

CHINESE TROTSKYISTS REPORT MAO TERROR

(See Page 2)

Vol. XVII - No. 42

THE MILITANT

PUBLISHED WEEKLY IN THE INTERESTS OF THE WORKING PEOPLE

NEW YORK, N. Y., MONDAY, OCTOBER 19, 1953

PRICE: 10 CENTS

U.S. Aids British In Armed Assault On Guiana Govt.

By Manuel Rodriguez

British Guiana is a crown colony on the northern coast of South America. Producers of bauxite and cane sugar, rum, rice, gold and diamonds, its 427,000 colored people live in the poverty one sees everywhere in the Caribbean area, its 3,000 whites in the luxury customary to colonial exploiters. Last week this colony of Great Britain saw troops move in from abroad and depose the lawfully elected government. The response was immediate. A general protest strike flared up. The Oct. 14 N. Y. Times reported that "twelve of the fourteen sugar factories and the attached plantations were idle."

Six months ago, the people there won a limited constitution from their British overlords. It was rigged to prevent formation of a genuinely popular government. Nevertheless, so strong was sentiment against foreign rule that in the election last April the Peoples Progressive Party won 18 out of 24 seats in the lower house.

British Governor Sir Alfred Savage continued to wield veto power over the legislature. But the workers pushed forward in such strength that the British decided it was time to raise the cry of "communist menace" and snatch back the few democratic rights that had been wrested from them.

TROOPS LANDED

On Oct. 9, 600 British troops landed in Georgetown. Suspension of the Constitution was announced. Six cabinet members headed by Cheddi Jagan were

(Continued on page 4)

Hard-Hitting Campaign Rolls in San Francisco

SAN FRANCISCO, Oct. 12 — The campaign of the Socialist Workers Party candidates, Frank Barbaria and Frances James, for the Board of Supervisors is going full blast. The candidates are out every night speaking to unions and civic groups. The vigorous speaking tour has netted highly favorable results. Eighteen locals of the AFL, the Carpenters District Council, the large shipscalers local of the ILWU, the CIO-PAC Regional Council, and five civic groups have already heard the candidates of the SWP.

The reaction of the workers at the union meetings to the socialist program of the two working class candidates is very good. The workers are listening with interest. They ask questions and take copies of the *Militant*. In contrast to the tiresome phony promises of capitalist political hacks on civic improvement our candidates go right to the issues that are of vital concern to the rank and file unionist — the depression threat, the war danger, the tax burden, the steep rise in the cost of living and the need for a Labor Party.

Not once have the socialist candidates been red-baiting in the course of their speaking tour. Despite the witch hunt and the attack on civil liberties, it seems the further down you get to the union rank and file the less you feel the red-baiting hysteria. While the workers are not ready to accept the program of the SWP, they express keen interest and seem favorably impressed with the fact that our candidates are workers of considerable experience in the labor movement.

Every evening a campaign squad leaves headquarters with the candidates. They are loaded with leaflets and *Militants*. The rounds are made of meetings and distribution points. The morale of the campaigners is high. Everyone has the feeling that we are making our mark, that the workers will remember our message and that the SWP here is once again in the center of a big political fight.

Radio and TV schedule of David L. Weiss, SWP candidate for Mayor of New York: Monday, Oct. 19, station WMCA, 9:30 P.M., and WNEW at 10:35 P.M.

Wednesday, Oct. 21, Kate Smith Hour, WNB-TV Channel 4 at 3:15 P.M.

The telecast can be viewed on the NBC network from coast to coast. Check local stations.

For N. Y. Mayor



DAVID L. WEISS

Detroit Meeting On Civil Liberties Called for Oct. 23

DETROIT, Oct. 12 — A public protest meeting on "Threats to Our Civil Liberties" will be held Friday evening Oct. 23 at the Central Methodist Church.

Speakers include Emil Mazey, Secretary-Treasurer, International Union UAW-CIO; George Dean, President, Michigan Federation of Labor, AFL; and Jerry Raymond, National Representative, Mechanics Educational Society of America.

Also scheduled to speak are Charles C. Lockwood, attorney for Lieut. Radulovich in the recent Air Corps hearing; Walter Bergman, Detroit educator; Rev. Robert Brady, Jr., of the Greater King Solomon Baptist Church; and Preston Slosson, Professor of History, University of Michigan.

Rev. I. Paul Taylor, pastor of St. Matthews Methodist Church and chairman of the Citizens' Committee Against the Trucks Law, will preside.

Up-to-the-minute reports on the fight to preserve our civil liberties will be given. Developments in the court tests of the constitutionality of the Trucks Act will receive special attention.

In his announcement of the meeting, Rev. Taylor stated, "The recent extension of the concept of 'guilt by association' to embrace 'guilt by relationship' has shocked the entire nation. We believe the great majority of our citizens are outraged by this challenge to our fundamental American concepts of liberty and justice. It introduces one of the worst features of totalitarianism."

"We are sponsoring this public meeting so that the people of our community can hear first-hand accounts of this threat to our liberties, and register their opposition to it."

The meeting is being held under the auspices of the Citizens' Committee Against the Trucks Law.

Central Methodist Church is at the northeast corner of Woodward and Adams at Grand Circus Park. The meeting will begin at 7:30 P.M. Admission will be free.

Kutcher to Speak At Smorgasbord

James Kutcher will be honored at a Smorgasbord dinner on the occasion of the appearance of his newly published autobiography, "The Case of the Legless Veteran." The affair will be held this Saturday, Oct. 17, 5:30 P.M. at the Militant Hall, 116 University Pl., New York.

Sponsored by the N. Y. Local of the Socialist Workers Party, guest speakers will be James Kutcher and William F. Warde. Contribution to the affair is \$1.50.

The Militant Hall is near Union Square and can be reached by either the IRT or BMT subways.

Weiss Voices Anti-War Plea In Broadcast

NEW YORK, Oct. 11 — David L. Weiss, Socialist Workers candidate for mayor of this city, today took on Victor Lasky, editor of the American Legion Reader, over a broadcast on WNBC. Like previous announcers who have tried to tangle with the fighting candidate of the Socialist Workers Party, Mr. Lasky did not fare too well.

"Suppose," he said, "if the unfortunate happens and we get embroiled in a war with Russia. Will your party support our country in that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First World War. Neither would we support the U.S. in a Third World War which we consider to be an imperialist war just as the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he had found an opening: "Would you sabotage the U.S. war effort in the event that we do enter that war? Would you actively fight against that war?"

Weiss responded: "Our party did not support the U.S. participation in the Second World War. Nor did the socialist movement of Eugene Debs support the U.S. in the First and Second World Wars were."

Lasky seemed to think he

Letters to a Stalinist

WHY DO YOU STICK
WITH STALINIST PARTY
DESPITE ITS CRIMES?

Dear Phil,

In my last letter I discussed the "multi-class coalition" policy of the American Communist Party. The meaning of this policy is shown in the New York mayoralty election. It means tying the radical workers to the Democratic Party. It means adding "left-wing" support to the political policy of the labor officials. It means standing in the way of the formation of a Labor Party. In a word, it means class collaboration in politics.

In your reply to my letter you say you disagree with the CP policy. You tell me how you opposed the attempt to dump the Independent Progressive Party in California. You add that rank-and-file opposition was so strong that the Stalinist leadership had to retreat on this point.

From what I observe, the same is true in New York. The Communist Party leadership is having all kinds of trouble selling the pro-Democratic Party line to the rank and file. In the current New York elections they are shamefaced supporting the Tammany politician Wagner, just as they supported the strikebreaking Republican Bowron in Los Angeles.

"Officially" the CP is for Clifford MacAvoy, the candidate of the American Labor Party. But every word they speak is with a forked tongue. "Oh yes," they say, "we are all for the ALP... but." "But" the main danger is Impellitteri-Riegelman; "but" we must unite to defeat the main danger; "but" we must follow a multi-class coalition policy. They sneak in a boost for Wagner whenever they can. Not openly, not frankly, but by sly inference. This disgusting policy doesn't sit well with the rank and file who have to defend it before their fellow workers.

But enough on that for the moment. What I want to discuss with you is something else. Why do you stick with the Stalinists despite these false policies and their treacherous conduct? You answer: "The whole Soviet bloc is in the communist movement. And most of the revolutionary workers in the rest of the world. This is the movement that will beat capitalism."

I know why this is such a strong point with you, Phil. The Communist workers in the U.S. are isolated and persecuted today. They feel that the movement of tens of millions of workers throughout the world under the banner of the Communist Party is the great historic tide against capitalism. They don't want to become isolated from this movement and all that it promises.

It's true that millions of workers in the world march under the banner of parties led by the Stalinists. But ask yourself: "Where are the Stalinists leading these workers?"

Before the First World War, millions of workers followed the Social Democrats. When they supported the war aims of the various capitalist governments in 1914, this betrayal shattered the International Social Democratic movement. It took the October Revolution in Russia to rally a new international around the Leninist banner. A false leadership gave way to a revolutionary leadership. Today we must not remain hypnotized before the momentary fact of Stalinist leadership of mass parties. We must probe to the heart of the problem of problems: How will the revolution win? Yes, Phil, you and I must do that; not some self-appointed bureaucrats.

Just look at the score of recent events.

East Germany: The workers rise against the Stalinist regime. The Stalinists crush their general strike and the Kremlin drops on the tottering bureaucratic power with armed force against the revolutionary workers.

France: The workers launch a general strike movement and carry the struggle to a showdown with the capitalists. The issue of power is posed. The Trotskyists raise the slogan of a Workers' and Farmers' Government. What do the Stalinists and Social Democrats do? They play possum. They suppress all revolutionary initiative. They betray the workers. But the Trotskyist slogans are driven deep into the consciousness of many workers by this experience.

Iran: The Tudeh Party, led by the Stalinists and supported by the great mass of workers, plays high politics with the native capitalist politician Mossadegh. For over two years the Stalinists could have taken power. They refused. It would interfere with Kremlin diplomacy. Meanwhile a reactionary coup d'état takes place and thousands of Communist workers are being bled by the counter-revolutionary terror.

This is the score, Phil. The Stalinists are betraying millions of workers everywhere — not leading them to victory. But these workers are waking up. They will not permit the revolution to be lost because of bureaucratic fumbling and betrayal.

Finally, consider the special situation in the United States again. The workers are still in the Democratic Party because of the policy of the labor bureaucrats. Isn't the task before us the building of a revolutionary party? Isn't it our job to tear the leadership out of the hands of the trade-union fakers and build a mass party of the workers? And as we work on this unpostponable task shall we take the workers out of the Reuther-Meany frying pan into the Stalinist fire? Or shall we build the revolutionary party of the American workers, the Socialist Workers Party? Think it over, Phil.

— Murry Weiss

Subscribe!

Start your subscription now. Clip the coupon and mail it in today. Send \$1.50 for six months subscription or \$3 for a full year to The Militant, 116 University Place, New York 3, N.Y.

Name
Street Zone
City State
 \$1.50 Six months \$3.00 Full year New Renewal

Appeal from Chinese Trotskyists

(The following appeal to the "international working class and revolutionists" was written by five Chinese Trotskyists Jan. 28 1953. It has just reached us from behind the censorship imposed by the Mao regime. — Ed.)

In writing this brief appeal, our hearts are filled with sorrow, anger, and anxiety. We hope to complete this document and find means to send it abroad before agents of the Communist Party knock at the door. Our fate and that of countless comrades and friends rely now almost entirely upon the voice of justice and the actions of the Int'l working class, revolutionaries and all progressive individuals.

We five, the signatories of this document, are up to the present the only ones lucky enough to have escaped the recent wholesale arrests of Trotskyists. In the past we were in the forefront of the struggle to overthrow the Kuomintang regime; and today we still stand firmly at our posts in the effort for national construction. We are all communists; one of us has formally joined the Chinese Trotskyist Party, and the rest have been in the Trotskyist Socialist Youth.

We want to tell the revolutionists of the world about the persecutions and blows the Chinese Trotskyists have suffered in recent years and to appeal to them for help. We are very sorry that for the sake of our own security we are not able to publish our real names, addresses and occupations.

This document is written in Shanghai and will be taken to Hong Kong at the risk of death. We hope it will be published to the whole world by our friends.

EXECUTIONS

No sooner did the Chinese Communist Party overthrow the reactionary Kuomintang regime and establish the People's Government of China than several local organizations of the Chinese Trotskyist Party were raided. In August 1949 most members of the Kiangsu-Chekiang Emergency Committee of our Party and several other responsible comrades were arrested, but were later instructed to cease political activity and released.

Meanwhile, the CP mobilized an anti-Trotskyist campaign in two districts. We know of Chekiang Province and Shunsan of Kwangtung Province — where the Trotskyists had a long tradition of broad activities and had considerable strength in numbers — and arrested many of them, or risked their

lives in the underground activities against Japanese imperialism.

There are also young people of about 20 who awakened during the struggle to overthrow Chiang Kai-shek's regime in the post-war period, and, like the older generations, were all active participants in prison during the years of reaction of 1928-1937. Among them were the well-known Lee Ping, Wan-fan, Chen I-mo, Chan Shir, Peng Tao-tze, etc. Many others died in the Resistance War against Japan, like Chen Shun-shi, member of the Central Committee of the Party and a guerrilla leader in Kwantung Province, Cheng Chi-chang, an old militant of the party, and Chao Tse-ching, a young leader in the South of China, etc.

Among those arrested are factory workers, trade-union officers, university professors, teachers in colleges or primary schools or in the "work of social education," students, land-reform workers, functionaries in state financial or economic institutions, etc.

In the past they never lagged behind in any progressive struggles; and in recent years, they have been working consistently in their respective positions in the struggle against imperialism and the landlord-bourgeoisie, and in the projects to industrialize the country.

In the land reform, together with peasant masses, they participated in liquidating the landlord class.

On the movement against American aid to Korea, they joined ardently in the contribution campaign, offering as much as they could financially and taking part actively in all kinds of "Against American Aid to Korea" propaganda campaigns.

In the movement to suppress counter-revolutionaries, they fought in the battle to exterminate the remaining forces of the old regime.

In the movement of democratic reform of factories and mines, they have always been in the vanguard in resisting the despotism of "feudal foremen."

They were active in the "San-fan" and "Wu-fan" campaigns. ("San-fan" — Chinese abbreviation for "against three"; the campaign against corruption, bureaucratism and wastes. "Wu-fan" — abbreviation for "against five.") Besides the three targets of the "San-fan" campaign, two more were added: theft of state property and tax evasion.

They resolutely opposed the corrupt elements in government institutions and supported the government in purging the bourgeois corrosion of state properties. Some even came out openly in favor of liquidating their own fathers. In the movement to eliminate illiteracy, they exerted their utmost efforts in response to the call of the government. Some of these revolutionary activities of the Trotskyists were even reported in the official papers and openly praised (without mentioning them as Trot-

skyists, of course). But all these comrades have now disappeared.

FOUGHT CHIANG KAI-SHEK

Many of the comrades of these arrested Trotskyists died under the bayonets of the hangman, Chiang Kai-shek, or spent years in prison during the years of reaction of 1928-1937. Among them were the well-known Lee Ping, Wan-fan, Chen I-mo, Chan Shir, Peng Tao-tze, etc. Many others died in the Resistance War against Japan, like Chen Shun-shi, member of the Central Committee of the Party and a guerrilla leader in Kwantung Province, Cheng Chi-chang, an old militant of the party, and Chao Tse-ching, a young leader in the South of China, etc.

Still others, who spent long years in Wan Ching-wei's prisons and Chiang Kai-shek's concentration camps, died in the civil war, or (like Comrade Wang and his wife in Chungking) were buried alive together with CP revolutionaries on the eve of the liberation, or were assassinated by landlords and autocrats in the land-reform movement.

In the land reform, together with peasant masses, they participated in liquidating the landlord class.

On the movement against American aid to Korea, they joined ardently in the contribution campaign, offering as much as they could financially and taking part actively in all kinds of "Against American Aid to Korea" propaganda campaigns.

In the movement to suppress counter-revolutionaries, they fought in the battle to exterminate the remaining forces of the old regime.

In the movement of democratic reform of factories and mines, they have always been in the vanguard in resisting the despotism of "feudal foremen."

They were active in the "San-fan" and "Wu-fan" campaigns. ("San-fan" — Chinese abbreviation for "against three"; the campaign against corruption, bureaucratism and wastes. "Wu-fan" — abbreviation for "against five.") Besides the three targets of the "San-fan" campaign, two more were added: theft of state property and tax evasion.

They resolutely opposed the corrupt elements in government institutions and supported the government in purging the bourgeois corrosion of state properties. Some even came out openly in favor of liquidating their own fathers. In the movement to eliminate illiteracy, they exerted their utmost efforts in response to the call of the government. Some of these revolutionary activities of the Trotskyists were even reported in the official papers and openly praised (without mentioning them as Trot-

skyists, of course). But all these comrades have now disappeared.

ARRESTS WERE SECRET

This nation-wide series of arrests was carried out secretly and brutally. In the dead of night, CP agents came to the victims' homes under pretext of taking a "census." These were frozen nights; snow fell heavily in Shanghai, Tientsin, and Peking, and even in the south, the city of Canton was also under the cold wave. The agents drove the families of the arrested out of doors and proceeded to cross-question them for several hours while ransacking their homes. Then the victims were bound with their hands behind their backs and taken away without being

allowed to either dress themselves or pack any necessities. Some, who were living in busy quarters or school dormitories, were arrested in the streets; or were sent away to new places by their bosses a few days before and then arrested. This method was adopted to avoid stirring up public disquiet.

VICTIMS VANISH

Since the arrests, the fate of the victims remains an official secret. The families of the arrested went to the Bureau of Public Security to inquire about them, but the only answer they got was, "We are not responsible for this affair; we don't know."

There has not been a single word in the newspapers about the arrests; it was done without going through any judicial procedure. Are they still alive, or have they already been collectively executed? Only the CP agents know the answer.

We

must point out that the arrests took place after the delegation of the Chinese Communist Party headed by Liu Shao-chi participated in the 19th Congress of the CPSU in Moscow, after the Chinese CP decided to carry out a "democratic" general election, and on the eve of the inauguration of economic construction on a grand scale endorsed by the People's Government. And it was immediately after the Trotskyist delegates from Ceylon pronounced their revolutionary speeches at the Peace Conference in Peking.

Having gone through a revolution in overthrowing the Kuomintang regime, a great number of

difficult tasks of great magnitude face the Chinese people requiring extraordinary courage and perseverance on the part of the Chinese workers and peasant masses. It is precisely in this particular period that all revolutionaries are urged to contribute their forces in the endeavor to industrialize the country and build up socialism, and thus to contribute more to the anti-imperialist world revolutionary movement.

But the Chinese CP, the leadership of the revolution, tolerates the crimes of the Kremlin, complies with them, and "cooperates" with such types as Li Chin-sun, the hangman of the Canton revolt of 1927. On the other hand, it suppresses workers' and peasants' democracy, and in particular persecutes and slaughters the Trotskyist revolutionaries.

The international working class and revolutionaries must understand that this practice of the Chinese CP is a serious blow to the Chinese Revolution and to the task of liberating mankind.

We call on all revolutionary organizations and individuals throughout the world who yearn for the liberation of oppressed humanity to come forward in protest and intervene against this reactionary practice of the Chinese CP, which is evidently in imitation of Stalin's methods or in instructions by the Kremlin. We appeal to you to lift your voices in protest against the Chinese Revolution and the world socialist movement.

Radulovich Convicted
For Relatives' Ideas

DETROIT, Oct. 13 — Three Air Force colonels today decided that Lieut. Milo J. Radulovich be discharged from the Air Force Reserve as a doubtful security risk. The charges filed against the 27-year-old reservist, who is a physics student at the University of Michigan, boiled down to the fact that he is related to his father and his sister.

His father, an immigrant from Serbia, was reported to have subscribed to a Croatian-language newspaper held to be "pro-Communist" to have received the Daily Worker for two months in 1949, and to have "attempted" in 1949 "to spread Communist propaganda at the Hudson Motor Car Co." where he was employed.

His sister was said to have received the Daily Worker and to have marched in picket lines alleged to be "communist" in character.

UAW STAND

The UAW-CIO through its secretary Emil Mazey branded the hearing as a "star chamber proceeding" and asked Air Force Secretary Talbot to halt it. Mazey denounced the barring of the press from the hearing, the reversing of the presumption of innocence is reversed to a presumption of guilt. Lockwood demanded that the charges be dismissed since there were no charges against Radulovich himself but only against his relatives.

Lockwood and Sanborn gave their services as counsel to Radulovich free of charge. They condemned the procedure as contrary to Constitutional principles in that the presumption of innocence is reversed to a presumption of guilt. Lockwood demanded that the charges be dismissed since there were no charges against Radulovich himself but only against his relatives.

As for the sister, Mrs. Margaret Fishman, the defense maintained that there was no "close, continuing association."

MAY RUIN CHOSEN CAREER

The lieutenant said that he would now await final review of his case before deciding to proceed with his studies. "It would be absolutely senseless to continue in physics or meteorology if this decision stands. Most jobs in either field are with the Government and the fact that I have been labeled a poor security risk would nullify my professional qualifications."

"We have two children to support and I may be forced to look for work in another field."

How biased the board was that heard the case may be judged

from the remarks of one of the colonels to Radulovich outside the hearing room: "Listen, you made a mistake in going to the newspapers with this story. You had no right to seek to embarrass the Air Force."

Lockwood and Sanborn gave their services as counsel to Radulovich free of charge. They condemned the procedure as contrary to Constitutional principles in that the presumption of innocence is reversed to a presumption of guilt. Lockwood demanded that the charges be dismissed since there were no charges against Radulovich himself but only against his relatives.

The concept apparent in this case is not exactly new. In England before 1870 a person convicted of a crime carrying the death penalty was subject to attainder. This involved extinction of his civil rights, forfeiture of property and "corruption of blood"; that is, the convicted person could neither inherit nor hold property, nor could his heirs inherit property from or through him.

Radulovich was not convicted of any crime, not even "disloyalty," yet "corruption of blood" applies here far beyond anything dreamed by autocratic royalty simply because his father and sister are accused of holding ideas.

Prospects for U.S. Economy

By Harry Frankel

The magazine Business Week painted a complete picture of the economy a few weeks ago in one sentence: "Today's biggest single economic weakness is this: the curve has stopped rising and there's nothing in sight to give it new zip." That's really the story of economic prospects in a nutshell.

Some important business indexes have started to weaken. Among the most significant symptoms are those in the steel and oil industries.

Steel production has dropped from its terrific rate of over 100% of capacity into the lower 90's. However, 90-95% of capacity is a high, even a boom production rate. Far more significant is the sharp drop in the price of steel scrap.

A large part of the charge that goes into every open hearth "heat" in the manufacture of steel is steel scrap. A very large part of steel scrap is obtained from industrial facilities that have become outmoded and are being replaced. Hence the demand for steel scrap is a very sensitive index, a bellwether of what is happening in the entire economy.

When a decline is about to set in, most industries can be expected to scale down operations. Thus at the same time that demand for new machinery and new plants falls off, the amount of scrapage of inefficient machinery increases. With less machinery being bought and more being scrapped, the supply of scrap rises and the price falls. In a boom, the opposite happens.

STEEL SCRAP AND OIL

Thus the price of steel scrap, reflecting both the demand for and supply of old steel, which in turn reflect basic prospects in the economy, can be a very important symptom. While too much importance cannot be attached to any single index, the drop in the price of open-hearth scrap has been very dramatic. Reductions in the month of September amounted to \$10-\$12 a ton, from \$45 to under \$35. Dealers say the market has "no support" and this was the sharpest drop in years.

The other symptom, in the oil industry, is significant not because oil is a "bellwether" com-

modity, this is a sign of weakness, not of strength.

HOW SLUMPS START

Here is the reason: If the capital goods industries start to fall off, as most capitalists admit that they must, then this weakness transmits itself to the consumer field because that portion of the working class which is employed in the capital goods industries must buy less. This starts a downward spiral in the entire consumer goods field. This, as a matter of fact, is how depressions usually start.

Subscriptions: \$3 per year;
\$1.50 for 6 months. Foreign:
\$4.50 per year; \$2.25 for 6
months. Canadian: \$3.50 per
year; \$1.75 for 6 months.
Binders: \$5 or more
copies 6¢ each in U.S. To
each in foreign countries.

THE MILITANT

Published Weekly in the Interests of the Working People
THE MILITANT PUBLISHING ASSOCIATION
116 University Pl., N. Y. 3, N. Y. Phone: AL 5-7469
Editor: GEORGE BREITMAN
Business Manager: JOSEPH HANSEN

Vol. XVII No. 42

Monday, October 19, 1953

Operation

One of basic political truths we stress is that parties and candidates represent class interests. We do not look for "good guys" or "bad guys" in politics apart from the classes they serve. An Eisenhower, a Truman, a Roosevelt does not operate in a class vacuum.

This truth is once more confirmed by the report in the *Congressional Quarterly*, issued Oct. 10, of the financial expenditures reported by political groups for last year's House, Senate and Presidential elections. The Republicans admit spending \$13,800,000; the Democrats, \$6,200,000. Political expenditures of labor groups, most of which supported Democratic candidates, were figured separately at close to \$3,000,000.

Most of the "campaign gold" for both the Republican and Democratic parties came in big "gifts" from the multi-millionaire and billionaire families that own and run this country. The six top GOP and Democratic political committees in 1952 got 55% of their total receipts in 2,407 contributions of \$1,000 or more.

The Republicans hit the jackpot with fat contributions from such super-rich families as the Rockefellers and the Du Ponts. But the Democrats also got a juicy share of the plutocratic millions spent to buy up and control the capitalist parties. Big donors to the Democrats included the Wade Thompsons of Nashville, \$22,000; the Marshall Fields of New York, \$10,000; the Kennedy family of Palm Beach, \$20,000; and Albert M. Greenfield of Philadel-

Rat Hole

phia, real-estate tycoon and owner of the struck Hearn's department stores in New York, \$16,000.

Yet, the labor leaders continue to foster the illusion that these parties and their candidates, particularly the Democrats, stand above the classes and somehow can be made to represent the interests of the workers. If their policies alone did not tell us so, we ought to be able to see that these parties are bought machines of the Big Business ruling class simply by the list of their donors.

With the exception, of course, of the labor donors. But this is a case where the unions, with nearly \$3,000,000 collected in nickels and dimes from the workers, were played for suckers and hadn't the slightest real voice in the affairs of the two major parties. The millions spent by labor on political action, via the Democratic machine mainly, didn't give the workers one single spokesman in Congress or even a decisive voice in the naming of any key candidate.

You can't hope to win when the other guy always deals and stacks the cards. Labor will always be on the losing end so long as Republicans and Democrats do the dealing. That's a sucker's game and it's about time the American labor movement woke up to the fact.

The American working class is going to get trimmed every election until it has a party of its own, based on its own organizations, running its own candidates and fighting the boss parties every inch of the way.

The Greenlease Case

correspondent, "there is the terrible irony that these children — and there are thousands of them besides those treated on the Jutlandia — were injured by the bombs of their protectors, the Americans."

What editorial outcry did we hear from the N. Y. Post and the N. Y. Times at George Barrett's description in the Feb. 8, 1951 Times about the American napalm-ing of a little Korean village in which every man, woman and child was burned alive and "kept the exact position they had had when the napalm struck" including "fifty boys and girls playing in an orphanage."

Were these hundreds of thousands of Korean kids who were fried and roasted alive with flaming jellied gasoline or ripped apart by high explosive bombs just "accidents"? No, the U.S. military command in Korea was perfectly aware in advance what its bombs and napalm would do. For, as Hanson W. Baldwin, military analyst of the N. Y. Times, wrote back in August 1950 about the start of "strategic bombing" in Korea: "Inevitably we kill and maim civilians, including women and children . . ."

It's all very well for the newspapers to call for the blood of a couple of degenerate killers of the son of a multi-millionaire. But their indignation is as nothing compared to the monumental anger the American people would demonstrate if they ever find out the full truth about the sickening wholesale atrocities against children committed in Korea by the Wall Street militarists and war-makers.

Squabble Over Trieste

Trieste the strategic port at the head of the Adriatic, has become a "hot spot" on the world "cold war" map. Yugoslavia's regime, reacting to the decision of London and Washington to turn Zone A of Trieste over to the Italian government, (Zone B is occupied by Yugoslavia) has been threatening military action to prevent this move.

What is involved? Undoubtedly machinations of the American and British imperialists are the dominant factor in the recent maneuvers around the disputed port. Why should the Americans and British decide to evacuate their troops and put the Italians in charge? Is it to strengthen the expansionist ambitions of the Italian capitalists as the Yugoslavs claim? Of course this is involved. More precisely, the favoring of capitalist Italy against anti-capitalist Yugoslavia is the pivotal class issue underlying the diplomatic jockeying. But the Yugoslavs fail to draw attention to this fundamental issue. They are therefore reduced to ambiguous ethnological arguments which with all their validity are not the central issue here.

Despite all his truculence, Tito's declarations around Trieste remain rather hollow. The tragic reason for this is that the Yugoslav Titoist regime has betrayed working class policy in the sphere of foreign affairs. They started by yielding impermissible concessions to American Big Business and its government on the Korean war. In return for desperately needed

Friend articles by contributors do not necessarily represent The Militant's policies. These are expressed in its editorials.

Entered as second class matter March 7, 1944 at the Post Office, New York, N.Y., under the act of March 3, 1939.

The Furnace and the Stove--a Lesson in Safety

By Theodore Kovalesky

I see by the newspapers that, and I quote, "A blast furnace is safer than home." According to a United Press story, the U.S. Steel Company's safety department declares that their employees "are three times safer around a blast furnace than near the kitchen stove."

Now as one who has spent a number of years on blast furnaces and also a number more years around the kitchen stove, I was mighty shocked to learn that I've had the percentages figured all wrong. In my fool's paradise I've been feeling secure around that old stove. My food has been prepared on it. It has given warmth to the kitchen when I've come home on winter nights after the three to eleven shift. And it's been part and parcel of the kitchen itself, that room which is kitchen,

dining room, parlor, and study to so many of us. Now I find that my old friend is a treacherous enemy, squatting in the corner like a sly ogre, just biding its time and waiting for me to make one false move.

And then on the other hand there's my old pal, my poor misunderstood friend, Number Three blast furnace, which I hated instinctively and distrusted automatically from the beginning. But the safety department says it isn't so bad. And they are honorable men.

How many times did I crawl up the steps to Number Three's floor in the hot weather, hoping for a decent day, a clean, quick cast and an easy clean-up, only to hear my exhausted buddy tell me before he left, "Old Number Three's acting evil today, rolling and heaving and slipping. Better watch her!" And watch her we would

for eight hot miserable hours. But the safety men say it's not so bad. And they are honest men, trying to do their job.

I remember when Number Three killed that old keeper, Ladislaw. I think his name was: shot the iron and fire out of a wet tapping hole without any warning and burned the old man up, even though he didn't die, not all the way, that is, until some time next day in the hospital. But the safety men say he would have been in three times as much danger next to his kitchen stove . . . and they've made a study of those things.

I read a little while ago about a hearth break-out — that's when the side of the furnace gets burnt away and blows out — that practically destroyed a furnace in a little steel plant out of town. The iron blew out of there with an explosion the paper said people

had ten miles away. It just happened that nobody was standing in front of that part of the furnace when it happened. It goes to show: What if their own kitchen stoves had blown up? They probably would all have been killed. Like what the safety men said . . . and they wouldn't lie to us.

Jimmy, Slim and Nick all got pneumonia up on the furnace during the past five years. That happens. You get hot, then cold. You sweat watching the trough or the ladle. Then you walk four feet away and the February wind slashes you. A lot of the fellows get pneumonia. But then around the kitchen stove it's three times as bad. Jimmy, Slim and Nick all got over pneumonia. But suppose they had cooked some bad fish or meat on their kitchen stoves — they could have got ptomaine poisoning. And if they had, they might have died from it. I guess that may be what the safety men are getting at. They want us to have the facts.

They took a poll, these safety men, and they found out that "accidents during leisure hours outnumbered those on the job by a three-to-one ratio." And this poll is full of food for thought. In the first place, I was glad to see the safety department getting into print over nationwide news chain. Maybe it means they are getting important, getting some authority. In any plant that I've worked in, the safety department was a regular ball of fire when it came to demanding that the men wear safety shoes or goggles. But if some terrible hazardous condition existed, some

condition that was a menace to life and limb . . . and if this condition would cost a bit of money to correct . . . then the safety department just couldn't seem to get management to fix it. "I know," the safety man (any safety man) would say to me, "I know it's bad, but I can't get them to do anything about it."

Now maybe their word will be law. "Look, J.F.," they'll say to the general manager, "I don't care if it costs ten million dollars. Either you correct this condition on Number Three blast furnace or we won't permit you to run it!"

As I say, this news story may mean that the safety department is going to get powerful, but somehow I just can't believe it. Instead, do you know what my nasty suspicious mind makes me think? I suspect very strongly that this propaganda about their being more accidents during leisure time is merely the first shot in a campaign to eliminate leisure. It will probably go like this: "Men, why die early? Why not live three times as long? Since there are three times as many accidents during leisure time as during working hours, why not work 24 hours a day instead of eight, hmmm? Remember, men, this won't cost you a penny either: You will still take home the same pay for 24 hours that you did for eight. This program has been sponsored by your friendly National Association of Manufacturers . . ."

\$18,000 Fund Scoreboard

Branch	Quota	Paid	Percent
PHILADELPHIA	\$ 400	\$ 493	123
AKRON	150	175	117
CHICAGO	1,500	1,700	113
BUFFALO	1,500	1,546	103
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL	1,200	1,237	103
ST. LOUIS	75	77	103
CLEVELAND	350	352	101
MILWAUKEE	400	405	101
BOSTON	550	550	100
FLINT	250	250	100
LOS ANGELES	2,500	2,500	100
NEWARK	500	500	100
OAKLAND	250	250	100
PITTSBURGH	30	30	100
SEATTLE	450	450	100
New York	4,500	3,712	82
Youngstown	450	371	82
Allentown	60	45	75
San Francisco	1,000	698	70
Detroit	1,500	603	40
General	385	224	58
Total through Oct. 13	\$18,000	\$16,168	90

FUND CAMPAIGN PASSES 90% OF NATIONAL QUOTA

By Reba Aubrey, Campaign Manager

Receipts during the past week in the Socialist Workers Party campaign for an \$18,000 Party-Building and Publication Fund amounted to \$408. This increased the

Legless Veteran Files 11th Appeal

(Continued from page 1)

The record of his case contains no evidence to support a finding of his personal disloyalty.

He was not given adequate notice under Executive Order 9835. The notice failed to specify any circumstances or activities warranting discharge for disloyalty, despite his repeated requests for specific charges, but related solely to his membership in the SWP.

4. His discharge from an action acknowledged "non-sensitive" position abridges his right to freedom of expression and association under the First Amendment and unjustly penalizes him for his political and economic views.

Christmas Bazaar In New York

Plans are being made for the annual Christmas bazaar of the New York Local of the Socialist Workers Party. It will be held Nov. 28 at 116 University Place (near Union Square). Those who have attended in the past know what a variety of gifts and clothing can be found at bargain prices.

This annual event is very much looked forward to by friends of the Socialist Workers Party, as well as Militant readers and their friends and relatives.

The bazaar committee is asking for contributions of articles — new or used — which can be sold. Books, lamps, vases, toys, costume jewelry, useful household articles, dishes, curios, bri-a-brac, perfume — anything and everything that is in good condition, will be gratefully accepted.

All signs underline the crisis of leadership in the working class. The resolution of this crisis is the key to the progressive resolution of the crisis of France and humanity. The European Defense Com-

pounds the crisis of leadership in the working class. The resolution of this crisis is the key to the progressive resolution of the crisis of France and humanity. The European Defense Com-

mitttee should be sent to 116 University Place. Or, the committee will arrange to pick up donations if notified. Telephone ALgonquin 5-7852.

Questions, Discussion — Admission Free —

CHICAGO Socialist Workers presents Black Magic Sat., Oct. 31, 9 P.M. SWP Hall 734 South Wabash Dancing, Entertainment, Refreshments

PHILADELPHIA Fri. Night Forum presents a talk on The Kinsey Reports A Marxist interpretation Speaker: Ellen Reed Fri., Oct. 23, 8:30 P.M. Militant Labor Hall 1303 West Girard Avenue Questions, Discussion — Admission Free —

"Last Man Out"

By Jean Blake

Sunday Night for the first time I heard a new nationwide propaganda program called "Last Man Out."

With all the trappings — sound effects, delivery, etc. — of a pseudo-scientific clinical analysis of some aspect of "communism," the producers state that their purpose is to help more people understand the nature of the beast by having an ex-Communist reveal why he did what he did. Their aim — to get the last man out of the Communist Party.

The story Sunday night was supposedly that of a prominent Negro ex-Stalinist. Briefly, it told how he was attracted to the CP during the 1920's because of its opposition to Jim Crow, how he was trained for leadership in public demonstrations and in internal discussions; how he first became uneasy during the Scottsboro case over conflicting claims to funds by the party and the defense, and how he finally broke with the CP, completely disillusioned, during the Hitler-Stalin pact.

His conclusion from his experience was that the CP would sacrifice anyone and any group here or abroad to the interests of the Soviet Union, and therefore they should be fought. He later agreed to serve the FBI as a stool-pigeon and tool in its campaign against communism.

The special purpose of this story was to win Negroes to support of the government's domestic and foreign policies, in defense of the status quo. The narrator concluded by telling the radio audience it is now the Communist line to call for withdrawal of American troops from all foreign bases. Next time you hear the demand, "Bring home the troops," remember; we warned you, he said.

This type of propaganda is about as irrational at home as the Voice of America abroad. The capitalist propaganda machine takes an element of truth — the

treacherous role of the Communist Party, which, in behalf of the Kremlin bureaucracy, sacrifices the interests of oppressed minorities and the interests of the workers as a whole, including those in the Soviet Union. Then, basing itself on this element of truth, the capitalist propaganda machine attempts to smear and discredit all opposition and criticism as "subversive."

The Negro struggle for equality existed in America long before the Communist Party, and will continue as long as capitalist oppression makes it necessary. Radical workers, black and white, have pointed out the perfidious role of the Communist Party and the need to struggle against its sell-out policies even when Wall Street and the Kremlin were in temporary alliance during World War II.

And as for labeling opposition to sending American troops abroad as "Communist," that's a tactic which can boomerang. As the Militant reported last week, a September survey by the American Institute of Public Opinion revealed only 8% of those questioned favored sending U.S. soldiers to fight in Indochina; 85% were opposed. So labeling such an opposition as "Communist" is not going to win support for administration policies.

The working-class and minority groups are victimized directly by the capitalist system; the Communist Party can only attempt to take advantage of the situation created by capitalism. Going from the service of the CP to that of the FBI is, as we have said many times, jumping from the frying pan into the fire.

A thorough understanding of Russian Stalinism and the American Communist Party would have made the "hero" of the radio story realize the simple truth that the crimes of Stalinism do not justify the crimes of American imperialism; and only the workers can end both.

VOLUME XVI

THE MILITANT

MONDAY, OCTOBER 19, 1953

NUMBER 42

U.S. Supports Assault on Guiana Govt.

(Continued from page 1) used their official positions in the trade unions and their influence as ministers to provoke and encourage a stoppage of work in the sugar industry. They deliberately spread the stoppage of work to other industries. Carlyle Morgan of the Christian Science Monitor reported Oct. 9, "The crisis stems from a labor dispute. . . . The British-appointed Governor used trucks to carry police apparently without permission from the Minister of Communications, Sydney King, after which Mr. King and other cabinet ministers are reported to have 'talked tough' to the governor."

This is plain enough. The elected representatives helped the workers in their strikes. They are union leaders as well as political representatives of the people. They objected when the British Governor used government facilities to break the strike. Clearly, in the eyes of the capitalists, helping strikers is a "communist conspiracy."

Workers in the factories and fields of British Guiana average around \$10 or \$15 a week — when they are working. When these workers dare to elect to government leaders that dare help them organize, surely we have nothing less than treason and subversion to deal with.

FAVORABLE UNIONS

ACCUSATION: "They then attempted to gain their political ends in the trade unions by legislation. . . . They incited large and unruly crowds to attend meetings of the House Assembly, seeking to intimidate the opposition members with threats and abuse."

What was this legislation? According to Carlyle Morgan they tried "to put through a law in one day which would force employers to recognize trade unions where a majority of the workers belong to a union." The lawfully elected government of British Guiana claims the law was modelled on the Wagner Act in the United States.

The charge that "large and unruly crowds" were brought into the Assembly galleries is strikingly reminiscent of the old British Tory charge against the American revolutionaries. How many times they cursed Sam Adams and his Sons of Liberty for filling the galleries of the Massachusetts legislature with revolutionary masses!

ACCUSATION: "They have persistently intruded into the sphere of the public service in an attempt to subject it to their political control."

It is the people and their elected representatives pitted against British Guiana's tyranny and its allies in the United States.

Wall Street spokesmen are

Witch-Hunt Victim

Lt. Milo Radulovich and his wife, Nancy, arrive at Selfridge Field, Mich., for a hearing to determine whether he is a poor security risk as Air Force officer because his father once read an alleged pro-Communist paper and his sister participated in picket lines also termed pro-Communist. (See story page 3.)

Who then should control the public service if not the elected representatives of the people? Why and how is it "subversive" to try to place the government services under democratic control?

ACCUSATION: "The Minister of Education has announced his intention to remove churches from their present participation in the educational system of the country." Has the Colonial Office forgotten that capitalist England was born in a revolution which fought for the separation of Church from State and the freedom of education from the rigid control of the Church? Or do they find that they must re-establish every ancient anti-democratic tyranny to protect the landlords and capitalists of British Guiana?

THEIR LIE

The imperialists lie. The people of British Guiana have shown that they must fight to protect their lives and liberties from the British imperialists and not from some "Communist conspiracy."

Wall Street lies when it talks about the Monroe Doctrine. The doctrine was based on the right of self-determination by the people in this hemisphere. That right is being trampled upon. Hands off British Guiana! Get the British troops out of there and keep them out! Let the people of British Guiana decide their own fate, their own government and their own legislation!

No 'Red Letter' Days for the GIs

The Army on Sept. 30 issued a new regulation which directs all commands to "actively discourage" GIs from participating in "pen pal" clubs or "chain letters" because "subversive elements" may employ "personal correspondence channels for propaganda purposes and for the accumulation of information."

Boss Candidates In N.Y. Exposed By Hearn Strike

By Tom Denver

NEW YORK, Oct. 14 — Aided and abetted by the capitalist-controlled press, the courts, the National Labor Relations Board and the city cops, the red-baiting owners

done everything they could to break the strike their employees started last May 14.

During the grueling summer months, mothers and grandmothers suffered and even collapsed on the picket line in the struggle to win their major demand of job security. Joe Monk, a picket, was killed by a car which jumped the curb. He had been forced to walk ten feet from the store entrance by a cop.

All this did not bring one cry of protest from the major party candidates, although they were in public office and could have used their influence to aid the strikers.

Mayor Impellitteri, candidate of the Independent Fusion Party, (after being discarded by the Democrats), stood by while his cops sought to intimidate the picket lines.

Halley, candidate of the Liberal Party, likewise ignored the plight of the strikers, although he held the powerful post of President of the City Council.

Riegelman, the Republican candidate, was acting Postmaster. He too had nothing to say in behalf of the Hearn strikers.

Wagner, President of the Borough of Manhattan, and now Tammany's choice for mayor, didn't raise his voice until he began shopping around for votes.

Only David L. Weiss, Socialist workers candidate for mayor, has taken an unequivocal stand in defense of the strikers both in the press and on the radio.

His statement in the Militant of Aug. 24 is like a breath of fresh air. "I have made my position plain from the moment of my nomination. I am for the strikers 100% and denounce the position of the Hearn ownership as a brutal challenge to the rights of all labor in this city."

You would think it would take too much gall for one of the major party candidates to crawl to the Hearn strikers for votes. But Wagner has done just that.

In a letter to the strikers, he writes up saying, "... and I want to assure you I will do anything I can to have the situation settled." This is after two injunctions have already been served on the strikers, one banning mass picketing and the other limiting pickets to three at each entrance.

The strikers do not seem to be impressed by Wagner's campaign promises. One worker writing to his union paper quotes a friend: "At election time politicians' promises aren't worth a tinker's damn. I can't remember reading nothing about Wagner speaking up on the Hearn strike before this."

The skepticism of these workers over election promises is well rounded. The Hearn strike won't be settled by any representative of Big Business.

The CIO has announced support of the strike but thus far has failed to deter the legal maneuvering of the Hearn ownership in their drive to smash the strike.

The harassment the Hearn strikers have met with from the courts and police, coupled with the aid and comfort City Hall has given the strike-breaking management, once again points up the need for some sweeping political changes.

It is necessary only to visualize how quickly the Hearn strikers could have won if the labor movement had its own representatives in City Hall able to bring their influence to bear in the situation.

The Hearn strikers, like all those who sympathize with their struggle, would do well to ponder this lesson and begin the process of rectifying the present state of affairs by voting for the candidates of the Socialist Workers Party.

In the Unions

By Joseph Keller

The AFL leaders who are trying to take over the gangster-ridden International Longshoremen's Association members from Joseph "King" Ryan's mobsters are themselves leaning heavily on employer and political elements who were Ryan's chief props for years. Since the ILA strike was broken by Eisenhower's Taft-Hartley injunction, hundreds of police are being used to "escort" AFL dockworkers to piers where AFL men have been hired as bosses. Paul Hall and Dave Beck, who head the AFL's organizing drive, are playing ball with New York and New Jersey state officials. The latter are seeking to impose registration and state hiring halls law on the dock workers. Both the Ryan gang and the Beck-Hall crew conducted themselves in a stinking manner toward the Taft-Hartley injunction, a fact noted and stressed by the anti-labor press. As Joseph Loftus wrote in the N. Y. Times, "The strike leaders (ILA) almost embraced the injunction and went back to work. The AFL and its new union raised no real protest, either."

The CIO Packinghouse Workers and the AFL Amalgamated Meat Cutters won identical contracts from Armour and Swift companies after a series of joint-action quickie strikes at plants in various parts of the country. A significant feature of the negotiations was the fact that neither union, by previous agreement, accepted a contract without prior approval of the other.

Organization of the electrical and radio workers is in a state of chaos and division. A five-way inter-union war is on with two CIO and two AFL unions biting chunks out of the United Electrical Workers, one of the 10 unions expelled from the CIO in 1949 by the Murray bureaucracy. "Communist," the chief opponent of the UE is the CIO International Union of Electrical Workers. Part of the UE — the Farm Equipment division — is being raided by the CIO United Automobile Workers which now claim several former UE locals. The powerful AFL Machinists (800,000 members) is going after other UE locals, including a number in the Philadelphia and Pittsburgh areas. The AFL International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers recently secured a con-

MARCANTONIO ACCUSES STALINISTS OF GIVING HIM "STAB IN THE BACK"

By Art Preis

The Communist Party has sunk itself so deeply in deception and two-faced maneuvers in the New York City elections that even Vito Marcantonio has been impelled to attack the Stalinists and disavow their support. The former Congressman, who started out as a Republican and then for two decades faithfully followed every twist and turn of the CP line, issued a statement on Oct. 5 that marks a definitive public break with the CP.

This break has been coming for some time. The Militant of last Jan. 12 and Jan. 26 reported the increasing friction between the official CP machine inside the ALP and the Marcantonio wing, which included differences even over such issues as the Rosenberg case. These differences we pointed out would likely be intensified in the light of the new Stalinist policy calling once more for open support to capitalist party candidates who have the backing of the trade union bureaucrats and for work inside the Democratic Party.

However, opposition to complete abandonment of the ALP has been sufficiently great in Stalinist circles, including the ALP, to compel the CP leaders to follow a particularly devious line in the current N. Y. elections. The CP tips its hat to the ALP and states that the "more advanced" workers will vote for that party's candidates. But it puts its overwhelming emphasis on the "need for a labor coalition" behind "one" candidate, presumably Manhattan Borough President Robert Wagner, for mayor, and officially "welcomes" the policy of the union officials getting behind Wagner or Rudolph Halley, the Liberal Party candidate who tirelessly repeats his loyalty and devotion to the Democratic Party.

The other criticism is that we are paying too much attention to the ALP, rather than devoting our attention solely to the activities of the bulk of the labor movement." And he states with disarming vagueness, "Our support for the ALP in no way keeps us from seeing this campaign as a many-sided" encouragement of labor support for the Tammany hack Wagner.

Marcantonio's own record of treachery in support of the Stalinists over so many years and his readiness to use his little personal political machine in East Harlem to make any kind of dirty political deal and alliance to advance his own political interests does not give much grounds for hope that his break with the Stalinists is in the direction of a more working-class policy. The official ALP campaign leaflet speaks of the party's nominees as "the only candidates who fight for the LaGuardia kind of city government." LaGuardia, the Republican "New Dealer," used his cops with might and main against unemployed demonstrators and union pickets and was the typical capitalist reformist demagogue.

It is interesting to note that Clifford T. McAvoy, ALP mayoralty candidate, emphasized on a recent radio program that he supported "India's" foreign policy when asked if he supported that of the Soviet Union. This same line is indicated in the most recent issues of The National Guardian, which reflects the views of the ALP leaders. We may have here a beginning of a turn away from the formal Stalinist foreign policy line to which the ALP has rigidly adhered even during the past period of the developing break. The "India" policy may be a bridge away from Stalinism toward an anti-Soviet position.

Notes from the News

SUICIDES AMONG STUDENTS have alarmed officials at Oxford University. Vice Chancellor Sir Maurice Bowra, characterized the situation as "extremely distressing." He did not mention any figures, but nine undergraduates are known to have committed suicide between Jan. 1947 and Aug. 1953, two of them in this academic year.

THE MARQUIS OF CUEVAS announced plans Oct. 7 to sue the Vatican City newspaper, *Osservatore Romano*, for one franc "symbolic damages" for alleged defamation of character. The newspaper called the party thrown by the Marquis at Biarritz last summer "an ostentatious affair, vain, sensual, barbarically disordered, immoral in all its aspects." In his own defense the Marquis pointed out that "I was asked to organize this party for the prestige of French tourists. The Bishop of Bayonne and the Vicar General know all about this." The Marquis' lawyer said his client was particularly offended at the newspaper's charge that his party "was an abuse of wealth earned one does not really know how. The Marquis thought this remark was aimed at his wife, a granddaughter of the late John D. Rockefeller."

BUILDING AN IRON CURTAIN FOR AMERICA has given the Post Office Department, and the Bureau of Customs a lot of headaches. These two agencies have been trying to enforce the Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938 which bars "political propaganda" from abroad unless addressed to registered foreign agents. Both agencies have been permitting material listed as "political propaganda" to be delivered to uni-

versities, libraries, scholars, etc., at their own discretion in the interest of keeping some people informed. They want to be relieved of this legal violation. Even with the illegal assistance of these two government agencies, some institutions do not get necessary periodicals for study regularly an are forced to circumvent the law by subscribing to periodicals through French agencies, getting the materials in unidentified wrappers. The Post Office Dept. and the Bureau of Customs propose that the law be changed or that the responsibility for deciding who should receive the material should be turned over to the State or Justice Department. The American Civil Liberties Union made a much better proposal. It suggested that such material should be admitted to all persons in the interest of free speech and discussion.

THE NAVY PROGRAM to eliminate segregation is being directed by a Texan, Secretary of Navy Robert B. Anderson. He says, "I want to do it in a way that will not disrupt those involved. You can't eliminate the customs and habits of people by executive order."

THE TWO ROSENBERG CHILDREN, Bobby and Michael, have been ordered out of their school by the supervisor, Clyde Slocum, on a flimsy technicality. Although they live in the school district they are not legal residents unless adopted. Slocum gave the excuse that the school is overcrowded, so these children are now to be submitted to additional torture and insecurity after over three years of suffering due to the persecution and finally, the murder, of their parents.

TWIN CITIES
Anniversary Banquet
Celebrating the 25th Anniversary of the Socialists Workers Party

Guest Speaker:
Arne Swabek, Chicago
Saturday, October 24 — 7 P.M.
10 South 4th St.
Minneapolis, Minn.