the pretext given by Roosevelt and his brass hats of the need for "total mobilization" of all our manpower. Despite all these known facts, Paul V. McNutt, at a news conference, publicly withdrew his previous objections to a labor draft law, giving the astounding reason that: "When those in charge of the prosecution of the war decide such an

act is necessary, 'who are we to question? Therefore, I support it.'"

McNutt could well have paraphrased the poet Tennyson and said: "Ours not to reason why, ours but to do and die." That philosophy stands at the very heart of the Military code of un-

Stalinist Fleas

Capt. Edward Rickenbacker reports (N. Y. Times, Feb. 5) that while in the USSR he asked a group of Stalinist bureaucrats about the American "Communists."

"You know, captain, every dog has his fleas—and you've certainly got most of ours," was the cynical reply.

A doubly appropriate answer, since Stalin's fleas have set up permanent housekeeping in the dirty hide of American capitalism.

questioning obedience to the orders of the General Staff. The extension of the military code to civilian life regimented under the power of the brass hats means the end of any pretence of democracy and the establishment of an outright military dictatorship. That is precisely the road that Roosevelt has opened with his proposal for a national service act.

LABOR'S TASK

So obvious is it that the national selective service act is

aimed primarily at the trade unions that the pliable bureaucrats in the leadership of the AFL and CIO have been obliged to express their opposition. They whined that a forced labor law was "unnecessary and unwise." These white-livered bureaucrats have been whining for so long they have forgotten how to bark. Big Business is out to smash the unions. The brass hats are acting as the spearhead of the drive to perform that task and in their stride to regiment and militarize the nation. As part of the campaign the minds of the soldiers are being systematically poisoned against the workers. And about all the labor fakers have to say about the whole business is that it is "unnecessary and unwise."

The military officer caste is no less essential an instrument of capitalist class rule than the Democratic and Republican parties. These forces have joined together to enhance their power and privileges at the expense of the overwhelming majority of the American people.

The workers in the factories and the worker soldiers in the armed forces must be mobilized around a bold program in defense of their democratic rights. Only the labor movement, by breaking decisively with both political agencies of Wall Street and organizing its own Independent Labor Party, can lead such a struggle.

International Notes

By Paul C. Stevens

The London correspondent of the N. Y. Times (Jan. 28) gives a table of strike statistics for Great Britain, which holds the highest significance for vanguard labor all over the world. Here it is:

	Number of Strikes
1939	940
1940	922
1941	1251
1942	1281
1943	1775

It will be noted that the first period of the war brought a sharp curtailment of strikes. With 1941, however, the class struggles begin to mount. The correspondent adds that for militancy there has never been a year like 1943 in the long history of British trade unionism. There were more strikes this past year than any year—including 1926, the year of the Great General Strike—in this century. He does not fail to note, moreover, that practically every struggle in the course of this war has been unauthorized. That is, conducted not only against the employers and their government sponsors but against the authority of the union officialdom as well.

The class struggle is ripping with terrific sweep through the fiction of national unity adhered to by all—including the "labor"—officialdom.

As a finishing touch to his report, the correspondent illustrates the broad character—more than merely economic—of this phenomenon by a recent example: 1765 miners in Snowdon (Kent) Colliery went on strike without

any other demand than the removal of a foreman who had been distributing fascist literature.

In the Chicago Daily News (Feb. 5) appears a feature article written from Mexico City which serves to further shed light on the administration's foreign policy. It deals with Sinarquistas, the clerico-fascist movement below the Rio Grande. The article gives the origin of the organization, linked closely to the Spanish Falange, and sums up its "program":

"We condemn Communism, totalitarianism, dictatorships and tyrannies," he quotes from one of their booklets. "We repudiate the division of 'leftists' and 'rightists' or 'revolutionaries' and 'reactionaries.' We repudiate the Nazi cross, the Communist star, and any other foreign symbol."

He continues: "The Sinarquistas are for private property but against its abuses by 'economic liberalism'—they are against a 'classless' society but also against 'class hatred and class struggle.' They advocate unions without leaders . . . and an end to strikes."

"The official aims of the Sinarquistas call for national unity and Rooseveltian democracy (sic) . . . Sinarquism, calling itself a 'civic movement' not concerned with politics (sic) says it is 100% catholic, but 'not a religious movement.' Its newspapers inveigh against protestantism and . . . at the same time (are) advocating 'full religious freedom as guaranteed by the Atlantic Charter.'"

Lest this be dismissed as gibberish and its sponsors as a crackpot sect, the author admonishes that the Sinarquistas are at least 100,000 strong, have had ministers in the Federal cabinet and that "they are smiled upon by the state department and by many of the Catholic hierarchy here and in the United States. . . Furthermore, at least one man in the huge American embassy here had a private wire to Sinarquista headquarters."

The Sinarquistas' devotion to "Rooseveltian democracy" and the "Atlantic Charter" is no more fantastic than the Rooseveltian democrats' attachment to sinarquism. The "platform" of American bourgeois democracy apparently does not confine itself to clerico-fascism for Italy, Spain and Europe but is capable of extension to the good neighbors as well.

That the oppressed colonials of the West Indies, long fed up with British imperialism are also waking up to the fraudulent boom of its Yankee counterpart, is evidenced by Joseph M. Jones in the February Fortune. He writes: "In the winter of 1940-41, well-qualified observer reported a widespread desire in the British West Indies, especially among colored labor political leaders, for the United States to take over the islands. It was felt that the United States would spend more money, pay better wages, and grant political concessions more readily. Now, 1944, the West Indies no longer want annexation by the United States. . ."

Intimate Portrait of a WPB "Dollar-a-Year" Man

No matter where you fish in the murky waters of the administration's war production set-up, you are bound to hook onto a corporation "dollar-a-year" man running the government show for the greater profit of his own corporation and industry.

The latest such specimen brought to light is J. Spencer Love, head of the WPB's textile, clothing and leather division, and president of the Burlington Mills Corp., a \$50,000,000 open-shop textile concern with 44 plants in North Carolina, Virginia and Tennessee.

Love has been instrumental in blocking the production of low-priced house dresses, work shirts, children's overalls and other items greatly needed by the workers. Through his WPB authority he has refused to allocate available materials and manufacturing facilities for the production of consumers goods which are less profitable than war orders and can be fabricated by smaller, rival enterprises.

Love was appointed to the WPB a few months ago, after he had gained notoriety because of his violent attacks against the OPA textile price regulations. At that time he insisted that the textile corporations could not "afford" to produce the less profitable, but no less urgently needed, types of consumers goods. Love's personal remuneration from his corporation was \$196,340 in 1942. He receives, even while occupying his WPB post, a base salary of \$22,800 a year from Burlington Mills, plus 3 1/2 per cent of his corporation's net profits.

Burlington Mills maintains a 100 per cent non-union open shop. When the CIO Textile Workers finally managed to win collective bargaining elections within the past two years in three of his 44 plants, Love promptly ordered these plants closed down.

Tax Bill Is Shot Through With Loopholes for Rich

The proposed new tax bill before Congress is loaded with joker provisions to enable the corporations to evade paying even the meager profits taxes otherwise called for in the bill. These loopholes were packed into the measure at the behest of Big Business tax lobbyists seeking to protect the profits of their various special interests.

One such loophole permits reorganized corporations, which have reduced their capitalization, to figure their profit taxes on the base of their previous larger watered capitalization that is subject to smaller taxation.

Depreciation allowances and excess profits tax exemptions are estimated on capital valuation. The bigger the capital, the bigger the depreciation allowance, and the greater the profit allowed before it is subject to "excess profits" taxation.

The new tax bill actually expands the present loophole which has enabled mining corporations to mark off most of their taxes under the heading of "depletion" of their resources. This generous provision last year helped the coal mine operators to rake in 400 per cent more profits, after taxes, than in 1939.

Many corporations with big "excess" profits have been merging with defunct corporations which provide big credits against "excess" profits taxes. Such a merger was recently consummated between a big profiteering food corporation and a dead investment trust.

The workers, of course, have been given no such loopholes. Their taxes are taken directly out of their pay, in advance. Indeed, the new tax bill contains all sorts of direct and indirect increased taxes on the wage-earners.

Big Business Plans Steal of Government Equipment

Big Business plans in this war to pick the country cleaner than a turkey at an orphanage Thanksgiving feast. Among its other projects for appropriating everything that isn't nailed down is a program for acquiring billions of dollars worth of machine tools at a small fraction of the cost at which the government built them.

This is the charge made against General Motors, Chrysler and other industrial monopolies by Walter P. Reuther, vice-president of the United Automobile Workers, CIO. Reuther asserted in Detroit on Feb. 2 that evidence to this effect is contained in the testimony of K. T. Keller, Chrysler president, before the Truman Senate Committee on Nov. 22, 1943.

Keller, whom Reuther accused of "carrying the ball for the corporate postwar bargain hunters," pointedly informed the Truman Committee that Chrysler is using 19,277 machine tools built and owned by the government which the corporation claims it will need for peace-time production.

With a grand gesture of magnanimity, Keller offered to relieve the government of its burden and save it moving and storage expense by buying up these tools at 1937 valuations, admittedly about 65 per cent of their present worth, less depreciation of 20 per cent annually. This would give Chrysler the tools for about 10 per cent of what they cost the government.

C. E. Wilson, General Motors president, two days after Keller's informal bid, chimed in with the information that GM controls 143,744 machine tools, 56,708 of which belong to the government.

Reuther showed that Chrysler now claims that it converted "around 89 per cent" of its pre-war tools to war production, although Keller and other auto magnates before Pearl Harbor insisted they could only convert 10 per cent.

"Could it be," Reuther asks, "that the owners of the auto industry fought early conversion of automotive equipment to war production because they wanted the Government to build new plants and buy billions of dollars of new machinery which might later be acquired by the industry for a small percentage of the actual cost?"

Could it be that Reuther thinks the monopolists entered this war with any other aim than to mop up every drop of gravy that capitalist war provides?